

# DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS OF TAPERED AND FACE GEARS IN MESH WITH CYLINDRICAL PINIONS

A Thesis Submitted  
In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements  
for the Degree of  
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

18858

By  
BIJENDRA SINGH BHADORIA

to the

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR  
AUGUST 1973

67 JUN 1984

CENTRAL LIBRARY  
Kanpur.


Acc. No. 82881

ME-1973-D-BHA-DES

Thesis

621.833

B 469




*CERTIFICATE*

*This is to certify that the work "Design Characteristics of tapered gears in mesh with cylindrical pinions" by BIJENDRA SINGH BHADORIA has been carried out under my supervision and has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.*

( Dr. J. Chakraborty )  
Assistant Professor

Department of Mechanical Engineering  
I.I.T. Kanpur.

POST GRADUATE OFFICE
This thesis has been approved for the award of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in accordance with the regulations of the Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur
Dated: 17/10/74



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*The author wishes to express his gratitude to his thesis advisor, Dr. J. Chakaraborty, for his expert guidance and steady encouragement throughout this work, to Mr. S.G. Dhande, for his constructive criticism, to Mr. Pradeep Wahi, Mr. M. Hariharan and Mr. A. Rajamani, for their efforts in correcting the manuscript, to Mr. Pawan Kumar for his help and friendship, to staff of I.I.T. Computer Center for making the necessary computer time available, to Mr. G.L. Misra and Mr. S.K. Tewari for their efficient typing and to all who helped in many ways, direct or indirect.*

*August, 1973.*

*B. S. Bhadoria*  
( B.S. Bhadoria )

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page No.</u>
Nomenclature		v
List of Tables		xii
List of Figures		xiii
Chapter 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	The tapered gear	1
1.2	Methods of manufacture	2
1.3	Advantages of tapered gears	2
1.4	Practical applications	3
1.5	Review of existing work	3
1.6	Objectives and scope of present work	5
1.7	Presentation of contents	7
Chapter 2	ANALYSIS OF TAPERED GEARS	9
2.1	Conjugate action of gear tooth surface	9
2.2	Relative velocity vector; screw axis and pitch surfaces	12
2.3	Tooth surfaces of the cutter and the pinion	14
2.4	The conjugate tooth profile	18
2.5	General equation of contact for tapered gears	20
2.6	Contact line surface of action and the conjugate tooth surface	22
2.7	Shape of blanks for tapered gears	23
2.8	Pointing of gear tooth	30
2.9	Undercutting of gear teeth	37
2.10	Curvatures at the contact point	44
Chapter 3	PRESSURE ANGLE	51
3.1	Pressure angle in higher kinematic pair	51
3.2	Pressure angle in tapered gear	53
3.3	Pressure angle at points on pitch surface	54
Chapter 4	DURATION OF CONTACT	58
4.1	Contact ratio of gears having line contact	59
4.2	Contact ratio of gears having point contact	62

Chapter	5	ANALYSIS OF FACE GEARS	64
	5.1	Spiral face gears	65
	5.2	Face gears in mesh with spur pinion (spur face gear)	68
	5.3	Contact localization in hypoid spur face gear	71
	5.4	Contact spot and contact zone on the tooth surface	78
	5.5	Surface durability ratings of spur face gears with localized contact	92
Chapter	6	RESULTS, DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	100
	6.1	Intersection curves for face gears	100
	6.2	Optimum helix angle	102
	6.3	Contact ratio in tapered gears	102
	6.4	Normal deviation of tooth surfaces in contact	107
	6.5	Variation of contact stress	110
	6.6	Effect of number of teeth in cutter on contact stress and on contact zone	110
	6.7	Effect of number of tooth in cutter on surface durability rating	115
	6.8	Variation of surface durability rating with other independent design parameters	119
	6.9	Discussions and conclusions	119
Appendix I			134
Appendix II			136
Appendix III			139
Appendix IV			144
References			145

# NOMENCLATURE

a	off-set
A,B	given by equation (5.4.9)
$A^*$	$i_{21}a$
b	radial face width
c	clearance factor
$C_f$	surface condition factor
$C_H$	hardness ratio factor
$C_L$	life factor
$C_l$	clearance between surfaces
$C_s$	size factor
$C_T$	temperature factor
$C_V$	dynamic load factor
DZO	$Z_0 - Z_1$
$E^*$	$\frac{a(i_{21} - C\Sigma) i_{21} \sec \beta}{1 - 2i_{21}C\Sigma + i_{21}^2}$
$E_1, E_2$	young's moduli
$\underline{e} : (e_x, e_y, e_z)$	unit normal vector
F	force
hp	horse power
i	transmission ratio
$i_{01}$	$Z_1/Z_0$
$i_{12}$	$Z_2/Z_1$
$i_{20}$	$Z_0/Z_2$
l	normal deviation

$v$	angle (Figure 12)
$v_{1,2}^v$	poission's ratios
$\xi$	correction factor
$\hat{p}$	lead of the screw on screw axis
$\Sigma$	shaft angle
$\Sigma_i$	surface of ith gear
$\sigma$	angle between the principal directions
$\phi$	angle of rotation
$\phi_k$	$\theta_k + \phi_1$
$\chi$	curvatures
$\psi$	pressure angle at contact point
$\tilde{\Omega}$	angular velocity vector
$\omega$	angular velocity

#### MATHEMATICAL SYMBOLS

$\rightarrow$	implies
$\text{Abs }   \quad   \text{ or }   \quad  $	absolute sign
$\forall$	for all
$C\theta$ or $C(\theta)$	$\cos(\theta)$
$\det   \quad  $	determinant sign
$\epsilon$	number of the following set
$\exists$	such that
$\notin$	not a member of the following set
$\text{inv}(\theta)$	$\tan \theta - \theta$
$S\theta$ or $S(\theta)$	$\sin(\theta)$
$\cap$	intersection
$[X, Y]$	interval between X and Y

$N$	speed in rpm
$\underline{N} : (N_x, N_y, N_z)$	normal vector
$P$	normal load
$P_{rt}$	rated tangential load at contact point
$\underline{R} : (R_x, R_y, R_z)$	position vector
$r$	radius
$r_f$	radius of clearance cylinder
$S$	stress
$S_{ac}$	allowable contact stress number
$[S]$	allowable stress
$\underline{V} : (V_x, V_y, V_z)$	velocity vector
$V_T$	tangential pitch line velocity
$Z$	number of teeth

## GREEK ALPHABETS

$\alpha$	pressure angle on pitch surface
$\beta$	Helix angle on base cylinder
$\delta$	deflection
$\epsilon_\gamma$	contact ratio
$\eta$	semi space width angle
$\theta$	scalar parameter of the surface
$\theta^*$	development angle of the involute curve
$\theta_k^*$	$\theta_k - \lambda \tan \beta + \eta_1$
$k^*$	given by equation (5.52)
$\lambda$	scalar parameter of the surface
$\lambda^*$	$\frac{i_{21} SE \lambda \sec \beta}{1 - i_{21} CE}$

$\otimes$	set product
$S_1(x_1, y_1, z_1)$	rotating co-ordinate system fixed with pinion
$\bar{S}_1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1, \bar{z}_1)$	fixed co-ordinate system of pinion cutter
$S_2(x_2, y_2, z_2)$	rotating co-ordinate system fixed with gear
$\bar{S}_2(\bar{x}_2, \bar{y}_2, \bar{z}_2)$	fixed co-ordinate system of gear
$S(n, \xi, \ell)$	co-ordinate system at contact point

## SUBSCRIPTS

a	related to addendum
b	base radius
B	back cone
c	contact surface
e	external dimensions
F	front cone
f	face or flank
i	internal dimensions
k	surface U and L
$\ell_c$	lines of contact
MAX, max	maximum
MIN, min	minimum
m	mean
n	normal
p	pointing
r	motion on surface
s	circumferential
t	tangent
u	undercutting

I,II	indices of curvatures of surface $\Sigma_2$
III,IV	indices of curvatures of surface $\Sigma_2$

## SUBSCRIPTS

0 or o	related to cutter
1	pinion
2	gear
12	gear relative to pinion
21	pinion relative to gear
~	vector

## SUPER SUBSCRIPTS

.	total derivative with respect to time
-	related to fixed coordinate system
0 or o	related to cutter
1	pinion
2	gear
12	gear relative to pinion
21	pinion relative to gear
~	set

## SYMBOLS USED IN TABLES

ABS	$2 A+B $
AREA	$\pi ab$
ACAP	A in (equation 49)
ASMAL	a equation 5.53
ASTAR	$a i_{21}$
ASTR	$a^*$ in equation 5.53

BSTR	$b^*$ in equation (5.54)
DSTR	$\delta^*$ in equation (5.55)
BCAP	B in equation (5.49)
BETA	helix angle
BETA*	optimum helix angle
BSMAL	b in equation (5.54)
D1	nominal pitch dia of pinion
D2	nominal pitch diameter of cutter or pinion
DEL	$\delta$ in equation (5.55)
DZO	$Z_0 - Z_1 \frac{a(i_{21} - C \Sigma) i_{21} \sec \beta}{1 - 2i_{21} C \Sigma + i_{21}^2}$
E*	
FACE	b
FAIK	$\phi_k$
FAI1	$\phi_1$
I12	$i_{12}$
I21	$i_{21}$
KAI1, KAI2	$\chi_1, \chi_2, \text{ etc.}$
L	related to surface L of figure 1
L*	$\lambda^*$
LAMDA*	$\lambda^*$
L1	-1000 $\ell_1$ [table 11]
L2	-1000 $\ell_2$ [table 11]
L1 - L2	-1000 $(\ell_1 - \ell_2)$ rsec. 5.4.1]
M	Module
NU	$v$ [Figure 12 and 13]
R2U	radius of the gear at which undercutting occurs

R2P	radius at which pointing occurs
S	$S_{\max}$ in [equation 5.57]
SIG	$\sigma$ , angle between axes of $x_I$ and $x_{III}$
SIG1	$\sigma_1$ , [Figure 12 or equation 5.47 ]
TAO	$\cos \tau$ [equation 5.51 ]
THT	$\theta^*$
WIDTH or W	b
Z0	$Z_0$
Z1	$Z_1$
Z2P	$z_{2p}$
z2U	$z_{2u}$

## LIST OF TABLES

		<u>Page No.</u>
Table 1	Position at which pointing occurs in tapered gears	33
2	Position at which undercutting occurs in tapered gears	40
3	Pressure angle variation in tapered gears	56
4	Stress constants	88
5	Surface durability ratings spur face gears	97
6	Surface durability ratings of on-center spur face gears	99
7	Co-ordinates of intersection curves	101
8	Variation of face width of face gears with helix angle	103
9	Optimum helix angles for face gears	104
10	Contact ratio in tapered gears	106
11	Normal deviation of teeth surfaces of on-center spur face gear set	109
12	Variation of contact stress with the angle of rotation of pinion	111
13	Effect of the number of teeth in cutter on contact stress	116
14	Effect of the number of teeth in cutter on surface durability ratings	118
15	Variation of surface durability rating with eccentricity	120
16	Variation of surface durability rating with pressure angle	121
17	Variation of surface durability rating with number of teeth in the pinion	122
18	Variation of surface durability rating with module	123

## LIST OF FIGURES

		<u>Page No.</u>
Figure 1	Coordinate system and screw axis	10
Figure 2	Involute helicoid pinion	15
Figure 3	Lines of contact on pinion tooth	21
Figure 4	Optimum blank shape for tapered gears	26
Figure 5	Spur on-center tapered gear	28
Figure 6	Trace of tooth surfaces on tip plane	31
Figure 7	Pressure angle at contact points	52
Figure 8	Pressure angle variation in tapered gears	57
Figure 9	Meshing of cutter and pinion	74
Figure 10	Notation for hypoid face gear meshing	76
Figure 11	Contact zone and contact spot on pinion tooth	79
Figure 12	Coordinate system for normal derivation of two surfaces in contact	82
Figure 13	Normal deviation of the surfaces	84
Figure 14	Variation of face width with helix angle	105
Figure 15	Variation of contact stress with number of teeth in cutter	114
Figure 16	Effect of number of teeth in cutter on contact zone on pinion tooth	117
Figure 17	Variation of surface durability rating with number of teeth in cutter	120
Figure 18	Variation of surface durability rating, contact ratio and pressure angle with eccentricity	124

Figure 19	Variation of surface durability rating with pressure angle	125
Figure 20	Variation of surface durability rating with number of teeth in pinion	126
Figure 21	Variation of surface durability rating with module	127
Figure 22	Variation of contact ratio with pressure angle	137

"Design characteristics of tapered and face gears in mesh with  
Cylindrical pinions

A thesis submitted  
in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the Degree of  
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

by

BIJENDRA SINGH BHADORIA

to the

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KANPUR.

AUGUST , 1973.

ABSTRACT

The tapered gears mating with cylindrical involute helicoid pinion mounted on skew shafts are considered in this work. The equation of contact, the equation of contact line, the equation of the surface of action and the equation of conjugate tooth surface of tapered gears has been developed. The discussion here includes the face width limitations and other design parameters like pressure angle, blank shape, contact ratios, normal curvatures, contact localization contact stress and the surface durability rating. Cases of on-center spur and spiral face gears, off-set spur and spiral face gears and on-center spur and spiral tapered gears are considered as special cases of tapered gears.

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

Gears transmit motion and energy from one shaft to another. Selection of gears to perform a desired function depends on the relative disposition of the axes, geometry of the tooth profile, demands on efficiency, load carrying capacity, restriction on space and nature of environment. The knowledge of theory of gearing is necessary to have a clear understanding of the geometry of teeth, kinematics and dynamics of gear teeth in action.

#### 1.1 The tapered gear

A tapered gear meshes with either a cylindrical or a tapered pinion. In theory, the pinion may have any tooth profile so long as a conjugate tooth profile is achieved on the gear. The axes of the gear and pinion may be either intersecting or skew. The shaft angle may be anything, from  $0^\circ$  to  $90^\circ$  for an internal gear and from  $90^\circ$  to  $180^\circ$  for an external gear. The two limiting cases<sup>1</sup>, of zero degree and 180 degrees are familiar internal and external cylindrical gears mounted on parallel shafts when the pinion is cylindrical. The gear tooth may be spur or spiral depending on whether the pinion is spur or helical [1]. The face gear is a particular case of the tapered gear when the angle between the shaft axes is 90 degrees. In the

---

<sup>1</sup> Here, the shaft angle of  $180^\circ$  corresponds to external gear due to the particular choice of the co-ordinate system.

present dissertation discussions are confined to spiral and spur tapered and face gears in mesh with cylindrical involute pinions.

## 1.2 Methods of manufacture

The pinion, being a conventional involute spur or helical gear, can be manufactured on a hobbing machine or on a gear shaper. The tapered gear is generated on a gear shaper. A special fixture is used to mount the blank at the required axis-disposition and to impart the necessary generating motion. The same shaper cutter can be used to produce both the gear and the pinion.

## 1.3 Advantages of tapered gears

In comparison with other gears, tapered gears have the following advantages [1] [2] :

- 1 Cutting of the pinion of a tapered gear set requires no special operations or equipment. The tapered member requires some special fixture on the gear shaper. But, the cost of cutting operation itself is comparable to that of a cylindrical gear of the same size and module.
- 2 The pinion of a tapered gear set can be adjusted axially while assembling without affecting contact or backlash. Thus only one assembly parameter has to be maintained accurately against three parameters for a bevel gear. There is no axial thrust in the pinion bearings in case of spur tapered gear.
- 3 Generation is positive with no trial and error settings required to obtain the conjugate profile.

- 4 Off-set gears are produced on the same machine and with the same cutter as used to generate on-center gears. Furthermore, the cost of production is not increased by changing from on-center to hypoid gears.

The following are the disadvantages of tapered gears :

- 1 The face width of a tapered gear is limited by pointing and undercutting of teeth. For some combinations of the independent design parameters the gear width may be so small that the tapered gear cannot be used.
- 2 Shaper cutters for tapered gears are usually less versatile than the cutter blades used to produce conventional gears [2].

#### 1.4 Practical applications

Face gears have been commercially manufactured for many years. They have been used in textile machinery, truck engines, governor drives, power mower transmissions and gear cutting machinery [ 2 ] . The use of tapered gears, in general, has not been as wide spread as their practicability warrants.

#### 1.5 Review of existing work

A gear designer, in general, faces problems associated with the following :

- 1 Geometry considerations - selection of the tooth profile from the point of view of (a) ease in manufacture, (b) inspection and (c) sensitivity of the performance depending upon the assembly parameters.

2 Load considerations - choice of the independent design parameters to perform a given function.

Some information is available about the geometry of tapered and face gears. Face gears have been introduced and developed by the Fellows Gear Shaper Co. of U.S.A. One of the earliest publications in this field is by Bloomfield [3]. He devised a geometrical method to find the limiting dimensions of these gears. Bloomfield obtained the data for on-center face gears and presented it in the form of alignment charts [4]. The conclusions (in [3]) regarding the nature of the pitch surface of the hypoid face gear have been found not to conform to the laws of gearing [5]. Analytical investigations on face gears have been performed by Buckingham [6]. His method lacked generality and the proposed procedure had to be repeated for every new type of gear set.

The work of Sanborn and Bloomfield [1] in the field of tapered gears made important contributions. The authors have pointed out the effect of shaft angle on the face width of spur tapered gears. The effect of off-sets on the blanks of these gears is discussed in reference [1]. Other notable contributions in this field are by Vector Silvagi [2] and Bloomfield [7].

An analytical investigation on on-center spur tapered gear and face gear has been presented by Litvin [8]. The kinematic method discussed by Litvin is a developed version of the methods given by Gochman [9] and Kolchin [10]. An analysis of on-center spur face gears in mesh with involute spur pinion is given in [1]. Studies on

hypoid face gears meshing with involute spur pinions have been reported in [12] . A detailed analysis of on-center tapered, hypoid tapered and face gears in mesh with cylindrical involute pinions is given in [5] . This work contains studies on the pointing and undercutting of gear teeth, variation of pressure angle and determination of contact ratio. In reference [5] , the contact ratio of face gears is found using the method suggested in [13] .

Very few authors have reported regarding the load considerations of the tapered and face gears. Some information about the surface durability ratings of the on-center spur face gears is available in reference [14] . The determination of the surface durability rating of spatial gears is a complicated task. Some of the important factors influencing the surface durability rating are given below :

1. Material characteristics of the pinion and gear
2. Reduced principal curvatures at points of contact
3. Pressure angle at contact points
4. Dynamic load factor
5. Contact ratio.

Much investigation has been done to evaluate the curvature of the tooth surface at points of contact [15][16][17][18][8] . The method given by Litvin [17] is used in the present dissertation to find the reduced normal curvatures at points of contact.

#### 1.6 Objectives and scope of the present work

Hypoid tapered gears in mesh with cylindrical involute helical pinions are analysed in this dissertation. Off-set and on-center face

gears and on-center tapered gears are particular cases of the tapered gears considered.

The following results are obtained in this work :

For tapered gears :

- 1 The equation of conjugate gear tooth profile of the gear.
- 2 The equation of addendum surface of the gear blank
- 3 Equations governing pointing and undercutting of the gear teeth
- 4 Formulas to calculate the principal curvatures of the gear tooth surface
- 5 A general method to find the pressure angle in mechanisms having point or line contacts. This method is applied to tapered gears
- 6 The method to find the contact ratio in spatial gearing. This method is illustrated by an example.

For spur face gears with localized contact :

- 7 Formulas to investigate the contact localization
- 8 Equations for finding contact spot, contact zone and contact stress
- 9 A general formula to find the surface durability ratings of spatial gears having point contact
- 10 Formulas to find the surface durability rating of a face gear

- 11 Study of the effects of the independent design parameters on surface durability rating.

## 1.7 Presentation of contents

An equation of contact is derived using the condition that at the contact point the common normal and the relative velocity vector are perpendicular to each other. Using this condition contact lines, surface of action and conjugate tooth profile of the gear are found in chapter 2. The shape and size of the gear blank depends upon the independent parameters of meshing. Transmission ratio or velocity ratio, shaft angle, helix angle, pressure angle, off-set and the number of teeth in the pinion or cutter are considered as the independent parameters. A formula for the optional shape of the tapered gear blank is derived in chapter 2. The limiting dimensions to avoid undercutting and pointing of teeth and principal curvatures of gear surface are discussed in the same chapter.

A kinematic method to find the pressure angle in mechanisms having line or point contacts is discussed in chapter 3. The method has been applied to find the pressure angles in tapered gear set.

A method based on elementary set theory is developed in chapter 4 to simplify the computation of contact ratios in space gear mechanisms.

Contact localization, contact spot, contact zone, contact stress and surface durability rating of spur face gear set are discussed in chapter 5.

Finally, in chapter 6, example problems are given to illustrate the use of the formulas developed in the previous chapters. The effect of independent design parameters on surface durability rating is discussed in the same chapter. The discussions and conclusions are also presented in chapter 6.

## CHAPTER 2

### ANALYSIS OF TAPERED GEARS

The equations developed in this chapter, unless otherwise stated, are applicable to any type of tapered gear. The equations are expressed in a general form. The use of these equations in special cases of tapered gears is given in Chapter 5.

#### 2.1 Conjugate Action of Gear Tooth Surface

Two surfaces are conjugate if each generates or envelops the other under a specified relative motion. Thus, if a tooth surface of one gear is rigid and the mating tooth of the second gear is of a plastically deformable material, the specified relative motion will produce tooth of the second gear which is conjugate to the tooth surface of the first.

At any instant, conjugate surfaces have a line of contact which is the locus of all points of contact at that instant.

At any point of contact, the surfaces must have a common normal. As surfaces are not digging in each other or coming out of contact at the next instant, the component of the relative velocity vector in the normal direction [5][8] is zero. This is the basic requirement for gear tooth contact. Thus, the relative velocity vector is perpendicular to the common normal and lies on the tangential plane.



In the process of meshing, the line of contact describes a surface in fixed space, called the surface of action.

The locus of the line of contact in the co-ordinate system of gear is the conjugate tooth surface of the pinion.

In this chapter, an investigation is made on the limitations of the face width, the pressure angle in mesh, the contact ratio and the curvatures at points of contact. The derivations will be used to find the instantaneous contact spots, contact zone, relative curvatures and the various effects of contact localization in case of spur face gears.

The profile of the pinion or cutter is described with reference to a set,  $s_1$ , of rectangular cartesian axes  $(x_1, y_1, z_1)$  which rotate with the pinion or cutter, where  $z_1$  axis coincides with the axis of rotation [Figure 1]. There is a similar set  $s_2(x_2, y_2, z_2)$  for the gear. The set  $\bar{s}_i(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i, \bar{z}_i)^1$  is stationary in space and coincides with  $s_i(x_i, y_i, z_i)$  at some particular position. The angle of rotation of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  gear measured from this position is denoted by  $\phi_i$  and the angular velocity  $d\phi_i/dt$ , by  $\omega_i$ . Axes  $\bar{x}_1$  and  $\bar{x}_2$  are chosen along the common perpendicular to the axes of rotations,  $z_i$ 's. The length of common perpendicular between the two axes, is the off-set 'a'. The angle between the axes,  $\bar{z}_1$  axis and the projection of  $\bar{z}_2$  axis on  $\bar{y}_1 - \bar{z}_1$  plane, is denoted by  $\Sigma$  [Figure 1].

---

<sup>1</sup> for pinion,  $i = 1$  and for gear,  $i = 2$ .

## 2.2. Relative Velocity Vector, Screw Axis and Pitch Surfaces

In this section, equations governing the relative velocity vector, the screw axis and the pitch surfaces are presented. More detailed development of these equation is given in [5] . These will be needed in subsequent analysis. It will be assumed that (i) the transmission ratio is constant and (ii) the shaft angle ' $\Sigma$ ' and the hypoid eccentricity 'a' are known.

Relative velocity vector,  $\bar{\underline{v}}_1^{12}$ , at any point  $\underline{R}_1$  on the pinion tooth with respect to the coinciding point on the gear is the difference between the instantaneous absolute velocities at this point. Thus

$$\bar{\underline{v}}_1^{12} = \bar{\underline{v}}_e^1 - \bar{\underline{v}}_e^2 = \underline{\Omega}_1 \times \underline{\bar{R}}_1 - (\underline{\Omega}_2 \times \underline{\bar{R}}_1 + \underline{A} \times \underline{\Omega}_2)$$

Where  $\underline{\Omega}_1$  and  $\underline{\Omega}_2$  are angular velocity vectors of the rotation of the pinion and the gear respectively.  $\underline{A}$  is the position vector of the origin  $O_2$  system in system  $S_1$  [Figure 1] . It can be transformed to the rotating co-ordinate system,  $S_1$ , using transformation matrix  $Q_{11}$  and can be written as

$$\bar{\underline{v}}_1^{12} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -[y_1(1-i_{21}CE) + z_1 i_{21} SE C\phi_1 + a i_{21} CE S\phi_1] \\ [x_1(1 - i_{21}CE) + z_1 i_{21} SE S \phi_1 - a i_{21} CE C \phi_1] \\ [i_{21} SE (x_1 C \phi_1 - y_1 S \phi_1 + a)] \end{array} \right\} \quad 2.1$$

The expressions for the transformation matrices are given in Appendix I.

Screw axis of the relative motion is the axis along which vectors

$\bar{\underline{v}}_1^{12}$  and  $\underline{\Omega}_{12}$  are collinear [5] [8] . Thus along this axis ,

$\vec{\Omega}_{12} \times \vec{V}_1^{12} = 0$  , where ,  $\vec{\Omega}_{12}$  is the relative angular velocity vector.

The position and orientation of the screw axis are given by the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_1 &= -a \frac{(i_{21} - C\Sigma)i_{21}}{1 - 2 i_{21} C\Sigma + i_{21}^2} \\ \bar{Y}_1 &= -\bar{Z}_1 \frac{i_{21} S\Sigma}{1 - i_{21} C\Sigma}\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

Lead,  $\hat{\rho}$  , of the screw is given by

$$\hat{\rho} = a \frac{i_{21} S\Sigma}{1 - 2 i_{21} C\Sigma + i_{21}^2}\tag{2.3}$$

Pitch surfaces of pinion and gear are defined as the loci of the screw axis in their respective co-ordinate systems. Transforming equation (2.2) to the co-ordinate system  $S_1$  and eliminating  $\phi_1$  from the resulting equations, we get pitch surface of the pinion as

$$x_1^2 + y_1^2 - D^2 z_1^2 = C^2\tag{2.4}$$

where

$$C = a \frac{(i_{21} - C\Sigma)i_{21}}{1 - 2 i_{21} C\Sigma + i_{21}^2} \text{ and } D = \frac{i_{21} S\Sigma}{1 - i_{21} C\Sigma}$$

Similarly, we get pitch surface of the gear as

$$x_2^2 + y_2^2 - F^2 z_2^2 = E^2\tag{2.5}$$

$$E = \frac{a(1 - i_{21} C\Sigma)}{1 - 2 i_{21} C\Sigma + i_{21}^2} \text{ and } F = \frac{S\Sigma}{i_{21} - C\Sigma}$$


Equations (2.4) and (2.5) represent hyperboloids of revolution. The lead of the screw can be zero only in the following cases: (i)  $\Sigma = 0$  or  $\pi$  and (ii)  $a = 0$ . They correspond to gears having parallel and intersecting axes respectively. For these cases, the relative velocity will be zero on the screw axis. The pitch surfaces will be in pure rolling. Further, it may be seen from equations (2.4) and (2.5) that corresponding pitch surfaces are either cylinders or cones.

It should be noted that pitch surfaces do not depend on the type of the tooth profile but only on the relative disposition of shafts and their specified relative motion.

The pitch surface is generally used as a basis for designing gear blank. Thus conical blanks are used for bevel gears and cylindrical blanks for spur and helical gears. When this is not done, practical disadvantages like pointed teeth or under cutting may become apparent. In the case of tapered gears since the gear teeth are not positioned more or less symmetrically around the pitch surfaces, these disadvantages are inherent in the gears under consideration.

### 2.3 Tooth surfaces of the cutter and the pinion.


Tooth surfaces of the pinion and the cutter are needed for subsequent development of the conjugate tooth surface on the gear. It may be noted that tapered and face gears the number of teeth of the cutter may be different from that of the pinion. Basically



$$\Theta_K = \lambda \tan \beta \pm (\Theta_K^* + \eta_1)$$

$$\mu = \lambda \tan \beta$$

$\beta$  - helix angle



both the tooth surfaces are involute helicoid the spur gear being a special case when the helix angle is equal to zero.

An involute helicoid is the surface generated by the helical motion of an involute curve about the axis of the base cylinder

Figure 2. To non-dimensionalize all quantities with respect to the radius of the base cylinder of pinion, the radius of the base cylinder is taken to be unity. The equation of the pinion surface can be written as<sup>1</sup> [5][11]

$$R_1(\theta_k, \lambda) = [\{S\theta_k - \theta_k^* C \theta_k\}, -\{C\theta_k + \theta_k^* S \theta_k\}, \lambda]^T; k = U, L \quad 2.6$$

where  $\theta_k^* = \theta_k - \lambda \tan \beta \mp \eta_1$ ,

$\beta \neq (2n+1)\pi/2$  ;  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$\beta$  is the helix angle on base cylinder,

$\theta_k, \lambda$  are the parameters of the surface,

and  $\eta_1$  is the semi space-width angle.

The upper and lower signs respectively correspond to the surfaces U and L in Figure 2.

In general, equation (2.6) represents an involute helicoid. The surface of a straight tooth pinion can be obtained by substituting  $\beta = 0$  in this equation.

The tooth surface of the pinion will be identical to that of the cutter if the number of teeth, the pressure angle and the

---

<sup>1</sup> Subscript 1 corresponds to the surface of gear cutter/pinion in this case.

correction factor are the same. Otherwise, the tooth surface of the former can be obtained from the following equation

$$\underline{R}_1 = i_{01} [\{S\theta_k - \theta_k^* C \theta_k\}, -\{C\theta + \theta_k^* S \theta_k\}, \lambda]^T \quad 2.7$$

where,

$$\theta_k^* = \theta_k - \lambda \tan \beta + \eta_1$$

$$i_{01} = Z_1/Z_0$$

and

$$\eta_1 = \pi/2Z_1 - \text{inv } \alpha_{1s} - \frac{2 \xi_{1s}}{Z_1 \tan \alpha_{1s}}$$

To get the semi space width angle of the cutter, subscript '1' should be replaced by the subscript '0'.

In the case of standard cutters and pinions  $\xi_{0s} = 0$  and  $\xi_{1s} = 0$  respectively.

The normal vector to any surface,  $\underline{R}_1 = \underline{R}_1(\theta, \lambda)$ , is given

by  $\underline{N} = \frac{\partial \underline{R}_1}{\partial \theta_k} \times \frac{\partial \underline{R}_1}{\partial \lambda}$ . Here  $\theta$  and  $\lambda$  are the parameters of the surface. In the case of involute helicoid surface, it can be written as

$$\underline{N}_1 = [-\theta_k^* C \theta_k, -\theta_k^* S \theta_k, \theta_k^* \tan \beta]^T \quad 2.8$$

So the unit normal vector is

$$\underline{e}_1 = [-C \theta_k C \beta, -S \theta_k C \beta, S \beta]^T \quad 2.9$$

## 2.4 The conjugate tooth profile.

Some of the methods to determine the equation of contact and subsequently, the conjugate tooth profile are mentioned below:

1. The classical differential geometry method
2. The method of Gokhman [9]
3. The kinematic method [8] .

In the present dissertation, the kinematic method is used.

The conjugate tooth profile of the meshing gear can be found by transforming the contact lines to the co-ordinate system of the gear. Hence, at the outset, contact lines on the pinion are to be found. In order that a point on the tooth surface of the pinion be a contact point, certain conditions must be satisfied. The conditions can be obtained by the following considerations.

Let tooth surfaces  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ , while moving in space relative to each other, make contact at the point  $P$  at any instant and let  $\underline{V}_1^{12}$  be the relative velocity vector of the two surfaces at the point  $P$ . For conjugate action this vector must lie on the common tangent plane through  $P$ . Otherwise surfaces will be either digging in or coming out of contact at the next instant [5] [8] [18] [19] [20]. In other words, if  $\underline{N}_1$  is the common normal, then vectors  $\underline{V}_1^{12}$  and  $\underline{N}_1$  will be mutually perpendicular.

Or

$$\underline{V}_1^{12} \cdot \underline{N}_1 = 0$$

2.10

The above condition results in a mathematical expression relating different parameters. This is known as the equation of contact and is given as

$$f(\theta, \lambda, \phi_1) = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

$\theta, \lambda$  are parameters of the pinion surface and  $\phi_1$  is the parameter of motion of the surface  $\Sigma_1$ .

It is seen that the equation of contact gives the condition which must be satisfied by the parameters at all contact points. This is the fundamental requirement for the gear tooth contact. As the contact point lies on the tooth surface the condition given in equation (2.11) must be satisfied at this point. The contact point is found from equations (2.6) and (2.11) by eliminating one of the two independent parameters,  $\theta$  or  $\lambda$ . Thus we get the equation of a space curve which is the contact line for the angle of rotation  $\phi_1$ . By eliminating  $\lambda$  from equations (2.6) and (2.11), the equation of the contact line is obtained in the form ,

$$\underline{R}_{lc} = \underline{R}_{lc}(\theta, \phi_1).$$

The locus of the lines of contact in the co-ordinate system  $S_2$  of the gear gives the conjugate gear tooth surface. The conjugate surface  $\Sigma_2$  of the gear can thus be found by transforming  $\underline{R}_{lc}$  to the co-ordinate system  $S_2$ , using the relation  $\underline{R}_2 = \underline{R}_2(\theta, \phi_1) = Q_{21} \underline{R}_{lc}$ , where  $Q_{21}$  is the transformation matrix.

## 2.5 General equation of contact for tapered gears.

Let the cutter be of involute helicoid form. The angle between the axes of the cutter and the gear is  $\Sigma$  and the eccentricity is 'a'. The equation of contact is obtained from equations (2.1), (2.8) and (2.10). After simplification the equation of contact takes the form

$$\lambda i_{21} S \Sigma C \phi_k \sec^2 \beta - [1 - i_{21} C \Sigma - a i_{21} C S \phi_k - i_{21} S \Sigma \tan \beta (S \phi_k - (\theta_k + \eta) C \phi_k + a)] = 0 \quad 2.12$$

It can also be written as  $f = f(\theta, \lambda, \phi_1)$  where,  $\phi_k = \theta_k + \phi_1$ ;  $k = U, L$ .

Equation (2.12) is the general equation of contact for the hypoid tapered gears in mesh with the involute helicoid pinions. This equation has been derived earlier in a different form [5].

For the external spur gear set,  $\beta = 0$  and  $\Sigma = \pi$ . The equation of contact in this case takes the form

$$S \phi_k = -(1 + i_{21})/i_{21} a \quad 2.13$$

For involute helicoid worm gear set  $\Sigma = \pi/2$  and  $\beta \neq 0$ , the equation of contact is

$$i_{21} \lambda C \phi_k = 1 - i_{21} \tan \beta (\bar{x}_1 + a)$$

Similar expressions were derived previously by Kolchin [10] and Palit [21] following the method of Gochman [9].




FIG.3 LINES OF CONTACT ON PINION TOOTH.

(ON-CENTER FACE GEAR:  $\Sigma \approx \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $Z_1 = 20$  AND  $\alpha_0 = 20^\circ$ )

## 2.6 Contact line, surface of action and the conjugate tooth surface

In the present section, equation of the contact line, the contact surface and the conjugate tooth profile for tapered gears are developed.

The equation of contact is rewritten in a different form

$$\lambda = \lambda(\theta_k, \phi_1) = \frac{1 - i_{21} C \Sigma - a i_{21} C \Sigma S \phi_k - i_{21} S \Sigma \tan \beta (S \phi_k - (\theta_k + \eta) C \phi_k + a)}{i_{21} S \Sigma C \phi_k \sec^2 \beta} \quad 2.14$$

where,  $\phi_k = \theta_k + \phi_1$

and  $\Sigma \neq n\pi$ ;  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

When equation (2.14) is used to eliminate  $\lambda$  from equation (2.6), we get equation of the line of contact as

$$\underline{R}_{\ell c}(\theta_k, \phi_1) = [\{S\theta_k - \theta_k^* C\phi_k\}, -\{C\theta_k + \theta_k^* S\phi_k\}, \lambda(\theta_k, \phi_1)]^T; k = U, L \quad 2.15$$

where,

$$\theta_k^* = \theta_k - \lambda(\theta_k, \phi_1) \tan \beta + \eta_1.$$

The lines of contact for an on-center face gear ( $\Sigma = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $a = 0$ ) are shown in Figure 3. The locus of the line of contact in the fixed co-ordinate system  $\bar{S}_1$  is the contact surface or the surface of action [5] [18] [19].

One can transform  $\underline{R}_{\ell c}$  into the co-ordinate system  $\bar{S}_1$  using the expression,  $\bar{\underline{R}}_c = \underline{Q}_{11}^{-1} \underline{R}_{\ell c}$ . On transformation, we get

$$\underline{R}_c(\theta_k, \phi_1) = [\{S\phi_k - \theta_k^* C\phi_k\}, -\{C\phi_k + \theta_k^* S\phi_k\}, \lambda(\theta_k, \phi_1)]^T \quad 2.16$$

The tooth profile  $\underline{R}_{2k}$  of the meshing gear is the locus of the contact line in the co-ordinate system,  $S_2$ . Transforming  $\underline{R}_{lc}$  to the system  $S_2$ , we get

$$\underline{R}_{2k}(\theta_k, \phi_1) = \begin{Bmatrix} [(S\phi_k - \theta_k^* C\phi_k) C\phi_2 - (C\phi_k + \theta_k^* S\phi_k) CZS\phi_2 - \lambda SZS\phi_2 + aC\phi_2] \\ -[(S\phi_k - \theta_k^* C\phi_k) S\phi_2 + (C\phi_k + \theta_k^* S\phi_k) CZC\phi_2 + \lambda SZC\phi_2 + aS\phi_2] \\ [-(C\phi_k + \theta_k^* S\phi_k) S\phi_2 + \lambda CZ] \end{Bmatrix} \quad 2.17$$

## 2.7 Shape of blanks for tapered gears:

The optional blank shape of the tapered gear varies with the offset and the shaft angle. The maximum practicable offset in tapered gears can be as small as one-fourth of the nominal pitch diameter of the gear for  $\Sigma = \frac{\pi}{2}$  i.e. for face gears. And it can be as large as the sum of the nominal pitch radii in gears having small shaft angles. In the latter case, the taper-effect practically disappears and the blank becomes almost cylindrical [1]. For an on-center gear the blank is conical while for a face gear the blank is in the form of a disc having teeth on the face. Determination of the actual size and shape of the gear blank is a prerequisite to the investigation of pointing and evaluation of the contact ratio. In the absence of a standard practice to fix the shape of the tapered gear blank, it is assumed that the blank always maintains a constant clearance with the root cylinder of the pinion. The clearance

cylinder is a cylinder coaxial with the pinion and having a radius  $r_f$ , which is equal to the sum of the radius of the root cylinder of the pinion and the radial clearance [Figure 5.] .  $r_f = (1 - 2f_1 s / Z_1) / \cos \alpha_{1s}$  . The problem here is to find a surface of revolution on the axis of the gear which always touches the clearance cylinder.

The equation of the cylinder of radius  $r_f$  in the co-ordinate system  $\bar{S}_2$  is written as

$$R(\theta, \lambda) = \{[r_f C\theta + a], [-r_f S\theta C\lambda + \lambda S\theta], [-r_f S\theta S\lambda + \lambda C\theta]\}^T \quad 2.18$$

Here,  $\theta$  and  $\lambda$  are parameter of the surface. It may be noted that  $\lambda$  is the parameter along the  $z_1$  axis. Now, considering the intersection of this cylinder with a plane perpendicular to  $z_2$  axis, we get intersection curve,

$$\bar{x}_2^2 + \bar{y}_2^2 = R_f^2 = (r_f C\theta + a)^2 + (r_f S\theta C\lambda + \lambda S\theta)^2 \quad 2.19$$

$$\text{where } \lambda = (z_2 + r_f S\theta S\lambda) / C\lambda \quad 2.20$$

Equation (2.19) can be written as

$$C^2 \lambda R_f^2 = C^2 \lambda (r_f C\theta + a)^2 + (r_f S\theta + z_2 S\lambda)^2 \quad 2.21$$

As the ideal or optimal blank surface touches the intersection curve the condition for touching is given by  $dR_f/d\theta = 0$ .

Differentiating equation (2.21) with respect to  $\theta$  , we get

$$r_f S\theta \cos^2 \Sigma - a S\theta C^2 \Sigma + z_2 S\Sigma C\theta = 0 \quad 2.22$$

Here,  $z_2$  is assumed to be constant. From equations (2.22) and (2.20), one can write

$$\tan \theta = \lambda \tan \Sigma / a \quad 2.23$$

The equation for the optimal blank shape is obtained by eliminating  $\theta$  from equation (2.21) using the expression (2.23). This equation is given below

$$(\bar{x}_2^2 + \bar{y}_2^2) C^2 \Sigma = R_a^2 C^2 \Sigma = C^2 \Sigma (r_f C\theta + a)^2 + (r_f S\theta + z_2 S\Sigma)^2 \quad 2.24$$

$$\text{where, } S\theta = \lambda S\Sigma / [\lambda^2 S^2 \Sigma + a^2 C^2 \Sigma]^{1/2}, C\theta = a C\Sigma / [\lambda^2 S^2 \Sigma + a^2 C^2 \Sigma]^{1/2}, \quad 2.25$$


and  $R_a$  is the radius of the gear blank for a specified value of  $z_2$ . The addendum surface of face gears, on-center tapered gears and cylindrical gears are special cases of the above surface.

In case of the face gear ( $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ) the equation (2.24) takes the form


$$r_f + z_2 = 0 \quad 2.26$$

This plane is called tip plane of the face gear. Here, the off-set 'a' may or may not be equal to zero.

For on-center tapered gears, off set 'a' is equal to zero. In this case the equation (2.24) takes the form of the equation of a cone



(Off-set tapered gears  $\Sigma = 17/18 \pi$  &  $r_f = 1$ )



(Off-set tapered gears  $\Sigma = 3\pi/4$  &  $r_f = 1$ )

FIG. 4 OPTIMUM BLANK SHAPE FOR TAPERED GEARS

(ACTUAL BLANK SHAPES ARE SURFACES OF REVOLUTION  
GENERATED BY ROTATING CURVES ABOUT  $z_2$ -AXES)

$$(\bar{x}_2^2 + \bar{y}_2^2) C^2 \Sigma = (r_f + z_2 S \Sigma)^2 \quad 2.27$$

For external cylindrical gears, the blank shape can be derived from equation (2.24) after substituting  $\Sigma = \pi$ . This gives

$$\bar{x}_2^2 + \bar{y}_2^2 = (a - r_f)^2 \quad 2.28$$

For off set tapered gears, it is seen from equation (2.24) that the optimal blank shape is not conical. However, for ease of manufacture and inspection, the blank should be conical although it means a loss of some portion of the surface of action. The procedure for obtaining the most suitable conical blank shape is out line below.

Figure 4 shows the plots of the surfaces for a few specific cases. It can be seen that the optimal blank surface is of the concave nature. We can find a cone which just touches this surface and is wholly within it. Such a cone will not interfere with the clearance cylinder of the pinion. In order to use the maximum tooth width and height one can find a cone which touches this surface at the middle of the tooth width.

It is assumed, that the distances from the origin of the small end,  $z_{2F}$ , and the large end,  $z_{2B}$ , of the blank along the  $z_2$  axis are known [Figure 5]. To avoid undercutting and pointing, inequalities ( $|z_{2F}| \geq |z_{2u}|$ ) and ( $|z_{2B}| \leq |z_{2p}|$ ) must be




FIG.5 SPUR ON-CENTER TAPERED GEAR.

satisfied. Here  $z_{2u}$  and  $z_{2p}$  are the respective distances where undercutting and pointing of the teeth occur. Estimation of these limiting parameters will be discussed later. The following steps are followed for calculating the conical blank size.

- i. The middle of the tooth width is found, using

$$z_{2m} = (z_{2F} + z_{2B})/2 \quad 2.29$$

- ii. The semicone angle,  $\delta_a$ , of the blank is obtained using the expression

$$\tan \delta_a = \left. \frac{d R_a}{dz_2} \right|_{z_{2m}} \quad 2.30$$

- iii. From equation (2.24), we compute

$$\left. \frac{dR_a}{dz_2} \right|_{z_{2m}} = \frac{\tan \Sigma \cdot \text{Num}}{[(r_f C \theta + a)^2 + (r_f S \theta C \Sigma + \lambda S \Sigma)^2]^{1/2}} \quad 2.31$$

$$\text{where, Num} = r_f S \theta C \Sigma + \lambda S \Sigma + \frac{[\lambda S \Sigma C \theta - a C \Sigma S \theta] r_f C \theta}{a C \theta C^2 \Sigma + \lambda S \Sigma C \Sigma - r_f S^2 \Sigma C^2 \theta} \quad 2.32$$

$\theta$  is given by

$$S \theta C \theta [r_f S \theta S \Sigma - a \tan \theta C^2 \Sigma + z_{2m}] = 0 \quad 2.33$$

and  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\tan \theta = \lambda \tan \Sigma / a. \quad 2.34$$

- iv. The equation of the cone is now written as

$$\bar{x}_2^2 + \bar{y}_2^2 = [r_{2m} + (z_2 - z_{2m}) \tan \delta_a]^2 \quad 2.35$$

The radii of the small and large end are given by

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2F} &= r_{2m} + (z_{2F} - z_{2m}) \tan \delta_a \\ r_{2B} &= r_{2m} + (z_{2B} - z_{2m}) \tan \delta_a \end{aligned} \quad 2.36$$

The radius  $r_{2m}$  is obtained from equation (2.24) with  $z_2 = z_{2m}$  and using the solutions of equations (2.33) and (2.34). The face width of blank is obtained considering

$$b = |(z_{2B} - z_{2F}) / \cos \delta_a| \quad 2.37$$

From equations (2.33), (2.31) and (2.32) it can be observed that for an on-center tapered gear ( $a = 0$ ) the semi cone angle  $\delta_a = \Sigma$ . Figure 4b shows that for gears with low transmission ratio and low off-sets, the semicone angle approaches the shaft angle.

## 2.8 Pointing of gear tooth.

On a conventional bevel gear of octoidal form the face width can theoretically be increased indefinitely. The tool and machine are the limiting factors. The pitch and height increase in proportion to the diameter but the pressure angle on the pitch surface remains constant. In case of tapered gears, the pitch and the height of pinion tooth remains constant but the pressure angle varies along the face. This makes gear teeth to become pointed towards the larger end of the blank.




FIG. 6 TRACE OF TOOTH SURFACES ON TIP PLANE.

(ON-CENTER FACE GEAR:  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0^\circ$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $Z_1 = 20$ ,  
 $i_{21} = 0.2$  AND  $\alpha_o = 20^\circ$ ).

Let the addendum surface of the gear intersect the tooth surfaces, U and L. There will be two curves of intersection corresponding to the surface, U and L. Gear tooth will appear to be pointed where these two curves meet together on the addendum surface [Figure 6].

The condition of interpretation of the addendum surface of the gear with the tooth surfaces, U and L is given by

$$(R_{x2k}^2 + R_{y2k}^2) C^2 \Sigma - C^2 \Sigma (r_f C \theta + a)^2 + (r_f S \theta + R_{z2k} S \Sigma)^2 = 0; \quad k = U, L \quad 2.39$$

where,  $R_{2k} = [R_{x2k}, R_{y2k}, R_{z2k}]^T$ , is given by equation (2.15)

$$S \theta = \frac{\lambda_k S \Sigma}{[\lambda_k^2 S^2 \Sigma + a^2 C^2 \Sigma]^{1/2}}, \quad C \theta = \frac{a C \Sigma}{[\lambda_k^2 S^2 \Sigma + a^2 C^2 \Sigma]^{1/2}}$$

$$\lambda_k S \Sigma = \frac{[1 - i_{21} C \Sigma - a i_{21} C \Sigma S \phi_k - i_{21} S \Sigma \tan \beta \{ S \phi_k - (\theta_k + \eta) C \phi_k + a \}]}{i_{21} C \phi_k \sec^2 \beta}$$

and,  $\phi_k = \theta_k + \phi_{1k}$

As the point at which pointing of the tooth occurs in common to tooth surfaces, U and L, one can write

$$R_{x2U} - R_{x2L} = 0$$

$$R_{y2U} - R_{y2L} = 0 \quad 2.40$$

Pointing occurs, if equations (2.39) and (2.40) are satisfied simultaneously at that point. This set of equations can be represented in vectorial form as

$$F_p(\underline{\theta}) = \underline{0}, \quad \text{where, } \underline{\theta} = [\theta_U, \phi_{1U}, \theta_L, \phi_{1L}]^T \quad 2.41$$

TABLE 1 POSITION AT WHICH POINTING OCCURES IN TAPERED GEARS

. .121.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
. . . 1	I	1/2	I	1/3	I	1/4	I	1/5	I	1/6	I	1/7	I	1/8	I	1/9	I	1/10	I
. . . .	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
1.ASTAR.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I

SHAFT ANGLE 105										HELIX ANGLE 0.0									
7. 0.00	1.217	2.377	3.540	4.705	5.870	7.036	8.201	9.367	10.533	11.698	12.863	14.028	15.193	16.358	17.523	18.688	19.853	21.018	22.183
	-1.240	-1.551	-1.863	-2.175	-2.487	-2.799	-3.112	-3.424	-3.736	-4.049	-4.361	-4.674	-4.986	-5.299	-5.611	-5.924	-6.236	-6.549	-6.861
0.05	1.218	2.378	3.543	4.708	5.874	7.041	8.207	9.374	10.540	11.707	12.873	14.040	15.206	16.373	17.539	18.706	19.872	21.039	22.205
	-1.240	-1.551	-1.863	-2.175	-2.487	-2.799	-3.112	-3.424	-3.736	-4.049	-4.361	-4.674	-4.986	-5.299	-5.611	-5.924	-6.236	-6.549	-6.861
0.10	1.220	2.383	3.550	4.718	5.887	7.056	8.225	9.394	10.563	11.732	12.901	14.070	15.239	16.408	17.577	18.746	19.915	21.084	22.253
	-1.240	-1.551	-1.862	-2.174	-2.487	-2.799	-3.111	-3.423	-3.736	-4.048	-4.360	-4.673	-4.985	-5.298	-5.610	-5.923	-6.235	-6.548	-6.860
0.15	1.223	2.390	3.561	4.734	5.907	7.080	8.254	9.427	10.601	11.775	12.948	14.122	15.295	16.468	17.642	18.815	19.988	21.161	22.334
	-1.240	-1.551	-1.862	-2.174	-2.486	-2.798	-3.110	-3.423	-3.735	-4.047	-4.359	-4.672	-4.984	-5.297	-5.609	-5.922	-6.234	-6.547	-6.859
0.20	1.227	2.400	3.578	4.756	5.936	7.115	8.295	9.474	10.654	11.834	13.013	14.193	15.372	16.552	17.731	18.911	20.090	21.269	22.448
	-1.240	-1.550	-1.862	-2.173	-2.485	-2.797	-3.109	-3.421	-3.734	-4.046	-4.358	-4.671	-4.983	-5.296	-5.608	-5.921	-6.233	-6.546	-6.858
0.25	1.233	2.413	3.599	4.785	5.972	7.159	8.347	9.534	10.722	11.910	13.098	14.286	15.474	16.662	17.850	19.038	20.226	21.414	22.602
	-1.239	-1.550	-1.861	-2.173	-2.484	-2.796	-3.108	-3.420	-3.732	-4.044	-4.356	-4.669	-4.981	-5.294	-5.606	-5.919	-6.231	-6.544	-6.856
0.30	1.239	2.429	3.624	4.820	6.016	7.213	8.410	9.607	10.804	12.001	13.198	14.395	15.592	16.789	17.986	19.183	20.380	21.577	22.774
	-1.239	-1.549	-1.860	-2.171	-2.483	-2.795	-3.106	-3.418	-3.730	-4.042	-4.354	-4.666	-4.978	-5.290	-5.602	-5.914	-6.226	-6.538	-6.850
0.35	1.247	2.448	3.654	4.861	6.068	7.276	8.484	9.692	10.900	12.109	13.317	14.525	15.733	16.941	18.149	19.357	20.565	21.773	22.981
	-1.238	-1.548	-1.859	-2.170	-2.482	-2.793	-3.105	-3.416	-3.728	-4.039	-4.351	-4.663	-4.975	-5.287	-5.599	-5.911	-6.223	-6.535	-6.847
0.40	1.256	2.469	3.688	4.907	6.127	7.348	8.569	9.790	11.011	12.232	13.453	14.674	15.895	17.116	18.337	19.558	20.779	21.999	23.220
	-1.238	-1.547	-1.858	-2.169	-2.480	-2.791	-3.102	-3.414	-3.725	-4.036	-4.348	-4.659	-4.971	-5.282	-5.594	-5.906	-6.217	-6.529	-6.840
0.45	1.266	2.493	3.726	4.959	6.194	7.429	8.664	9.899	11.134	12.369	13.604	14.839	16.074	17.309	18.544	19.779	21.014	22.249	23.484
	-1.237	-1.546	-1.857	-2.167	-2.478	-2.789	-3.100	-3.411	-3.722	-4.033	-4.344	-4.655	-4.966	-5.277	-5.588	-5.899	-6.210	-6.521	-6.832
0.50	1.277	2.520	3.768	5.017	6.267	7.518	8.769	10.019	11.270	12.521	13.772	15.023	16.274	17.525	18.776	20.027	21.278	22.529	23.780
	-1.236	-1.545	-1.855	-2.165	-2.476	-2.786	-3.097	-3.408	-3.718	-4.029	-4.340	-4.651	-4.962	-5.273	-5.584	-5.895	-6.206	-6.517	-6.828
8. 0.00	1.211	2.367	3.526	4.686	5.847	7.008	8.170	9.331	10.493	11.654	12.815	13.976	15.137	16.298	17.459	18.620	19.781	20.942	22.103
	-1.245	-1.554	-1.865	-2.176	-2.487	-2.798	-3.109	-3.420	-3.732	-4.043	-4.354	-4.665	-4.976	-5.287	-5.598	-5.909	-6.220	-6.531	-6.842
0.05	1.212	2.368	3.528	4.689	5.851	7.013	8.176	9.338	10.500	11.663	12.825	13.987	15.149	16.311	17.473	18.635	19.797	20.959	22.121
	-1.245	-1.554	-1.865	-2.176	-2.487	-2.798	-3.109	-3.420	-3.732	-4.043	-4.354	-4.665	-4.976	-5.287	-5.598	-5.909	-6.220	-6.531	-6.842
0.10	1.213	2.372	3.535	4.699	5.864	7.028	8.193	9.358	10.523	11.688	12.853	14.018	15.183	16.348	17.513	18.678	19.843	21.008	22.173
	-1.245	-1.554	-1.865	-2.176	-2.487	-2.798	-3.109	-3.420	-3.731	-4.042	-4.353	-4.664	-4.975	-5.286	-5.597	-5.908	-6.219	-6.530	-6.841
0.15	1.216	2.380	3.547	4.715	5.884	7.053	8.222	9.392	10.561	11.731	12.900	14.069	15.238	16.407	17.576	18.745	19.914	21.083	22.252
	-1.244	-1.554	-1.864	-2.175	-2.486	-2.797	-3.108	-3.419	-3.730	-4.041	-4.352	-4.663	-4.974	-5.285	-5.596	-5.907	-6.218	-6.529	-6.840
0.20	1.221	2.390	3.563	4.738	5.913	7.088	8.263	9.439	10.614	11.790	12.965	14.140	15.315	16.490	17.665	18.840	20.015	21.190	22.365
	-1.244	-1.553	-1.864	-2.174	-2.485	-2.796	-3.107	-3.418	-3.729	-4.040	-4.351	-4.662	-4.973	-5.284	-5.595	-5.906	-6.217	-6.528	-6.839
0.25	1.226	2.403	3.584	4.766	5.949	7.132	8.316	9.499	10.682	11.866	13.049	14.232	15.415	16.598	17.781	18.964	20.147	21.330	22.513
	-1.244	-1.553	-1.863	-2.174	-2.484	-2.795	-3.106	-3.416	-3.727	-4.038	-4.349	-4.660	-4.971	-5.282	-5.593	-5.904	-6.215	-6.526	-6.837
0.30	1.233	2.419	3.609	4.801	5.994	7.186	8.379	9.572	10.765	11.958	13.151	14.344	15.537	16.730	17.923	19.116	20.309	21.502	22.695
	-1.243	-1.552	-1.862	-2.172	-2.483	-2.793	-3.104	-3.415	-3.725	-4.036	-4.347	-4.658	-4.969	-5.280	-5.591	-5.902	-6.213	-6.524	-6.835
0.35	1.240	2.438	3.639	4.842	6.046	7.249	8.453	9.657	10.861	12.066	13.270	14.474	15.678	16.882	18.086	19.290	20.494	21.698	22.902
	-1.243	-1.551	-1.861	-2.171	-2.481	-2.792	-3.102	-3.412	-3.723	-4.033	-4.344	-4.655	-4.966	-5.277	-5.588	-5.899	-6.210	-6.521	-6.832
0.40	1.249	2.459	3.673	4.889	6.105	7.322	8.538	9.755	10.972	12.189	13.406	14.623	15.840	17.057	18.274	19.491	20.708	21.925	23.142
	-1.242	-1.550	-1.860	-2.170	-2.480	-2.790	-3.100	-3.410	-3.720	-4.030	-4.340	-4.650	-4.960	-5.270	-5.580	-5.890	-6.200	-6.510	-6.820
0.45	1.259	2.483	3.711	4.941	6.172	7.402	8.633	9.864	11.096	12.327	13.558	14.789	16.020	17.251	18.482	19.713	20.944	22.175	23.406
	-1.241	-1.549	-1.859	-2.168	-2.478	-2.788	-3.097	-3.407	-3.717	-4.027	-4.337	-4.647	-4.957	-5.267	-5.577	-5.887	-6.197	-6.507	-6.817
0.50	1.270	2.509	3.754	4.999	6.245	7.492	8.739	9.985	11.232	12.479	13.726	14.973	16.220	17.467	18.714	19.961	21.208	22.455	23.702
	-1.240	-1.548	-1.857	-2.166	-2.476	-2.785	-3.095	-3.404	-3.713	-4.023	-4.333	-4.643	-4.953	-5.263	-5.573	-5.883	-6.193	-6.503	-6.813

TABLE 1 POSITION AT WHICH POINTING OCCURES IN TAPERED GEARS

	I 21	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	1	1/2	1/3	1/4	1/5	1/6	1/7	1/8	1/9	1/10	1/11	1/12	1/13	1/14	1/15	1/16	1/17
1. ASTAR.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
SHAFT ANGLE 10.5	HELIX ANGLE 10.0																
17. 0.00	1.215	2.376	3.540	4.705	5.870	7.036	8.202	9.368	10.533	11.699							
	-1.238	-1.549	-1.861	-2.173	-2.486	-2.798	-3.110	-3.423	-3.735	-4.048							
0.05	1.208	2.360	3.514	4.670	5.827	6.983	8.140	9.297	10.454	11.611							
0.05	1.208	2.360	3.514	4.670	5.827	6.983	8.140	9.297	10.454	11.611							
	-1.236	-1.544	-1.854	-2.163	-2.473	-2.782	-3.092	-3.402	-3.712	-4.021							
0.10	1.202	2.346	3.494	4.643	5.792	6.941	8.091	9.240	10.390	11.539							
	-1.234	-1.540	-1.846	-2.153	-2.460	-2.767	-3.074	-3.381	-3.688	-3.995							
0.15	1.198	2.336	3.478	4.622	5.765	6.909	8.053	9.197	10.341	11.486							
	-1.232	-1.535	-1.838	-2.142	-2.446	-2.751	-3.055	-3.359	-3.663	-3.968							
0.20	1.195	2.329	3.468	4.607	5.747	6.888	8.028	9.169	10.309	11.450							
	-1.229	-1.529	-1.830	-2.132	-2.433	-2.734	-3.036	-3.337	-3.639	-3.940							
0.25	1.193	2.325	3.462	4.600	5.738	6.877	8.015	9.154	10.293	11.432							
	-1.227	-1.524	-1.822	-2.121	-2.419	-2.718	-3.016	-3.315	-3.614	-3.912							
0.30	1.192	2.324	3.461	4.599	5.738	6.876	8.015	9.154	10.294	11.433							
	-1.225	-1.519	-1.814	-2.109	-2.405	-2.701	-2.996	-3.292	-3.588	-3.884							
0.35	1.192	2.327	3.465	4.605	5.746	6.887	8.028	9.169	10.310	11.451							
	-1.222	-1.513	-1.805	-2.098	-2.391	-2.684	-2.976	-3.269	-3.562	-3.855							
0.40	1.194	2.332	3.474	4.618	5.763	6.908	8.052	9.198	10.343	11.488							
	-1.219	-1.508	-1.797	-2.086	-2.376	-2.666	-2.956	-3.246	-3.536	-3.826							
0.45	1.197	2.340	3.488	4.638	5.788	6.939	8.090	9.240	10.391	11.543							
	-1.216	-1.502	-1.788	-2.075	-2.361	-2.648	-2.935	-3.222	-3.509	-3.796							
0.50	1.202	2.352	3.507	4.664	5.822	6.980	8.139	9.297	10.456	11.615							
	-1.214	-1.496	-1.779	-2.062	-2.346	-2.630	-2.914	-3.198	-3.482	-3.766							
18. 0.00	1.211	2.371	3.534	4.697	5.862	7.026	8.190	9.355	10.519	11.684							
	-1.247	-1.557	-1.869	-2.181	-2.493	-2.805	-3.117	-3.429	-3.741	-4.053							
0.05	1.204	2.355	3.509	4.663	5.818	6.973	8.129	9.284	10.440	11.595							
	-1.244	-1.553	-1.861	-2.171	-2.480	-2.789	-3.098	-3.408	-3.717	-4.026							
0.10	1.199	2.342	3.488	4.635	5.783	6.931	8.079	9.228	10.376	11.524							
	-1.242	-1.548	-1.854	-2.160	-2.467	-2.773	-3.080	-3.387	-3.693	-4.000							
0.15	1.194	2.332	3.473	4.614	5.757	6.899	8.042	9.185	10.328	11.471							
	-1.240	-1.543	-1.846	-2.150	-2.453	-2.757	-3.061	-3.365	-3.669	-3.973							
0.20	1.191	2.325	3.462	4.600	5.739	6.878	8.017	9.156	10.296	11.435							
	-1.238	-1.537	-1.838	-2.139	-2.440	-2.741	-3.042	-3.343	-3.644	-3.945							
0.25	1.189	2.321	3.456	4.593	5.730	6.867	8.005	9.142	10.280	11.418							
	-1.235	-1.532	-1.830	-2.128	-2.426	-2.724	-3.023	-3.321	-3.619	-3.917							
0.30	1.188	2.320	3.455	4.592	5.729	6.867	8.005	9.142	10.280	11.418							
	-1.233	-1.527	-1.822	-2.117	-2.412	-2.707	-3.003	-3.298	-3.594	-3.889							
0.35	1.189	2.322	3.460	4.598	5.738	6.877	8.017	9.157	10.297	11.437							
	-1.230	-1.521	-1.813	-2.105	-2.398	-2.690	-2.983	-3.275	-3.568	-3.860							
0.40	1.190	2.327	3.469	4.611	5.755	6.898	8.042	9.186	10.330	11.474							
	-1.227	-1.516	-1.805	-2.094	-2.383	-2.673	-2.962	-3.252	-3.541	-3.831							
0.45	1.193	2.336	3.483	4.631	5.780	6.930	8.079	9.229	10.379	11.529							
	-1.225	-1.510	-1.796	-2.082	-2.368	-2.655	-2.941	-3.228	-3.515	-3.801							
0.50	1.198	2.347	3.501	4.657	5.814	6.971	8.128	9.286	10.443	11.601							
	-1.222	-1.504	-1.787	-2.070	-2.353	-2.637	-2.920	-3.204	-3.487	-3.771							



TABLE 1 POSITION AT WHICH POINTING OCCURES IN TAPERED GEARS

.121.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
. . . 1	I	1/2	I	1/3	I	1/4	I	1/5	I	1/6	I
. . .	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Z1.ASTAR.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
SHAFT ANGLE 135						HELIX ANGLE 10.					
17. 0.00	1.280	2.450	3.617	4.784	5.951	7.117	8.283	9.450	10.616	11.783	
	-3.98	-6.01	-8.03	-10.05	-12.07	-14.09	-16.11	-18.13	-20.15	-22.17	
0.05	1.279	2.446	3.612	4.776	5.940	7.105	8.269	9.432	10.596	11.760	
	-3.98	-6.00	-8.02	-10.03	-12.05	-14.06	-16.08	-18.09	-20.11	-22.13	
0.10	1.277	2.443	3.607	4.770	5.932	7.094	8.256	9.419	10.581	11.743	
	-3.97	-5.99	-8.00	-10.00	-12.01	-14.02	-16.02	-18.03	-20.04	-22.06	
0.15	1.276	2.441	3.603	4.764	5.925	7.086	8.247	9.408	10.569	11.730	
	-3.97	-5.97	-7.97	-9.97	-11.96	-13.96	-15.96	-17.95	-19.95	-21.95	
0.20	1.275	2.439	3.600	4.761	5.921	7.081	8.241	9.401	10.560	11.720	
	-3.96	-5.95	-7.94	-9.92	-11.91	-13.89	-15.87	-17.85	-19.84	-21.84	
0.25	1.275	2.438	3.598	4.758	5.918	7.078	8.237	9.397	10.556	11.719	
	-3.95	-5.93	-7.90	-9.87	-11.84	-13.80	-15.77	-17.74	-19.70	-21.80	
0.30	1.274	2.437	3.598	4.758	5.917	7.077	8.236	9.396	10.555	11.718	
	-3.94	-5.90	-7.85	-9.80	-11.75	-13.70	-15.65	-17.60	-19.55	-21.80	
0.35	1.274	2.437	3.598	4.758	5.918	7.079	8.239	9.399	10.559	11.718	
	-3.93	-5.87	-7.80	-9.73	-11.66	-13.59	-15.52	-17.44	-19.37	-21.80	
0.40	1.274	2.437	3.599	4.760	5.921	7.083	8.244	9.405	10.566	11.718	
	-3.91	-5.83	-7.74	-9.65	-11.56	-13.46	-15.36	-17.27	-19.17	-21.80	
0.45	1.274	2.438	3.601	4.764	5.926	7.089	8.251	9.414	10.576	11.718	
	-3.89	-5.79	-7.68	-9.56	-11.44	-13.32	-15.19	-17.07	-18.95	-20.80	
0.50	1.274	2.440	3.604	4.769	5.933	7.098	8.262	9.426	10.591	11.718	
	-3.88	-5.75	-7.60	-9.46	-11.31	-13.15	-15.00	-16.85	-18.70	-20.80	
18. 0.00	1.272	2.438	3.602	4.766	5.928	7.091	8.254	9.417	10.579	11.740	
	-3.98	-6.00	-8.02	-10.03	-12.05	-14.06	-16.07	-18.09	-20.10	-22.12	
0.05	1.271	2.435	3.597	4.757	5.918	7.079	8.239	9.399	10.560	11.720	
	-3.98	-5.99	-8.00	-10.01	-12.02	-14.03	-16.04	-18.05	-20.05	-22.06	
0.10	1.270	2.432	3.592	4.751	5.910	7.068	8.227	9.386	10.544	11.700	
	-3.97	-5.98	-7.98	-9.99	-11.99	-13.99	-15.99	-17.99	-19.99	-21.99	
0.15	1.268	2.429	3.588	4.746	5.903	7.061	8.218	9.375	10.532	11.690	
	-3.97	-5.96	-7.96	-9.95	-11.94	-13.93	-15.92	-17.91	-19.90	-21.90	
0.20	1.268	2.428	3.585	4.742	5.899	7.055	8.211	9.368	10.524	11.690	
	-3.96	-5.94	-7.92	-9.90	-11.88	-13.86	-15.83	-17.81	-19.79	-21.90	
0.25	1.267	2.426	3.583	4.740	5.896	7.052	8.208	9.364	10.520	11.690	
	-3.95	-5.92	-7.89	-9.85	-11.81	-13.77	-15.73	-17.69	-19.65	-21.90	
0.30	1.266	2.426	3.583	4.739	5.895	7.051	8.207	9.363	10.519	11.690	
	-3.94	-5.89	-7.84	-9.79	-11.73	-13.67	-15.61	-17.56	-19.50	-21.90	
0.35	1.266	2.425	3.583	4.740	5.896	7.053	8.210	9.366	10.523	11.690	
	-3.93	-5.86	-7.79	-9.71	-11.64	-13.56	-15.48	-17.40	-19.32	-21.90	
0.40	1.266	2.426	3.584	4.742	5.899	7.057	8.215	9.372	10.530	11.690	
	-3.91	-5.83	-7.73	-9.63	-11.53	-13.43	-15.33	-17.22	-19.12	-21.90	
0.45	1.266	2.427	3.586	4.745	5.904	7.063	8.222	9.381	10.540	11.690	
	-3.89	-5.78	-7.66	-9.54	-11.41	-13.28	-15.15	-17.02	-18.89	-20.80	
0.50	1.266	2.428	3.589	4.750	5.911	7.072	8.233	9.394	10.555	11.710	
	-3.88	-5.74	-7.59	-9.44	-11.28	-13.12	-14.96	-16.80	-18.64	-20.80	

The solution,  $\theta_p$  of equation (2.41) when substituted in equation (2.15) gives the point on the gear surface at which pointing occurs. The radius and the distances along  $z_2$  and  $z_1$  axis at which pointing occurs can be determined from the following expressions:

$$r_{2p} = [R_{x2U}^2 + R_{y2U}^2]^{1/2} \cos \alpha_{os} = [R_{x2L}^2 + R_{y2L}^2]^{1/2} \cos \alpha_{os}$$

$$z_{2p} = R_{z2U} \cos \alpha_{os} = R_{z2L} \cos \alpha_{os}$$

$$\text{and } z_{1p} = \lambda_U \cos \alpha_{os} = \lambda_L \cos \alpha_{os} \quad 2.42$$

where  $R_{2k} = [R_{x2k}(\theta_{kp}, \phi_{1kp}), R_{y2k}(\theta_{kp}, \phi_{1kp}), R_{z2k}(\theta_{kp}, \phi_{1kp})]^T$

Here,  $r_{2p}$ ,  $z_{2p}$  and  $z_{1p}$  are non-dimensionalized with respect to nominal pitch radius of the cutter. It can be seen that the above limiting dimensions depend on  $Z_0$ ,  $\alpha_{os}$ ,  $i_{20}$ ,  $f_{os}$ ,  $\xi_{os}$ ,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\beta$  and  $a$ . Equation (2.41) may be solved for different sets of these parameters. A few samples of the results of computation are tabulated in Table 1.<sup>1</sup>

## 2.9 Undercutting of gear teeth.

Undercutting of gear tooth is due to the appearance of the cuspidal points on its surface [8]. During generation a portion of the tooth surface is removed below these points. Physical boundaries of gear blanks are so chosen that these special points are avoided from the region of mesh [19]. In this section, the

---

<sup>1</sup> For more results, see [22]

equation to find the limiting dimensions of tapered gear to avoid undercutting are developed.

The condition for undercutting is expressed in the following form. This condition holds good at cuspidal points. [Appendix II]

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_k} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi_1} & \frac{d\phi_1}{dt} \\ \frac{\partial R_{x1}}{\partial \theta_k} & \frac{\partial R_{x1}}{\partial \lambda} & V_{x1}^{12} & \\ \frac{\partial R_{z1}}{\partial \theta_k} & \frac{\partial R_{z1}}{\partial \lambda} & V_{z1}^{12} & \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad 2.43$$

where  $\frac{d\phi_1}{dt} = 1$

Expanding the determinant, we can write

$$U = U(\theta_k, \lambda, \phi_1) \quad 2.44$$

where,  $R_1 = R_1(\theta_k, \lambda) \quad 2.6$

is the generating surface and

$$f = f(\theta_k, \lambda, \phi_1) \quad 2.11$$

is the equation of contact. The interest lies in finding the cuspidal points corresponding to the tip of the cutter. The equation of the tip of the cutter is given by

$$B(\theta_k, \lambda) = 0 \quad 2.45$$

In case of the involute helical cutter equation (2.45) is expressed as

$$\theta_k^* = \theta_{ke}^* = (r_{oe}^2 - 1)^{1/2} \quad 2.46$$

$$\text{where, } r_{oe} = [1 + 2(f_{os} + c_{os})/Z_o]/\cos \alpha_{os} \quad 2.47$$

Here, the cutter is assumed to be unconnected, that is,  $\xi_{os} = 0$ .

To obtain the condition of undercutting for tapered gears

the expressions for  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_k}$ ,  $\frac{\partial R_{x1}}{\partial \lambda}$ ,  $\frac{\partial R_{z1}}{\partial \theta_k}$ ,  $\frac{\partial R_{z1}}{\partial \theta_k}$ ,  $V_{1x}^{12}$  and  $V_{1y}^{12}$

derived from equations (2.6, 2.13, R-1, and 2.46) are substituted

in equation (2.43). As a result the following condition is

obtained

$$\begin{aligned} & [ai_{21}C\epsilon + i_{21}S\epsilon \tan \beta (S\phi_k + a)S\phi_k - (1 - i_{21}C\epsilon)S\phi_k] [(1 - i_{21}C\epsilon)S\phi_k + i_{21}C\epsilon C\phi_k \theta_{ke}^* - ai_{21}C\epsilon - \\ & - i_{21}S\epsilon \tan \beta S\phi_k (R_{cx} + a)] + i_{21}S\epsilon C^3\phi_k \theta_{ke}^* \tan \beta - i_{21}^2 S^2\epsilon C^3\phi_k \theta_{ke}^* \sec^2 \beta (R_{cx} + a) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad 2.48$$

where

$$R_{cx} = S\phi_k - \theta_{ke}^* C\phi_k$$

This can be written as

$$F_u(\phi_k) = 0 \quad 2.49$$

For spur face gears, above equation takes a simpler form

$$S^2\phi_k + i_{21}^2 \theta_{ke}^* (S\phi_k - \theta_{ke}^* C\phi_k + a)C^3\phi_k = 0$$

similar expressions have been derived earlier [5] [8] [12] .

The limiting dimension of the gear to avoid undercutting can be

found by the following consideration.

TABLE 2 POSITION AT WHICH UNDERCUTTING OCCURES IN TAPERED GEARS

.121.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
. . . 1	I	1/2 I	1/3 I	1/4 I	1/5 I	1/6 I	1/7 I	1/8 I	1/9 I	1/10 I	I
. . .	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Z1, ASTAR.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
SHAFT ANGLE 105						HELIX ANGLE 0.0					
17. 0.00	1.009	1.947	2.878	3.810	4.743	5.678	6.613	7.550	8.486	9.424	
	-1.393	-1.608	-1.834	-2.067	-2.306	-2.548	-2.792	-3.038	-3.285	-3.533	
0.05	1.019	1.967	2.904	3.840	4.777	5.714	6.652	7.590	8.529	9.468	
	-1.399	-1.620	-1.848	-2.084	-2.324	-2.567	-2.811	-3.058	-3.305	-3.553	
0.10	1.029	1.988	2.934	3.877	4.819	5.761	6.703	7.646	8.589	9.532	
	-1.405	-1.630	-1.861	-2.098	-2.339	-2.582	-2.828	-3.074	-3.321	-3.569	
0.15	1.040	2.012	2.968	3.919	4.869	5.818	6.767	7.716	8.666	9.615	
	-1.410	-1.639	-1.873	-2.111	-2.352	-2.596	-2.842	-3.088	-3.335	-3.583	
0.20	1.052	2.038	3.005	3.967	4.927	5.886	6.844	7.801	8.759	9.716	
	-1.414	-1.647	-1.883	-2.122	-2.364	-2.609	-2.854	-3.101	-3.348	-3.596	
0.25	1.065	2.066	3.047	4.021	4.993	5.963	6.931	7.900	8.868	9.836	
	-1.418	-1.654	-1.892	-2.132	-2.375	-2.619	-2.865	-3.112	-3.359	-3.607	
0.30	1.078	2.096	3.092	4.081	5.066	6.049	7.031	8.012	8.992	9.973	
	-1.421	-1.661	-1.900	-2.141	-2.384	-2.629	-2.875	-3.122	-3.369	-3.617	
0.35	1.092	2.127	3.141	4.146	5.146	6.145	7.141	8.137	9.132	10.126	
	-1.424	-1.666	-1.907	-2.149	-2.393	-2.638	-2.884	-3.130	-3.377	-3.625	
0.40	1.106	2.161	3.193	4.216	5.234	6.249	7.262	8.274	9.285	10.296	
	-1.426	-1.671	-1.913	-2.156	-2.400	-2.645	-2.891	-3.138	-3.385	-3.632	
0.45	1.121	2.197	3.249	4.291	5.328	6.361	7.393	8.423	9.452	10.480	
	-1.428	-1.676	-1.919	-2.163	-2.407	-2.652	-2.898	-3.144	-3.391	-3.639	
0.50	1.136	2.234	3.308	4.371	5.428	6.481	7.533	8.583	9.632	10.680	
	-1.430	-1.679	-1.924	-2.168	-2.413	-2.658	-2.904	-3.150	-3.397	-3.644	
18. 0.00	1.006	1.945	2.876	3.808	4.741	5.676	6.612	7.548	8.485	9.423	
	-1.387	-1.603	-1.830	-2.064	-2.303	-2.546	-2.790	-3.036	-3.283	-3.531	
0.05	1.016	1.965	2.903	3.840	4.775	5.717	6.656	7.596	8.535	9.476	
	-1.393	-1.614	-1.844	-2.080	-2.320	-2.564	-2.809	-3.055	-3.303	-3.551	
0.10	1.026	1.985	2.931	3.874	4.816	5.758	6.701	7.644	8.586	9.530	
	-1.398	-1.624	-1.857	-2.094	-2.335	-2.579	-2.825	-3.071	-3.319	-3.567	
0.15	1.038	2.009	2.964	3.916	4.866	5.815	6.764	7.713	8.663	9.612	
	-1.403	-1.633	-1.868	-2.107	-2.349	-2.593	-2.838	-3.085	-3.332	-3.581	
0.20	1.049	2.034	3.002	3.964	4.923	5.882	6.840	7.798	8.755	9.713	
	-1.407	-1.641	-1.878	-2.118	-2.360	-2.605	-2.850	-3.097	-3.345	-3.593	
0.25	1.062	2.062	3.043	4.017	4.989	5.958	6.927	7.896	8.864	9.831	
	-1.411	-1.648	-1.886	-2.127	-2.370	-2.615	-2.861	-3.108	-3.355	-3.603	
0.30	1.075	2.092	3.088	4.076	5.061	6.044	7.026	8.007	8.988	9.968	
	-1.414	-1.655	-1.894	-2.136	-2.380	-2.625	-2.871	-3.117	-3.365	-3.613	
0.35	1.088	2.123	3.136	4.141	5.141	6.139	7.136	8.132	9.126	10.121	
	-1.417	-1.660	-1.901	-2.144	-2.388	-2.633	-2.879	-3.126	-3.373	-3.621	
0.40	1.103	2.157	3.188	4.211	5.228	6.243	7.256	8.268	9.279	10.290	
	-1.419	-1.665	-1.907	-2.151	-2.395	-2.640	-2.886	-3.133	-3.380	-3.628	
0.45	1.117	2.192	3.244	4.285	5.322	6.355	7.387	8.417	9.446	10.474	
	-1.421	-1.669	-1.913	-2.157	-2.401	-2.647	-2.893	-3.140	-3.387	-3.634	
0.50	1.133	2.229	3.302	4.365	5.422	6.475	7.527	8.576	9.625	10.673	
	-1.423	-1.673	-1.918	-2.162	-2.407	-2.652	-2.898	-3.145	-3.392	-3.639	

TABLE 2 POSITION AT WHICH UNDERCUTTING OCCURES IN TAPERED GEARS

-----											
. . I21.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
. . . 1	I 1/2	I 1/3	I 1/4	I 1/5	I 1/6	I 1/7	I 1/8	I 1/9	I 1/10		
. . .	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
21.ASTAR.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
-----											
SHAFT ANGLE 105						HELIX ANGLE 10.0					
17.	0.00	1.052	2.017	2.963	3.904	4.842	5.780	6.718	7.656	8.594	9.531
		-1.418	-1.649	-1.883	-2.121	-2.362	-2.606	-2.850	-3.097	-3.344	-3.591
	0.05	1.038	1.989	2.923	3.854	4.783	5.713	6.642	7.572	8.502	9.432
		-1.412	-1.637	-1.866	-2.101	-2.339	-2.579	-2.822	-3.065	-3.310	-3.555
	0.10	1.026	1.964	2.888	3.810	4.733	5.656	6.579	7.503	8.427	9.352
		-1.405	-1.624	-1.848	-2.079	-2.314	-2.551	-2.791	-3.032	-3.275	-3.518
	0.15	1.014	1.941	2.857	3.773	4.691	5.609	6.529	7.450	8.371	9.293
		-1.398	-1.609	-1.828	-2.055	-2.286	-2.521	-2.758	-2.997	-3.236	-3.477
	0.20	1.003	1.920	2.832	3.752	4.672	5.592	6.513	7.471	8.357	9.279
		-1.390	-1.593	-1.810	-2.036	-2.267	-2.500	-2.735	-2.871	-3.210	-3.449
	0.25	0.992	1.930	2.858	3.783	4.707	5.632	6.557	7.536	8.406	9.332
		-1.382	-1.598	-1.818	-2.044	-2.273	-2.505	-2.738	-2.851	-3.209	-3.445
	0.30	1.000	1.951	2.888	3.820	4.752	5.682	6.613	7.618	8.474	9.404
		-1.387	-1.604	-1.824	-2.049	-2.277	-2.507	-2.739	-2.833	-3.205	-3.439
	0.35	1.010	1.973	2.921	3.864	4.804	5.743	6.682	7.716	8.558	9.496
		-1.390	-1.609	-1.829	-2.053	-2.280	-2.508	-2.737	-2.816	-3.199	-3.431
	0.40	1.021	1.997	2.958	3.913	4.864	5.814	6.763	7.830	8.659	9.606
		-1.393	-1.612	-1.833	-2.056	-2.281	-2.507	-2.734	-2.800	-3.192	-3.421
	0.45	1.031	2.023	2.999	3.967	4.931	5.894	6.855	7.959	8.775	9.735
		-1.394	-1.615	-1.835	-2.057	-2.280	-2.505	-2.730	-2.784	-3.183	-3.410
	0.50	1.043	2.051	3.043	4.027	5.006	5.983	6.959	8.102	8.907	9.880
		-1.395	-1.617	-1.837	-2.057	-2.279	-2.501	-2.724	-2.769	-3.172	-3.397
18.	0.00	1.049	2.013	2.959	3.900	4.838	5.777	6.714	7.652	8.590	9.528
		-1.412	-1.644	-1.878	-2.116	-2.358	-2.602	-2.847	-3.093	-3.340	-3.588
	0.05	1.035	1.986	2.920	3.850	4.780	5.709	6.639	7.569	8.499	9.429
		-1.406	-1.631	-1.861	-2.096	-2.335	-2.576	-2.819	-3.062	-3.307	-3.553
	0.10	1.023	1.960	2.885	3.807	4.730	5.653	6.577	7.501	8.425	9.350
		-1.399	-1.618	-1.843	-2.075	-2.310	-2.548	-2.788	-3.030	-3.272	-3.515
	0.15	1.011	1.938	2.854	3.771	4.688	5.607	6.527	7.448	8.369	9.291
		-1.392	-1.604	-1.824	-2.051	-2.283	-2.518	-2.755	-2.994	-3.234	-3.475
	0.20	1.000	1.917	2.830	3.750	4.670	5.590	6.512	7.433	8.355	9.278
		-1.384	-1.588	-1.806	-2.032	-2.263	-2.497	-2.733	-2.970	-3.208	-3.447
	0.25	0.989	1.928	2.855	3.781	4.705	5.630	6.554	7.479	8.404	9.330
		-1.375	-1.593	-1.814	-2.040	-2.270	-2.502	-2.735	-2.971	-3.206	-3.443
	0.30	0.998	1.948	2.885	3.817	4.749	5.680	6.610	7.541	8.471	9.402
		-1.381	-1.598	-1.820	-2.045	-2.273	-2.504	-2.736	-2.968	-3.202	-3.436
	0.35	1.008	1.970	2.918	3.860	4.801	5.740	6.678	7.617	8.555	9.493
		-1.384	-1.603	-1.824	-2.049	-2.276	-2.504	-2.734	-2.965	-3.196	-3.428
	0.40	1.018	1.994	2.955	3.909	4.860	5.810	6.759	7.707	8.655	9.602
		-1.386	-1.607	-1.828	-2.051	-2.276	-2.503	-2.730	-2.959	-3.188	-3.418
	0.45	1.029	2.020	2.995	3.963	4.927	5.890	6.851	7.811	8.771	9.730
		-1.388	-1.609	-1.830	-2.052	-2.275	-2.500	-2.726	-2.952	-3.179	-3.406
	0.50	1.040	2.048	3.039	4.022	5.002	5.979	6.954	7.929	8.902	9.876
		-1.389	-1.611	-1.831	-2.052	-2.274	-2.496	-2.719	-2.943	-3.168	-3.393

TABLE 2 POSITION AT WHICH UNDERCUTTING OCCURES IN TAPERED GEARS

		I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	.121.	1	1/2	1/3	1/4	1/5	1/6	1/7	1/8	1/9	1/10
		I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Z1.ASTAR.		I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
SHAFT ANGLE 135											HELIX ANGLE 0.0
17.	0.00	0.967	1.906	2.842	3.779	4.716	5.654	6.592	7.531	8.469	9.408
		-2.468	-3.361	-4.270	-5.189	-6.114	-7.042	-7.973	-8.906	-9.840	-10.775
	0.05	0.971	1.914	2.854	3.793	4.732	5.671	6.610	7.550	8.489	9.429
		-2.474	-3.373	-4.286	-5.207	-6.133	-7.062	-7.993	-8.926	-9.860	-10.795
	0.10	0.975	1.923	2.867	3.809	4.751	5.693	6.634	7.576	8.518	9.460
		-2.479	-3.382	-4.297	-5.218	-6.144	-7.073	-8.003	-8.935	-9.868	-10.801
	0.15	0.980	1.933	2.882	3.828	4.774	5.720	6.665	7.610	8.556	9.501
		-2.483	-3.389	-4.304	-5.225	-6.149	-7.076	-8.004	-8.933	-9.864	-10.795
	0.20	0.984	1.944	2.899	3.850	4.801	5.752	6.702	7.652	8.601	9.551
		-2.486	-3.393	-4.307	-5.225	-6.147	-7.071	-7.995	-8.921	-9.848	-10.775
	0.25	0.989	1.956	2.917	3.875	4.832	5.789	6.745	7.700	8.655	9.611
		-2.488	-3.394	-4.306	-5.221	-6.138	-7.057	-7.978	-8.899	-9.820	-10.742
	0.30	0.995	1.969	2.937	3.903	4.867	5.830	6.793	7.755	8.717	9.679
		-2.488	-3.393	-4.300	-5.211	-6.123	-7.036	-7.951	-8.866	-9.781	-10.697
	0.35	1.000	1.983	2.959	3.933	4.905	5.877	6.847	7.817	8.787	9.757
		-2.488	-3.389	-4.291	-5.195	-6.101	-7.007	-7.914	-8.822	-9.730	-10.638
	0.40	1.006	1.997	2.983	3.966	4.947	5.927	6.907	7.886	8.864	9.843
		-2.486	-3.382	-4.278	-5.174	-6.072	-6.970	-7.869	-8.768	-9.667	-10.567
	0.45	1.012	2.012	3.008	4.001	4.992	5.982	6.971	7.960	8.949	9.937
		-2.483	-3.372	-4.260	-5.148	-6.036	-6.925	-7.813	-8.703	-9.592	-10.481
	0.50	1.018	2.028	3.035	4.038	5.040	6.041	7.041	8.041	9.040	10.039
		-2.479	-3.360	-4.238	-5.116	-5.993	-6.871	-7.748	-8.626	-9.504	-10.382
18.	0.00	0.966	1.905	2.842	3.778	4.716	5.653	6.592	7.530	8.469	9.407
		-2.460	-3.355	-4.265	-5.185	-6.110	-7.039	-7.971	-8.904	-9.838	-10.773
	0.05	0.970	1.913	2.853	3.792	4.731	5.670	6.609	7.549	8.488	9.428
		-2.467	-3.367	-4.281	-5.202	-6.129	-7.058	-7.990	-8.923	-9.858	-10.793
	0.10	0.974	1.922	2.866	3.808	4.750	5.691	6.633	7.575	8.517	9.459
		-2.472	-3.376	-4.292	-5.214	-6.140	-7.069	-8.000	-8.932	-9.865	-10.799
	0.15	0.979	1.932	2.880	3.827	4.773	5.718	6.664	7.609	8.554	9.499
		-2.476	-3.382	-4.298	-5.220	-6.144	-7.071	-8.000	-8.930	-9.860	-10.791
	0.20	0.983	1.943	2.897	3.849	4.800	5.750	6.700	7.650	8.600	9.549
		-2.478	-3.386	-4.301	-5.220	-6.142	-7.066	-7.991	-8.917	-9.844	-10.771
	0.25	0.988	1.955	2.915	3.874	4.831	5.787	6.743	7.698	8.654	9.609
		-2.480	-3.387	-4.299	-5.215	-6.133	-7.052	-7.973	-8.894	-9.816	-10.738
	0.30	0.993	1.968	2.936	3.901	4.865	5.828	6.791	7.753	8.715	9.677
		-2.480	-3.385	-4.294	-5.205	-6.117	-7.031	-7.945	-8.861	-9.776	-10.692
	0.35	0.999	1.981	2.957	3.931	4.903	5.874	6.845	7.815	8.785	9.754
		-2.480	-3.381	-4.284	-5.189	-6.095	-7.001	-7.909	-8.817	-9.725	-10.633
	0.40	1.004	1.995	2.981	3.964	4.945	5.925	6.904	7.883	8.862	9.840
		-2.478	-3.374	-4.271	-5.168	-6.065	-6.964	-7.863	-8.762	-9.661	-10.561
	0.45	1.010	2.011	3.006	3.998	4.990	5.980	6.969	7.958	8.946	9.934
		-2.475	-3.365	-4.253	-5.141	-6.029	-6.918	-7.807	-8.696	-9.586	-10.475
	0.50	1.016	2.026	3.032	4.036	5.038	6.038	7.039	8.038	9.037	10.036
		-2.471	-3.352	-4.231	-5.109	-5.986	-6.864	-7.742	-8.620	-9.498	-10.376

TABLE 2 POSITION AT WHICH UNDERCUTTING OCCURES IN TAPERED GEARS

-----											
. . I21.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
. . . 1	I 1/2	I 1/3	I 1/4	I 1/5	I 1/6	I 1/7	I 1/8	I 1/9	I 1/10		
. . .	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Z1.ASTAR.	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
-----											
SHAFT ANGLE 135						HELIX ANGLE 10.0					
17.	0.00	1.001	1.955	2.900	3.842	4.782	5.721	6.661	7.599	8.538	9.477
		-2.523	-3.441	-4.362	-5.288	-6.216	-7.146	-8.078	-9.011	-9.945	-10.880
0.05	0.994	1.941	2.878	3.813	4.746	5.680	6.613	7.546	8.479	9.411	
		-2.514	-3.421	-4.333	-5.250	-6.170	-7.093	-8.018	-8.944	-9.871	-10.798
0.10	0.988	1.927	2.858	3.786	4.715	5.643	6.571	7.499	8.428	9.356	
		-2.504	-3.398	-4.299	-5.206	-6.118	-7.032	-7.948	-8.866	-9.785	-10.705
0.15	0.982	1.914	2.842	3.763	4.687	5.612	6.536	7.461	0.000	0.000	
		-2.492	-3.373	-4.024	-5.158	-6.059	-6.963	-7.869	-8.778	0.000	0.000
0.20	0.976	1.902	2.862	3.756	4.664	5.576	6.508	7.410	8.353	9.276	
		-2.480	-3.345	-4.007	-4.922	-5.992	-6.743	-7.780	-8.559	-9.576	-10.476
0.25	0.970	1.891	2.883	3.777	4.684	5.597	6.513	7.431	8.350	9.271	
		-2.466	-3.314	-3.988	-4.892	-5.791	-6.689	-7.586	-8.482	-9.377	-10.272
0.30	0.965	1.882	2.906	3.802	4.709	5.623	6.540	7.459	8.379	9.300	
		-2.451	-3.280	-3.966	-4.858	-5.745	-6.631	-7.515	-8.398	-9.281	-10.163
0.35	0.960	1.874	2.931	3.829	4.739	5.654	6.573	7.494	8.416	9.339	
		-2.435	-3.245	-3.942	-4.820	-5.694	-6.566	-7.436	-8.305	-9.174	-10.043
0.40	0.955	1.881	2.958	3.859	4.772	5.691	6.613	7.537	8.462	9.388	
		-2.417	-3.233	-3.914	-4.778	-5.637	-6.494	-7.349	-8.203	-9.057	-9.910
0.45	0.951	1.890	2.986	3.892	4.810	5.733	6.659	7.587	8.517	9.447	
		-2.398	-3.217	-3.884	-4.731	-5.574	-6.414	-7.253	-8.090	-8.927	-9.763
0.50	0.952	1.899	3.017	3.928	4.851	5.779	6.711	7.644	8.580	9.515	
		-2.389	-3.198	-3.850	-4.680	-5.505	-6.326	-7.147	-7.966	-8.784	-9.601
18.	0.00	0.999	1.953	2.898	3.840	4.780	5.719	6.658	7.597	8.536	9.474
		-2.515	-3.433	-4.356	-5.282	-6.210	-7.141	-8.073	-9.006	-9.940	-10.875
0.05	0.992	1.939	2.876	3.811	4.744	5.678	6.611	7.544	8.477	9.410	
		-2.506	-3.414	-4.326	-5.244	-6.165	-7.088	-8.013	-8.939	-9.867	-10.794
0.10	0.986	1.925	2.856	3.785	4.713	5.641	6.570	7.498	8.426	9.355	
		-2.495	-3.391	-4.293	-5.201	-6.113	-7.028	-7.944	-8.862	-9.781	-10.701
0.15	0.980	1.912	2.839	3.762	4.686	5.610	6.535	0.000	0.000	0.000	
		-2.484	-3.366	-4.026	-5.152	-6.054	-6.959	-7.865	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.20	0.974	1.900	2.857	3.753	4.663	5.574	6.507	7.430	8.352	9.275	
		-2.471	-3.338	-4.009	-4.923	-5.988	-6.744	-7.777	-8.674	-9.573	-10.473
0.25	0.969	1.890	2.878	3.774	4.681	5.594	6.511	7.429	8.349	9.269	
		-2.458	-3.307	-3.989	-4.893	-5.792	-6.690	-7.586	-8.482	-9.377	-10.272
0.30	0.964	1.880	2.901	3.798	4.706	5.620	6.537	7.456	8.377	9.298	
		-2.443	-3.273	-3.967	-4.858	-5.746	-6.631	-7.515	-8.398	-9.281	-10.163
0.35	0.959	1.873	2.925	3.824	4.735	5.651	6.570	7.491	8.414	9.337	
		-2.427	-3.240	-3.943	-4.820	-5.694	-6.566	-7.436	-8.305	-9.174	-10.043
0.40	0.954	1.880	2.952	3.854	4.768	5.687	6.610	7.534	8.459	9.386	
		-2.409	-3.227	-3.915	-4.778	-5.637	-6.493	-7.348	-8.203	-9.056	-9.909
0.45	0.950	1.889	2.980	3.887	4.805	5.728	6.655	7.584	8.513	9.444	
		-2.390	-3.211	-3.884	-4.731	-5.574	-6.414	-7.252	-8.089	-8.926	-9.762
0.50	0.951	1.898	3.010	3.922	4.846	5.774	6.706	7.640	8.576	9.512	
		-2.382	-3.191	-3.850	-4.679	-5.504	-6.326	-7.146	-7.964	-8.783	-9.600

Let  $\phi_{uk}$  be the solution of the equation (2.48). From equations (2.14) and (2.15), we get

$$\lambda_{uk} = \frac{1 - i_{21} C \Sigma - a i_{21} C \Sigma \phi_{uk} - i_{21} S \Sigma \tan \beta (S \phi_k - \theta_{ke}^* C \phi_k + a)}{i_{21} C \Sigma C \phi_k}$$

$$\theta_{uk} = \theta_k^* + \lambda_{uk} \tan \beta \pm \eta_1$$

$$\text{and } \phi_{luk} = \phi_{uk} - \theta_{uk}.$$

The distance at which undercutting starts is given by

$$r_{2uk} = [r_{x2k}^2 + r_{y2k}^2]^{1/2} \cos \alpha_{os} \quad 2.50$$

$$z_{2uk} = R_{z2} \cos \alpha_{os}$$

$$\text{and } z_{1uk} = \lambda_{uk} \cos \alpha_{os}$$

$$\text{where, } R_{2k} = [R_{x2k}(\theta_{uk}, \phi_{luk}), R_{y2k}(\theta_{uk}, \phi_{luk}), R_{z2k}(\theta_{uk}, \phi_{luk})]^T$$

Here  $r_{uk}$ ,  $z_{2uk}$  and  $z_{1uk}$  are non-dimensionalized with respect to nominal pitch radius of the cutter. This is done for the sake of convenience in use.

It can be observed that undercutting depends on  $Z_o$ ,  $\alpha_{os}$ ,  $i_{20}$ ,  $f_{os}$ ,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\beta$  and  $a$ . Some sample results for different set of these parameters are given in Table (2)<sup>1</sup>

## 2.10 Curvatures at the contact-point.

The normal curve on a surface is a curve obtained when a plane containing the normal, at that point of the surface, intersects . .

---

<sup>1</sup> For more results see [22] .

The curvature of normal curve is called the normal curvature of the surface. Out of the infinite positions of the plane, (the plane can rotate about the normal), at two positions normal curvatures, attain maximum and minimum values. These curvatures are called principal curvatures. Principal curvatures are used to find the contact zone, the contact stress, the surface durability ratings and for analysing the interference of the surface, if any.

The principal curvatures of the generating (pinion) surface are found easily because of its relatively simple geometry. Generally, the expressions for a generated (Gear) surface are more complex than those of the generating (pinion) surface. For this reason, defining the principal curvatures of a generated surface becomes more difficult.

Many investigators have found the principal curvatures of the gear tooth indirectly [8][15][16]. Litvin [17] related the principal curvatures of generated surface with those of the generating surface and the parameters of motion<sup>1</sup>. In the subsequent development use will be made of the results of his investigations.

#### 2.10.a Basic formulas

1° From the differential geometry [24], we know that the curvatures at any point of the surfaces on the normal plane containing the vector  $\underline{v}_r^1$  are given by

$$\chi^i = - \frac{\underline{v}_r^i \cdot \dot{\underline{e}}_r^i}{[\underline{v}_r^i]^2} ; i = 1, 2 \quad 2.51$$

---

<sup>1</sup> See appendix III.

where,  $\underline{V}_r^i$  is the velocity of the point in motion along the surface  $\Sigma_1$  and is given by

$$\underline{V}_r^i = \frac{\partial \underline{R}^i}{\partial \theta} \frac{d\theta}{dt} + \frac{\partial \underline{R}^i}{\partial \lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{dt}, \quad 2.52$$

$\dot{\underline{e}}_r^i$  is the velocity of the tip of the unit normal when the contact point along with the normal is in motion on the surface and is given as

$$\dot{\underline{e}}_r^i = \frac{\partial \underline{e}_i}{\partial \theta} \frac{d\theta}{dt} + \frac{\partial \underline{e}_i}{\partial \lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{dt}, \quad 2.53$$

$\underline{e}_i$  is the unit normal to the surface

and  $\theta, \lambda$  are the parameters of the surface.

Positive sign of  $\chi^i$  indicates that the normal vector points towards the center of curvature.

2° To find the principal curvatures,  $\chi_I$  and  $\chi_{II}$ , of surface  $\Sigma_1$  at the contact point, Rodrigue's formula is used, according to which,

$$\dot{\underline{e}}_r^1 = -\chi_{I,II} \underline{V}_r^1 \quad 2.54$$

where, I, II-indices of principal directions. Along the principal directions  $\dot{\underline{e}}_r^1$  and  $\underline{V}_r^1$  are collinear and the following relation holds good.

$$\dot{\underline{e}}_{xr}^1 / \underline{V}_{xr}^1 = \dot{\underline{e}}_{yr}^1 / \underline{V}_{yr}^1 = \dot{\underline{e}}_{zr}^1 / \underline{V}_{zr}^1 \quad 2.55$$

To find the principal curvatures of generated surface,  $\Sigma_2$  the following formulas are used [17] . These are more advantageous in practice than the use of Rodrigue's formula.

3° The principal curvatures,  $\chi_{III}$  and  $\chi_{IV}$  of the generated are given by [Appendix III] ,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{III} &= [\chi_I + \chi_{II} + S + (\chi_I - \chi_{II} + G)/C2\sigma]/2 \\ \chi_{IV} &= [\chi_I + \chi_{II} + S - (\chi_I - \chi_{II} + G)/C2\sigma]/2\end{aligned}\quad 2.56$$

$$\text{where, } \tan(2\sigma) = 2F/[\chi_I - \chi_{II} + G], \quad 2.57$$

$$F = a_{31} a_{32}/D^* \quad 2.58$$

$$G = [a_{31}^2 - a_{32}^2]/D^*, \quad 2.59$$

$$S = [a_{31}^2 + a_{32}^2]/D^*,$$

$$D^* = b_3 + \bar{V}_I^{-12} a_{31} + \bar{V}_{II}^{-12} a_{32}, \quad 2.60$$

$$b_3 = \bar{e}_1 \cdot [\bar{\Omega}_2 \times (\bar{\Omega}_1 \times \bar{e}_1) - \bar{\Omega}_1 \times (\bar{\Omega}_2 \times \bar{R}_1 + A \times \bar{\Omega}_2)], \quad 2.61$$

$$a_{31} = (\bar{e}_1, \bar{\Omega}_{12}, \bar{i}_I) - \chi_I \bar{V}_I^{-12}, \quad 2.62$$

$$a_{32} = (\bar{e}_1, \bar{\Omega}_{12}, \bar{i}_{II}) - \chi_{II} \bar{V}_{II}^{-12}, \quad 2.63$$

$$\bar{V}_I^{-12} = \bar{V}_1^{-12} \cdot \bar{i}_I, \quad 2.64$$

$$\bar{V}_{II}^{12} = \bar{V}_1^{12} \cdot \bar{i}_{II}, \quad 2.65$$

$\sigma$  - angle between the directions of  $\chi_I$  and  $\chi_{III}$ ,

$\bar{i}_I, \bar{i}_{II}$  - units vectors along the directions of  $\chi_I$  and  $\chi_{II}$  in the fixed co-ordinate system,  $\bar{S}_1$ ,

$\bar{V}_1^{12}$  - relative velocity vector at the contact point in the fixed co-ordinate system,  $\bar{S}_1$ .

### 2.10.2 Principal curvatures in tapered gears

The principal curvatures in this case are found using the formulas given in the preceding section.

1° The principal curvatures of the generating surface,  $\Sigma_1$ , are found using equations (2.54) and (2.55). The vector

$$\bar{v}_r^1 = [\dot{\lambda} \tan \beta \cos \theta_k + \dot{\theta}_k \sin \theta_k, \dot{\lambda} \tan \beta \sin \theta_k - \dot{\theta}_k \cos \theta_k, \dot{\lambda}]^T \quad 2.66$$

is obtained using equations (2.6) and (2.52). The vector  $\dot{\bar{e}}_r^1$  is given as [equations (2.9) and (2.53)]

$$\dot{\bar{e}}_r^1 = [\sin \theta_k \cos \beta \dot{\theta}_k, -\cos \theta_k \cos \beta \dot{\theta}_k, 0]^T \quad 2.67$$

Using equation (2.55) one finds,

a. for principal direction I,  $\dot{\theta}_k = 0$

and b. for principal direction II,  $\dot{\lambda} = 0$ . 2.68

Now equations (2.68) and (2.54) yield,

$$x_I = 0 \text{ and } x_{II} = -C\beta/\theta_k^* \quad 2.69$$

The involute helicoid is a ruled surface. For a ruled surface, one of its principal curvatures is zero which is also seen from equation (2.69). The unit vectors along the principal directions are found using the following expressions.

$$\bar{i}_I = \frac{\bar{v}_r^1}{|\bar{v}_r^1|} \Big|_{\dot{\theta}_k=0} = [C\theta_k \ S\beta, S\theta_k \ S\beta, C\beta]^T \quad 2.70$$

$$\text{and } \bar{i}_{II} = \frac{\bar{v}_r^1}{|\bar{v}_r^1|} \Big|_{\dot{\lambda}=0} = [S\theta_k, -C\theta_k, 0]^T \quad 2.71$$

2° The principal curvatures of the generated surface  $\Sigma_2$  are found using equations (2.56) to (2.65). Vectors  $\bar{e}_1, \bar{i}_I, \bar{i}_{II}, \bar{R}_1$  and  $\bar{v}_1^{12}$  are expressed in the fixed coordinate system by the following

$$\bar{e}_1 = [-C\beta \ C\phi_k, -C\beta \ S\phi_k, S\beta]^T \quad 2.72$$

$$\bar{i}_I = [S\beta \ C\phi_k, S\beta \ S\phi_k, C\beta]^T \quad 2.73$$

$$\bar{i}_{II} = [S\phi_k, -C\phi_k, 0]^T \quad 2.74$$

$$\bar{R}_1 = [(S\phi_k - \theta_k^* C\phi_k), -(C\phi_k + \theta_k^* S\phi_k), \lambda]^T \quad 2.75$$

and

$$\bar{V}_1^{12} = \begin{Bmatrix} -[\bar{R}_{y1}(1-i_{21}C\Sigma) + \bar{R}_{z1} i_{21} S\Sigma] \\ [\bar{R}_{x1}(1-i_{21}C\Sigma) - a i_{21} C\Sigma] \\ [(\bar{R}_{x1} + a) i_{21} S\Sigma] \end{Bmatrix} \quad 2.76$$

The vectors  $\underline{\Omega}_1$ ,  $\underline{\Omega}_2$ ,  $\underline{\Omega}_{12}$  and  $\underline{A}$  are found, referring to Figure as follows [5] :

$$\underline{\Omega}_1 = [0, 0, 1]^T \quad 2.77$$

$$\underline{\Omega}_2 = [0, i_{21} S\Sigma, i_{21} C\Sigma]^T \quad 2.78$$

$$\underline{\Omega}_{12} = [0, -i_{21} S\Sigma, 1 - i_{21} C\Sigma]^T \quad 2.79$$

$$\text{and } \underline{A} = [-a, 0, 0]^T \quad 2.80$$

Now, using the preceding equations one can write,

$$b_3 = -(C\phi_k + \theta_k^* S\phi_k) i_{21} S\Sigma S\beta + \lambda i_{21} S\Sigma S\phi_k C\beta - a i_{21} C\Sigma C\phi_k C\beta \quad 2.81$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{V}_I^{12} = & S\beta(1-i_{21}C\Sigma) - \lambda i_{21} S\Sigma C\phi_k S\beta - a i_{21} C\Sigma S\phi_k S\beta + \\ & + (C\phi_k - \theta_k^* C\phi_k + a) i_{21} S\Sigma C\beta \end{aligned} \quad 2.82$$

$$\bar{V}_{II}^{12} = \theta_k^*(1-i_{21}C\Sigma) - \lambda i_{21} S\Sigma S\phi_k + a i_{21} C\Sigma C\phi_k \quad 2.83$$

$$a_{31} = i_{21} S\Sigma C\phi_k$$

$$\text{and } a_{32} = [\theta_k^* i_{21} S\Sigma S\phi_k S\beta - \lambda i_{21} S\Sigma S\phi_k C\beta + a i_{21} C\Sigma C\phi_k C\beta] / \theta_k^* . \quad 2.84$$

The principal curvatures of the generated surface can be found using equations (2.56) to (2.60). The angle  $\sigma$  is calculated using equation (2.57).

## CHAPTER 3

### PRESSURE ANGLE

In this chapter, the method for calculating the pressure angles for higher kinematic pairs will be given. Using this analysis, a general formulation for the pressure angle of the tapered gears will be developed.

#### 3.1 Pressure angle in higher kinematic pair.

The main function of the gears, or for that matter any machine element, is to transmit motion and/or power. The efficiency of power transmission is to be considered for judging the suitability of a machine element for any particular application. The mechanisms which will come in the purview of this chapter include plate cams, cylindrical cams, geneva wheels, gears etc.

The maximum stress which the surface can bear at a given contact point depends upon their material properties. The pressure angle provides us a measure of how efficiently force can be transmitted from one surface to the other contacting surface.

Let two surfaces  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ , hinged at  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  respectively, be contacting at the point  $P$  at any instant [Figure 7]. We assume that  $\underline{v}_e^1$  and  $\underline{e}^1$  are the absolute velocity and unit normal vectors respectively in co-ordinate system  $S_1$  which is fixed to surface  $\Sigma_1$ . Now  $P_1 = |\underline{v}_e^1 \cdot (\underline{Fe}^1)|$




FIG. 7 PRESSURE ANGLE AT CONTACT POINTS

ENTRAL LIBRARY  
Acc. No. **A-82881**

is the power transmitted at this instant. Here, the absolute sign is used to permit the arbitrary choice of the sign of the vector  $\underline{e}^1$ . For a given force,  $P$ , the maximum power  $P_{\max}$  which can be transmitted at this instant is  $P|\underline{v}_e^1|$ . It can be seen that the ratio  $|\underline{v}_e^1 \cdot \underline{e}^1| / P|\underline{v}_e^1|$  is less than or equal to unity. Hence, we may write

$$\cos [\psi(\theta, \lambda)] = \frac{|\underline{v}_e^1 \cdot \underline{e}^1|}{|\underline{v}_e^1|} \quad 3.1$$

The vectors  $\underline{v}_e^1$  and  $\underline{e}^1$  can be evaluated from the following

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{v}_e^1 &= \underline{\Omega}_1 \times \underline{P}_1 \\ \text{and} \quad \underline{e}^1 &= \frac{\partial \underline{P}_1}{\partial \theta} \times \frac{\partial \underline{P}_1}{\partial \lambda} / \left| \frac{\partial \underline{P}_1}{\partial \theta} \times \frac{\partial \underline{P}_1}{\partial \lambda} \right| \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\theta$  and  $\lambda$  are parameters of surface  $\Sigma_1$ ,  $\underline{\Omega}_1$  is the angular velocity vector of  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\underline{P}_1$  is the position vector of point P [Figure 7]. These vectors have to be evaluated at the contact point. For conjugate surfaces it can be found using equation of contact.

It may be noted that the pressure angle does not depend upon the nature of surface  $\Sigma_2$  as long as contact between  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  is at P. It may be also be observed from equation (3.1) that for high pressure angles the power transmitted will be less than the material would permit normally. In the following section, an application of the formula developed above is given.

### 3.2 Pressure angle in tapered gears

It was shown in Chapter 2 that the pitch surface of the pinion in mesh with the tapered gear is either conical or hyperboloidal

[see section 2.2] . Consequently, the pressure angle of the set changes along the face of the tooth. Also, it has been observed that if the point of contact and the surface  $\Sigma_1$  of the pinion are known, the pressure angle of the gear combination can be easily found. The geometry of the pinion is simpler than that of a gear. Therefore, the expressions for the pressure angle will be derived considering the pinion geometry. The steps involved are given below.

3.2.1 The velocity vector  $\underline{V}_e^1$  is given by

$$\underline{V}_e^1 = \underline{\Omega}_1 \times \underline{R}_1 = \{-\omega_1 R_{y1}, \omega_1 R_{x1}, 0\}^T \quad 3.2$$

where,  $R_{x1}$  and  $R_{y1}$  are the components of the vector  $\underline{R}_1$  along the respective co-ordinate axes [equation 2.6].

3.2.2 The unit normal can be written as

$$\underline{e}^1 = [-C\theta_k \ C\beta, -S\theta_k \ C\beta, S\beta]^T \quad 3.3$$

3.2.3 The pressure angle,  $\psi$ , is given as,

$$\psi = \cos^{-1} \left[ \frac{C\beta}{(R_{x1}^2 + R_{y1}^2)^{1/2}} \right] \quad 3.4$$

3.3 Pressure angle at points on pitch surface.

Equation (3.4) is valid for any point on the tooth surface. Generally, in gearing the designer is interested in the pressure angles at contact points on the pitch surface. Using equations (3.4) and (2.4), one can find

$$\alpha = \alpha(E^*, \lambda^*) = \cos^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{(E^{*2} + \lambda^{*2})^{1/2}} \right] \quad 3.5$$

where

$$E^* = \frac{a(i_{21} - C\Sigma) i_{21} \sec \beta}{(1 - 2 i_{21} C\Sigma + i_{21}^2)} \quad 3.6$$

$$\lambda^* = \frac{i_{21} C\Sigma \lambda \sec \beta}{1 - i_{21} C\Sigma} \quad 3.7$$

and  $\alpha$  is the pressure angle at contact points on the pitch surface.

Figure 8 shows the variation of the pressure angle with  $E^*$  and  $\lambda^*$ .

For external and internal spur gears,  $\Sigma = \pi$  and  $\Sigma = 0$  respectively. For these cases the equations take following form

$$\alpha = \cos^{-1} \left[ \frac{1 \pm i_{21}}{a i_{21}} \right] \quad 3.8$$

Here, upper and lower sign are for external and internal spur gears respectively. Equation (3.8) is a familiar expression for the pressure angle in the aforementioned cases.

Similar expressions of pressure angles for spur tapered gears were derived earlier in the references [5][12]. For involute curve the pressure angle at any point on curve is given by

$\cos \psi = \frac{1}{r}$ , where  $r$  is the radius of cylinder on which the point lies. This property of the curve was used to find the pressure angle. It is to be noted here that the equation (3.1) can be used for any surface.




FIG. 8 PRESSURE ANGLE VARIATION IN TAPERED GEARS

## CHAPTER 4

### DURATION OF CONTACT

One of the important factors in the design of gears is the proportions of the tooth. These must be so chosen that the second pair of mating teeth is in contact before the first pair goes out of contact.

The boundaries of the tooth surfaces are established by the shape of the blank on which the teeth are formed. The volume common to the blanks of a pair of gears is the region of mesh. Only those portions of the surfaces of action lying within the region of mesh are available for motion transmission. Furthermore the action limit curves [19] place an additional limit on tooth action. These restrictions establish the boundaries of effective surface of action [19] .

The extent of the effective surface of action determines the amount of rotation of the gear during which one pair of the tooth surfaces remains in contact. The ratio of this angle of rotation to the angular pitch is the contact ratio. The duration of contact is measured in terms of contact ratio. Contact ratio is sometimes referred to as average number of tooth in contact or total contact ratio.

Here, for calculation of theoretical contact ratio it is assumed that no tip relief exists in the gears.

#### 4.1 Contact ratio of gears having line contact.

The contact ratio of gears can be found using the concept of the field of contact [5] [12] [13][21] . In this method, it becomes necessary to draw the field of contact to have an idea of where the contact starts and ends. The concept of field of contact is extended here using the concept of sets, thereby eliminating the necessity of computing the boundaries of the field of contact, the lines of contact and subsequent plotting.

Let the set  $\tilde{G}_1$  be the collection of points contained in the physical dimensions of the pinion.

$$\tilde{G}_1 = \{x | g_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, n\} \quad 4.1$$

Here  $g_i$ 's are the  $n$ -surfaces which define boundaries of the blank.

For on-center tapered gear these surfaces are: the face cone, the front cone and the back cone. In this case,  $n = 3$  [Figure 5] .

Similarly, a set  $\tilde{G}_2$  is defined for the gear. The intersection of these two sets constitute the region of mesh. If  $\tilde{R}$  is the set of the collection of points in the region of mesh, then

$$\tilde{R} = \tilde{G}_1 \cap \tilde{G}_2 \quad 4.2$$

Consider the surface of action as mapping

$$P_C : E^2 \rightarrow E^3 \quad 4.3$$

Here,  $E^2$  and  $E^3$  are the spaces of 2 and 3 dimensions respectively.

Let a set

$$\tilde{A} \equiv \{O | h_i(O) \leq 0, i = 1, 2\}$$

where,  $h_i = 0$  defines the action limit curve [19] on the contact surface. For an involute spur pinion,

$$h_i = O_i + \phi_i \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

with above definitions, the condition for physical contact between the teeth surfaces is expressed mathematically as

$$P_c(O) \in \tilde{P} \cap \tilde{Q} \cap \tilde{\Phi} \cap \tilde{A} \quad 4.4$$

where,  $\tilde{Q} = [O, \phi_1]^T$

$O$  - scalar parameter of tooth surface,

$\phi_1$  - scalar parameter of motion that is angle of rotation of pinion

and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  - set of a collection of  $\phi_1$  and  $O$ .

The contact ratio is found by using the expression,

$$c_r = \frac{\phi_{1 \max} - \phi_{1 \min}}{2\pi/Z_1} \quad 4.5$$

where,  $2\pi/Z_1$  is the angular pitch of the pinion teeth

$$\phi_{1 \max} = \max_{O \in \tilde{P} \cap \tilde{A}} \phi_1, \text{ Subject to } P_c(O) \in \tilde{\Phi} \quad 4.6$$

$$\text{and } \phi_{1 \min} = \min_{O \in \tilde{P} \cap \tilde{A}} \phi_1, \text{ Subject to } P_c(O) \in \tilde{\Phi} \quad 4.7$$

In finding the contact ratio from equation (4.5) the main problem is to evaluate the  $\phi_1^1$  given by the expressions (4.6) and (4.7). A procedure to evaluate these is described below.

Mostly, it is easier to estimate the set  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . Here it is assumed that the set  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is given as

$$\tilde{\Phi} \equiv [\theta | \theta \in I_{\theta}, \phi_1 \in I_{\phi}]$$

where,  $I_{\theta} \equiv [\theta | \theta^1 \leq \theta \leq \theta^2]$

$$I_{\phi} \equiv [\phi_1 | \phi^1 \leq \phi_1 \leq \phi^2]$$

here,  $\phi^1$  and  $\phi^2$  are so chosen that,

$$p_c(\theta, \phi^1) \notin \tilde{P} \quad \forall \theta \in I_{\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad [\theta, \phi^1] \in \tilde{A}$$

4.8

$$\text{and} \quad p_c(\theta, \phi^2) \notin \tilde{R} \quad \forall \theta \in I_{\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad [\theta, \phi^2] \in \tilde{A}$$

The intervals  $I_{\theta}$  and  $I_{\phi}$  are problem dependent. In case of the involute spur pinion the parameter  $\theta$  corresponds to the angle of development of the involute curve [Figure 2]. The contact point in this case can not go beyond the base cylinder and the external cylinder of the pinion. Thus,  $I_{\theta} \equiv [0, \theta_e^+]$ . Here,  $\theta_e$  is the angle of development corresponding to the external cylinder. The interval  $I_{\phi}$  is dependent on the type of gear set and the coordinate system. For the spur face gear set and for the coordinate system used, it can be taken as  $I_{\phi} \equiv [-\delta_1(2\pi/Z_1), \delta_1(2\pi/Z_1)]$ . Here  $\delta_1$  is the arbitrary constant such that,  $\delta_1 > 1$ . The efficiency of this procedure depends to a certain extent on the choice of  $I_{\phi}$  and  $I_{\theta}$ .

In cases where the set  $\tilde{\Phi} = I_{\theta} \otimes I_{\phi}$  is known it is possible to evaluate  $\phi_{1\min}$  and  $\phi_{1\max}$  by adopting a systematic search. Let,  $\Delta\theta$  and  $\Delta\phi$  be the increments in  $\theta$  and  $\phi_1$  respectively and

$$l = \text{integer } [(\theta^2 - \theta^1)/\Delta\theta] + 1 \quad 4.9$$

The method then consists of the following steps.

- Step 1 Set,  $\theta = \theta^1, \Delta\phi_1 = \Delta\phi$  and  $\phi_1 = \phi^1$
- Step 2 Find  $R_{ci}$ ,  $P_{ci} = P_c(\theta + i\Delta\theta, \phi_1 + \Delta\phi_1), i = 1, l$
- Step 3 If any  $R_{ci}$  satisfies equation (4.4) then go to step 5; if not, next step follows.
- Step 4  $\phi_1$  is incremented by  $\Delta\phi_1$ . Step 2 is repeated
- Step 5 If  $\Delta\phi_1 \leq e$  where  $e$  is a specified accuracy; the procedure terminates and  $\phi_{1\min} = \phi_1 + \Delta\phi_1$ . Otherwise,  $\Delta\phi_1$  is halved and the procedure is repeated starting with step 2.

Similarly, using the preceding steps, and setting  $\phi_1 = \phi^2$  and  $\Delta\phi_1 = -\phi_1, \phi_{1\max}$  is estimated.

This procedure may be easily adopted for computer programming. The use of the method is illustrated by an example in the last chapter.

#### 4.2 Contact ratio of gears having point contact.

In this case the contact point is defined by the given parameter of motion,  $\phi_1$ . The procedure described in the

previous section is modified to suit this condition. The modified procedure is as follows.

Step 1 Set,  $\phi_1 = \phi^1$  and  $\Delta\phi_1 = \Delta\phi$

Step 2 Find  $P_c = P_c(\phi_1 + \Delta\phi_1)$

Step 3 If  $P_c$  satisfies the following condition,

$$P_c(\phi_1) \in \tilde{P}, \phi_1 \in \tilde{\Phi} \cap \tilde{A}$$

where,  $\tilde{\Phi}$  set of collection of  $\phi_1$  then go to step 5, if not, next step follows

Step 4  $\phi_1$  is incremented by  $\Delta\phi_1$ . The procedure is repeated starting with step 2

Step 5 If  $\Delta\phi_1 \leq e$ , the procedure terminates and  $\phi_{1\min} = \phi + \Delta\phi_1$ .  
Otherwise,  $\Delta\phi_1$  is halved and once again start with step 2.

Similarly, setting  $\phi_1 = \phi^2$  and  $\Delta\phi_1 = -\Delta\phi$ ,  $\phi_{1\max}$  is estimated following the preceding procedure.

## CHAPTER 5

### ANALYSIS OF FACE GEARS

The face gear is a particular case of the tapered gear. A tapered gear is termed as a face gear when the gear and pinion shafts are at right angle. Here face gears in mesh with the cylindrical pinions will be considered. The pinion considered have the teeth of either involute spur or involute helicoid form. The gear with spur pinion is termed as the spur face gear. The gear in mesh with helical pinion is termed as spiral face gear. The face gear is generated by a cutter substantially of the same size and form of the pinion. The gears may have either intersecting axes, forming the on-center gear or non-intersecting axes, forming an off-set arrangement. The on-center face gear set is functionally identical with a bevel gear set. In both these cases, pitch surfaces are conical.

Face gears have the same types of limitations on face width as discussed earlier in chapter 2. Here the limiting inside (minimum) diameter is governed by the undercutting of the tooth. This diameter is always larger than the diameter where pressure angle on the pitch surface is zero [2] . The maximum usable out side diameter is the diameter at which the teeth become pointed. This constraint may be relaxed in some case for practical reasons [2] . Equations for tooth profile and curvatures and the conditions of undercutting and pointing are developed in the first few sections of this chapter.

The problems of localization of the contact, finding the contact spot and the contact zone, evaluation of contact stress and the surface durability rating are discussed in the remaining sections.

### 5.1 Spiral face gears

The contents of Chapter 2 will be used for finding the equations applicable to this case. As mentioned earlier, for face gears the shaft angle is 90 degrees.

#### 5.1.1. Contact line, surface of action and conjugate tooth surface

Substituting in equations (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17)  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ , we get the following :

a. the equation of contact,

$$\lambda = \lambda(\theta_k, \phi_1) = \frac{(1 - i_{21} \tan \beta (S\phi_k - (\theta_k + \eta) C\phi_k + a))}{i_{21} C \phi_k \sec^2 \beta} \quad 5.1$$

b. the equation of contact line;

$$R_{lc}(\theta_k, \phi_1) = \begin{Bmatrix} [S \theta_k - C_k^* C \theta_k] \\ -[C \theta_k + \theta_k^* S \theta_k] \\ \lambda \end{Bmatrix} \quad 5.2$$

c. the equation of contact surface ;

$$R_c(\theta_k, \phi_1) = \begin{Bmatrix} [S \phi_k - \theta_k^* C \phi_k] \\ -[C \phi_k + \theta_k^* S \phi_k] \\ \lambda \end{Bmatrix} \quad 5.3$$

and d. the equation of gear tooth profile,

$$R_{2k} = \begin{cases} [(S\phi_k - \theta_k^* C \phi_k) C \phi_2 - \lambda S \phi_2 + a C \phi_2] \\ -[(S\phi_k - \theta_k^* C \phi_k) S \phi_2 + \lambda C \phi_2 + a S \phi_2] \\ -[C \phi_k + \theta_k^* S \phi_k] \end{cases}; k = U, L \quad 5.4$$

Here,  $\lambda$  is given by equation (5.1)

$$\theta_k^* = \theta_k - \lambda \tan \beta + \eta_1$$

$$\phi_k = \theta_k + \phi_1$$

and

$$\phi_2 = i_{21} \phi_1$$

### 5.1.2. Addendum surface of the face gear

The addendum surface of the face gear is a plane [Section 2.7.1] ,

$$\text{or } r_f + z_2 = 0 \quad 2.26$$

$$\text{where, } r_f = [1 - 2 f_{os} / Z_1] / \cos \alpha_{os}$$

Here, cutter or pinion is assumed to be standard, that is,  $\xi_{on} = \xi_{1n} = 0$

### 5.1.3. Pointing of the gear teeth

The condition of pointing, equations (2.39) and (2.40), assumes a simple form,

$$r_f + R_{z2k} = 0, k = U, L$$

$$R_{x2U} - R_{x2L} = 0$$

and

$$R_{y2U} - R_{y2L} = 0 \quad 5.5$$

where,  $R_{x2k}$ ,  $R_{y2k}$  and  $R_{z2k}$  are the respective components of vector defined by equation (5.4).

Parameters, obtained from the solution of equations (5.5), are substituted in equation (2.42) to get the radius at which the pointing starts on the gear tooth.

#### 5.1.4 Undercutting of gear teeth

The condition of undercutting is obtained when  $\pi/2$  is substituted for  $\Sigma$  in equation (2.48). The form of the condition becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & (i_{21} \tan \beta (S \phi_k + a) S \phi_k - S \phi_k) [S \phi_k - i_{21} \tan \beta \cdot \\ & S \phi_k (R_{cx} + a)] + i_{21} C^3 \phi_k \theta_{ke}^* \tan \beta - i_{21}^2 C^3 \phi_k \cdot \\ & \theta_{ke}^* \sec^2 \beta (R_{cx} + a) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad 5.6$$

It can be written in the general form as

$$F_u(\phi_k) = 0 \quad 5.7$$

#### 5.1.5 Curvatures

The principal curvatures of the pinion tooth surface  $\Sigma_1$  can be obtained from equations (2.69). The principal curvatures of the gear tooth surface  $\Sigma_2$  is found using equations developed in Section 2.10.2. The expressions for the gear under consideration are given below :

$$a_{31} = i_{21} C \phi_1 \quad 5.8$$

$$a_{32} = \frac{i_{21} S \phi_k}{\theta_1^*} [\theta_1^* S \beta - \lambda C \beta] \quad 5.9$$

$$D^* = \frac{i_{21}^2}{\phi_k^*} [\phi_k^* C \beta C \phi_k (a + S \phi_k) - C \beta (\theta^* C^2 \phi_k - \lambda^2 S^2 \phi_k) - \theta^* \varepsilon \beta \lambda] \quad 5.10$$

$$x_{III} = \frac{1}{2} [x_I + x_{II} + \frac{x_I - x_{II} + G}{\cos \sigma} + S] \quad 2.56$$

$$x_{IV} = \frac{1}{2} [x_I + x_{II} - \frac{x_I - x_{II} + G}{\cos \sigma} + S]$$

$$\tan 2\sigma = 2F/(x_I - x_{II} + G) \quad 2.57$$

$$F = a_{31} a_{32}/D^* \quad 2.58$$

$$G = (a_{31}^2 - a_{32}^2)/D^* \quad 2.59$$

$$\text{and} \quad S = (a_{31}^2 + a_{32}^2)/D^*$$

## 5.2 Face gears in mesh with spur pinion [surface gear]

The spur face gear is a particular case of the spiral face gear. For this case helix angle,  $\beta$ , is equal to zero. The equations applicable are obtained using the contents of the previous sections.

### 5.2.1 Equation of contact, contact line, surface of action and conjugate tooth profile

Substituting  $\beta = 0$  in equation (5.1) we get,

$$\lambda - 1/C \phi_k = 0 \quad 5.11$$

Similarly, equation of the line of contact, the surface of action and the conjugate tooth profile are obtained. These are as given below :

$$\underline{R}_{2c} = \begin{Bmatrix} [S \theta_k - \theta_k^* C \theta_k] \\ -[C \theta_k + \theta_k^* S \theta_k] \\ \lambda \end{Bmatrix} \quad 5.12$$

$$\underline{R}_c = \begin{Bmatrix} [S \phi_k - \theta_k^* C \phi_k] \\ -[C \phi_k + \theta_k^* S \phi_k] \\ \lambda \end{Bmatrix} \quad 5.13$$

and

$$\underline{R}_{2k} = \begin{Bmatrix} [R_{cx} C \phi_2 - \lambda S \phi_2 + a C \phi_2] \\ -[R_{cx} S \phi_2 + \lambda C \phi_2 + a S \phi_2] \\ -[R_{cy}] \end{Bmatrix} \quad 5.14$$

$$\text{where, } \underline{R}_c = [R_{cx}, R_{cy}, R_{cz}]^T, \theta_k^* = \theta_k + \eta_1 \quad 5.15$$

$$\phi_k = \theta_k + \phi_1 \text{ and } k = U, L$$

Slightly transformed versions of these equations have been derived earlier [5] [11] [12] .

### 5.2.2 Pointing of gear tooth

The addendum surface of the gear is given by the equation (2.26).

The condition of pointing for the case of spur face gear is written as

$$r_f + R_{z2k} = 0, \quad k = U, L$$

$$R_{x2U} - R_{x2L} = 0 \quad 5.5$$

$$\text{and} \quad R_{y2U} - R_{y2L} = 0$$

where,  $\underline{R}_{2k} = [R_{x2k}, R_{y2k}, R_{z2k}]^T$ ; is given by equation (5.14)

$$\text{and} \quad r_f = (1 - 2/Z_1)/\cos \alpha_0 \quad 5.17$$

Equation (5.5), in general, is represented as

$$F_p(\underline{\theta}) = \underline{0}, \text{ where, } \underline{\theta} = [\theta_U, \phi_{1U}, \theta_L, \phi_{1L}]^T \quad 5.18$$

The limiting radius can be evaluated using equations (2.42).

There are four equations in the set of equations (5.18) or (5.5) and there are four unknowns. The first two of these equations have simpler forms and can be used to eliminate two of the unknown from the last two equations. It will then be a set of two equations in two unknown. The conditions of pointing in this form have been derived earlier [5] [11] [12] .

### 5.2.3. Undercutting of gear tooth

The condition of undercutting for spur face gear is obtained from equation (5.6) considering  $\beta = 0$ . As a result, the condition becomes

$$S^2 \phi_k + i_{21}^2 \theta_{ke}^* (a + S \phi_k - \theta_{ke}^* C \phi_k) C^3 \phi_k = 0 \quad 5.19$$

Let  $\psi_k$  be the solution of this equation. The radius at which undercutting occurs is obtained considering,

$$r_{2uk} = [(R_{cx} + a)^2 + P_{cy}^2]^{1/2} \cos \alpha_0, \text{ or}$$

$$r_{2uk} = [(S\psi_k - \theta_{ke}^* C \phi_k + a)^2 + (1/i_{21} C \psi_k)^2]^{1/2} \cos \alpha_0, [k = U, L] \quad 5.20$$

The limiting inner radius will be the larger of the two obtained from the preceding equation.

### 5.2.4. Curvatures

The principal curvatures of the gear tooth surface  $\Sigma_2$  of the spur face gear is obtained by substituting  $\beta = 0$  in equations (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10). The curvatures are found from the following equations

$$a_{31} = i_{21} C \phi_k \quad 5.21$$

$$a_{32} = -i_{21} \lambda S \phi_k / \theta_k^* \quad 5.22$$

$$D^* = i_{21}^2 [\theta_k^* (S \phi_k - \theta_k^* C \phi_k + a) C \phi_k + \lambda^2 S^2 \phi_k] / \theta_k^* \quad 5.23$$

$$x_{III} = [x_I + x_{II} + S + (x_I - x_{II} + G) / C 2\sigma] / 2 \quad 2.48$$

$$x_{IV} = [x_I + x_{II} + S - (x_I - x_{II} + G) / C 2\sigma] / 2$$

$$\tan 2\sigma = -2\lambda S \phi_k / (S \phi_k + a) \quad 5.24$$

$$G = i_{21}^2 (\theta_k^{*2} C^2 \phi_k - \lambda^2 S^2 \phi_k) / \theta_k^{*2} D^*$$

$$\text{and } S = i_{21}^2 (\theta_k^{*2} C^2 \phi_k + \lambda^2 S^2 \phi_k) / \theta_k^{*2} D^* \quad 5.25$$

### 5.3 Contact localization in hypoid spur face gears

The need to localize contact in gear teeth arose from the fact that a localized contact makes the gear less sensitive to assembly and manufacturing errors [2]. The contact conditions can be appreciably improved by suitable localization [25]. Coniflex bevel gears have better performance characteristics than the conventional octoidal bevel gears. In these gears, the localization is achieved

by crowning the teeth. Face gears are given the effect of crowning by the selection of cutter having one or more teeth than the mating pinion [23].

In this section, the contact localization for the spur face gears in mesh with the cylindrical involute spur pinion is considered. The contact is localized at the midpoint of the tooth width. The formulas to calculate the main geometric proportions of the pinion and assembly distances are developed here.

In face gear transmission, if the number of teeth of the pinion and the cutter are equal than the contact of teeth surfaces is along a line. The contact may be localized by taking a different number of teeth of the cutter than in the pinion ( $Z_1 \leq Z_0$ ) [2][25] .

Consider that the surface  $F$  of the cutter generates the surface  $\Sigma_2$  of the gear and  $\Sigma_1$  of the pinion simultaneously. Surfaces  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  have the same relative motion as that when they mesh with each other. Surfaces  $F$  and  $\Sigma_1$  have internal meshing. Let the line of contact between  $F$  and  $\Sigma_1$  be  $D_1$  and the line of contact between  $F$  and  $\Sigma_2$  be  $D_2$ . These two lines lie on the surface  $F$ . In general, these two lines will not be coinciding with each other but will have a common point  $M$  (point of intersection of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ ). Since the point  $M$  belongs to both the lines of contact, surfaces  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  will be in point contact at  $M$  and will be having the desired relative motion. From this inspection it is seen that, if the cutter geometry is different from that of the pinion, there will be a point contact.

### 5.3.1. Line of action

The line of action between the gear and pinion is the curve of intersection of the two surfaces of action. These two surfaces are, the surface of action between cutter and gear and that between cutter and pinion.

The pitch point P of the cutter and pinion is the point of intersection of the common tangent to their respective base circles,  $r_{b0}$  and  $r_{b1}$  [Figure 9], with the line of centers joining  $O_1$  and  $O$ . In the fixed coordinate system,  $\bar{S}_1$ , the line of contact  $D_1$  passes through the point of contact M lying on one side, say U, of the cutter surface P. The point M has an angle of development of involute curve,  $\theta_{OU}^* = \theta_{OU} - \eta_0$  [Figure 9]. From Figure 9 it is seen that

$$\phi_U = \theta_{OU} + \phi_0 = \alpha = \text{constant} \quad 5.26$$

where,  $\alpha$  is the pressure angle between the pinion and the cutter.

Similarly, for the pinion

$$\phi_U = \theta_{1U} + \phi_1 = \theta_{1U} + \phi_0/i_{01} = \alpha$$

Following expressions are obtained considering the cases when the contact point M lie on sides, U and L, of the cutter and the pinion surface,

$$\phi_k = \theta_{ok} + \phi_0 = \theta_{1k} + \phi_0/i_{01} = \alpha$$

$$\theta_{ok}^* = \theta_k \mp \eta_0$$

$$\text{and } \theta_{1k}^* = \theta_k \mp \eta_1, \quad k = U, L.$$



Again, from Figure 9, it is seen that

$$\phi_k = \alpha = \cos^{-1} [(r_{bo} - r_{b1})/B_1] \quad 5.27$$

where,  $B_1$  - center distance.

To find the line of action, between surface  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ , it is sufficient to simultaneously consider equations (5.27) and (5.13).

This consideration reveals that the line of action is tangent to the base circles of radii  $r_{b0}$  and  $r_{b1}$  and lies on the plane

$$z_1 = 1/(i_{20} \cos \alpha) \quad 5.28$$

By choosing the correction factor,  $\xi_1$ , suitably, the contact is localized at the middle of the tooth width. The procedure to choose the correction factor is given in the next section.

### 5.3.2 Geometrical calculations

It is assumed that the following are given

- a. parameters of the cutter ( $Z_0, m, \xi_0, f_0, c_0$ , and  $\alpha_0$ )
- b. transmission ratios,  $i_{20} = Z_0/Z_2$  and  $i_{01} = Z_1/Z_0$ .
- and c. non-dimensionalized off-set 'a'.

The calculation of correction factor,  $\xi_1$ , and assembly distances consists of the following steps.

- 1° The internal and external radii,  $r_{2i}$  and  $r_{2e}$  are chosen to avoid the undercutting and pointing of the teeth. The limiting dimensions can be determined using the contents of sections 5.2.3 and 5.2.2.




FIG.10 NOTATION FOR HYPOID FACE GEAR MESHING

2° The pressure angle of pinion and cutter is found using the following equations. [Figure 10]

$$r_{2m} = (r_{2i} + r_{2e})/2 \quad 5.31$$

$$\text{and } z_1 = (r_{2m}^2 - a^2)^{1/2} = 1/(i_{20} \text{ C } \alpha) \quad 5.32$$

Here,  $r_{2m}$  is the mean radius of gear.  $r_1$  gives the position of the plane containing the line of action of surfaces  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ . For direct calculations of the pressure angle the following expression is used.

$$\cos \alpha = 1/[i_{21}\{((r_{2i} + r_{2e})/2)^2 - a^2\}^{1/2}] \quad 5.33$$

3° Correction factor,  $\xi_1$ , is now calculated [8] .

$$\text{inv } \alpha = 2 \frac{\xi_0 - \xi_1}{Z_0 - Z_1} \tan \alpha_0 - \text{inv } \alpha_0$$

$$\text{or } \xi_1 = (Z_0 - Z_1) [\text{inv } \alpha_0 - \text{inv } \alpha]/(2 \tan \alpha_0) \quad 5.34$$

Here it is assumed that  $\xi_0 = 0$ .

4° The distance,  $C_1$  from the axis of pinion to the median axis of gear is given by [Figure 10]

$$C_1 = m/2 [Z_0 - (Z_0 - Z_1) \cos \alpha_0 / \cos \alpha] \quad 5.35$$

5° The external radius of the pinion is found using

$$r_{1e} = m[Z_0/2 - B_1 + f_0] \quad 5.36$$

Referring to Figure 9, the distance,  $B_1$ , between the axes of cutter and pinion is found from

$$B_1 = m(Z_0 - Z_1) \cos \alpha_0 / (2 \cos \alpha) \quad 5.37$$

where  $f_0$  - addendum factor; generally,  $f_0 = 1$ .

#### 5.4 Contact spot and contact zone on the tooth surface

In this section, discussions are confined to the surfaces having point contact.

Surfaces of the gear teeth undergo an elastic deformation under load and at any instant they contact each other on an area around the point of contact. This area of contact is known as a contact spot. As the gears rotate, these spots move on the gear teeth along with the theoretical point of contact. The total area swept by by contact spots on a tooth surface is called the contact zone [Figure 11].

The form of a contact zone, to a great extent, is influenced by the direction of the line of action. The dimension of the contact zone is determined by the size of contact spot.

If the contact zone has some general inclination with respect to the median line of the tooth width then, it is said to have a diagonal character. In such a case, when gear rotate, the change of the distance of the point of application of the normal force between the tooth and the supports of the gears give rise to variations of the support reaction. This is not a desirable situation. In case of the spur face gear, the contact zone has a favourable form, since contact zone does not have a diagonal character. [Figure 11].




FIG .11 CONTACT ZONE AND CONTACT SPOT ON PINION TOOTH.

ON-CENTER FACE GEAR :  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $Z_1 = 18$ ,  
 $Z_o = 20$ ,  $i_{21} = .25$ ,  $m = 5$  mm,  $P = 100$  kg AND  $\alpha_o = 20^\circ$

In this section, a method is described to find the contact zone on spur face gear teeth. It is assumed that following are known :

- a. the principal curvatures at points of contact
- b. the location of points of contact
- and c. the angle between the two sets of the directions of the curvatures [Section 2.10.1]

#### 5.4.1 Condition of intersection of two surfaces in the neighbourhood of a contact point.

From differential geometry it can be shown that the normal deviation  $\ell$  at point P in the neighbourhood of M [Figure 12a] is given by [24]

$$\ell = 1/2 \chi (\eta^2 + \zeta^2) = 1/2 \chi \rho^2 \quad 5.38$$

where,  $\rho = \overline{MP}$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$  axes lie on the tangent plane at point M.  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$  are the co-ordinates of point P.  $\ell$  is positive along the direction of the normal  $\underline{c}$  and  $\chi$  is the normal curvature of the surface on the plane containing  $\underline{c}$  and  $\overline{MM}^1$  [Figure 12a]. Let MN gives the direction of the normal plane corresponding to one of the principal curvatures of the surface at point M, Figure 12a. Euler's formula for curvature of the surface in normal plane passing through  $\overline{MM}^1$  gives [24]

$$\chi = \chi_I C^2 q + \chi_{II} S^2 q \quad 5.39$$

where,  $q = \nu - \sigma$  [Figure 12a]

$\chi_I$  - principal curvature in the direction MN  
 $\chi_{II}$  - second principal curvature.

Equations (5.38) and (5.39) may be used to find  $\ell$ . These two equations also define the surface in the neighbourhood of point M provided  $\chi_I$  and  $\chi_{III}$  are known. The value  $\ell$  is called normal deviation of the surface at point M'.

At the point of contact of two surfaces, one has to make sure that in the neighbourhood of that point two surfaces do not intersect. To achieve this, values of  $\ell^{(i)}$ ;  $i = 1, 2$ ; have to be compared.

Let  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be the angles corresponding to the directions of the principal curvatures  $\chi_I$  and  $\chi_{III}$  in the coordinate system,  $\eta, \zeta$  and  $\ell$  [Figure 12b]. Now, using from (5.39) we may write,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\ell^{(1)} &= \rho^2 [\chi_I C^2(v-\sigma_1) + \chi_{III} S^2(v-\sigma_1)] \\ 2\ell^{(2)} &= \rho^2 (\chi_{III} C^2(v-\sigma_2) + \chi_{IV} S^2(v-\sigma_1)] \end{aligned} \quad 5.40$$

The clearance between the surfaces at a distance  $\rho$  from point M is found from,

$$C_\ell = |\ell^{(1)} - \ell^{(2)}|. \quad 5.41$$

It is seen from equations (5.40) and (5.41) that  $C_\ell$  will change with the angle  $v$ . If the sign of  $C_\ell$ , for constant value of  $\rho$ , be same for all values of  $v$ , it means that in the neighbourhood of M surfaces  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  do not intersect. For visual inspection,  $\ell^{(i)}(v)$ , should be preferably given in polar coordinate system.  $\ell^{(i)}$  may have the negative sign [Figure 12c]. The curves,  $\ell_1(v)$  and  $\ell_2(v)$ , are drawn using the following equation.






FIG. 12 COORDINATE SYSTEM FOR NORMAL DEVIATION OF TWO SURFACES IN CONTACT

$$\ell_i = \ell^{(i)} + d \quad 5.42$$

The value of  $d$  is arbitrarily chosen, such that  $\ell_i > 0$  for  $0 \leq v \leq 2\pi$ . A circle with radius  $d$ , in the diagram is considered as a line of zero curvature. Figure 13 shows a typical plot of these curves.

As  $\ell^{(1)} - \ell^{(2)} = \ell_1 - \ell_2$ , the condition of non-intersection of the curves,  $\ell_1(v)$  and  $\ell_2(v)$ , is considered as a criteria for non-intersection of the surfaces in the neighbourhood.

#### 5.4.2 Dimensions of contact spot.

The solution of the contact problem between two elastic bodies contacting at a point is achieved with the following assumptions [26].

a The principal curvatures of both the surfaces  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  are known. The angle,  $\sigma$ , between the first principal directions of the contacting surfaces is also known.

b The magnitude of the load and the elastic constants of the materials are known. It is assumed that the contact force is normal to the surface, deformation is elastic, Hooke's law is obeyed and the materials are homogeneous and isotropic.

c It is assumed that surfaces in the neighbourhood of the theoretical point of contact do not intersect and the contact spot is small in comparison with the contacting surfaces.

Here, the expression for  $C_\ell$  is expressed in a form such that Hertz's general solution for the contact problem can be used.




FIG.13 NORMAL DEVIATION OF THE SURFACES

[26] [27] [28] . This will lead to the following results :  
displacement of the surfaces as a result of deformation, magnitude  
and distribution of the pressure in the contact spot, form and  
dimensions of the contact spot.

Equations (5.40) and (5.41) give the following expression

$$\text{Abs.} | x_I C^2(v-\sigma_1) + x_{II} S^2(v-\sigma_1) - x_{III} C^2(v-\sigma_2) - x_{IV} S^2(v-\sigma_2) | \rho^2 = 2 C_\ell \quad 5.43$$

Using transformations,

$$\rho^2 = n^2 + \zeta^2, \quad \cos v = n/\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \sin v = \zeta/\rho,$$

equation (5.43) is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Abs.} | n^2 (x_I C^2 \sigma_1 + x_{II} S^2 \sigma_1 - x_{III} C^2 \sigma_2 - x_{IV} S^2 \sigma_2) + \\ + \zeta^2 (x_I S^2 \sigma_1 + x_{II} C^2 \sigma_1 - x_{III} S^2 \sigma_2 - x_{IV} C^2 \sigma_2) + \\ n\zeta (g_1 S^2 \sigma_1 - g_2 S^2 \sigma_2) | = 2 C_\ell \end{aligned} \quad 5.44$$

$$\text{where,} \quad g_1 = x_I - x_{II} \quad \text{and} \quad g_2 = x_{III} - x_{IV} \quad 5.45$$

Now  $\sigma_1$  may be so chosen that the coefficient of the product term  
 $n\zeta$  in equation (5.44) is zero. In other words

$$g_1 S^2 \sigma_1 - g_2 S^2 \sigma_2 = 0 \quad 5.46$$

From equation (5.46) it follows that

$$\tan (2\sigma_1) = \frac{g_2 S^2 \sigma}{g_1 - g_2 C^2 \sigma} \quad 5.47$$

Finally the following equation is obtained using equations (5.44), (5.46) and (5.47)

$$B \eta^2 + A \zeta^2 = \pm C_\ell \quad 5.48$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{4} [ \chi_{s1} - \chi_{s2} - (g_1^2 - 2g_1g_2 C_{2\sigma} + g_2^2)^{1/2} ] \quad 5.49$$

$$B = \frac{1}{4} [ \chi_{s1} - \chi_{s2} + (g_1^2 - 2g_1g_2 C_{2\sigma} + g_2^2)^{1/2} ]$$

$$\text{and } \chi_{s1} = \chi_I + \chi_{II} \text{ and } \chi_{s2} = \chi_{III} + \chi_{IV} . \quad 5.50$$

It can be seen from equation (5.48) that A and B must have the same sign if the surfaces are not intersecting in the neighbourhood of contact points.

The solution of the contact problem follows from Hertz's solution [26] and one gets the following results

$$\cos \tau = |(A-B)/(A+B)| \quad 5.51$$

$$k^* = \left[ \frac{3}{4|A+B|} \left\{ \frac{1-v_1^2}{E_1} + \frac{1-v_2^2}{E_2} \right\} \right]^{1/3} \quad 5.52$$

$$a = a^* k^* p^{1/3} \quad 5.53$$

$$b = b^* k^* p^{1/3} \quad 5.54$$

$$\delta = \delta^* k^{*2} p^{2/3} |A+B| \quad 5.55$$

$$S(\eta, \zeta) = \frac{3P}{2\pi ab} [1 - (\eta/a)^2 - (\zeta/b)^2] \quad 5.56$$

$$\text{and } S_{\max} = 3P/(2\pi ab) \quad 5.57$$

where, A and B are given by equations(5.49),

a, b - the semimajor and minor axes of the contact spot,

$\delta$  - the relative approach of remote points in the  
contacting bodies,

a\*, b\*, c\* - coefficients depending on the parameter  $\cos \tau$ ;

Table 4 [28] ,

$\nu_1, \nu_2$  - Poisson's ratios of bodies 1 and 2 respectively,

$E_1, E_2$  - Young's moduli in  $\text{kg/mm}^2$  of bodies 1 and 2  
respectively,

P - normal compressure load in kg,

S - Compressive stress at the point  $(\eta, \zeta)$  in  $\text{kg/mm}^2$ ,

and  $S_{\max}$  - maximum compressive stress at the contact point in  
 $\text{kg/mm}^2$ .

It is noted from equation (5.48) that for a given value of  $C_\ell$   
the dimensions of the contact spot are given by

$$a = |C_\ell/A|^{1/2} \text{ and } b = |C_\ell/B|^{1/2} \quad 5.58$$

It is advantageous to compare the relative dimensions of  
contact spots of different types of gears. For this purpose,  
equation (5.58) can be used. This is independent of the solution

TABLE 4

## \*STRESS CONSTANTS\*

*****				
I	I	I	I	I
I TAC	I ASTR	I BSTR	I DSTR	I
I	I	I	I	I
*****				
1.0000	INFINITY	0.00000	0.00000	
0.99950	23.95000	0.16300	0.17100	
0.99900	18.53000	0.18500	0.20700	
0.99850	15.77000	0.20100	0.23000	
0.99800	14.25000	0.21200	0.24900	
0.99750	13.15000	0.22000	0.26600	
0.99700	12.26000	0.22800	0.27900	
0.99650	11.58000	0.23500	0.29100	
0.99600	11.02000	0.24100	0.30200	
0.99550	10.53000	0.24600	0.31100	
0.99500	10.15000	0.25100	0.32000	
0.99450	9.77000	0.25600	0.32800	
0.99400	9.46000	0.26000	0.33600	
0.99350	9.17000	0.26400	0.34300	
0.99300	8.92000	0.26800	0.35000	
0.99250	8.68000	0.27100	0.35600	
0.99200	8.47000	0.27500	0.36200	
0.99150	8.27000	0.27800	0.36800	
0.99100	8.10000	0.28100	0.37300	
0.99050	8.06200	0.28140	0.37450	
0.99000	7.93000	0.28400	0.37900	
0.99000	7.76000	0.28700	0.38400	
0.98950	7.62000	0.28900	0.38800	
0.98900	7.49000	0.29200	0.39300	
0.98850	7.37000	0.29400	0.39800	
0.98800	7.25000	0.29700	0.40200	
0.98750	7.13000	0.29900	0.40700	
0.98700	7.02000	0.30100	0.41100	
0.98650	6.93000	0.30300	0.41600	
0.98600	6.84000	0.30500	0.42000	
0.98550	6.74000	0.30700	0.42300	
0.98500	6.64000	0.31000	0.42700	
*****				

discussed earlier. The value of  $C_\ell$  may be arbitrarily given. The area of an ellipse of contact,  $\pi ab$ , is considered as the measure for comparison.

In the following sub-section, the procedure to find the contact zone, in the spur face gear set with localized contact, is discussed.

#### 5.4.3 Contact zone in the spur face gears

1° Using the results obtained in section 5.3.2, the pressure angle  $\alpha$  is found from

$$\cos \alpha = 1/i_{21} [\{(r_{2i} + r_{2e})/2\}^2 - a^2]^{1/2} \quad 5.33$$

$$\text{where, } \alpha = \phi_k = \theta_{ok} + \phi_o = \theta_{1k} + \phi_o/i_{o1} \quad 5.60$$

$$\text{and } \lambda = 1/(i_{21} C \alpha) \quad 5.61$$

Semi space width angle,  $\eta_1$ , for corrected involute gear is given by

$$\eta_1 = \pi/2Z_1 - \text{inv } \alpha_o - 2\xi_1 \tan \alpha_o/Z_1 \quad 5.62$$

Here,  $\xi_1$  is given by equation (5.34), while  $\eta_o$  is evaluated from

$$\eta_o = \pi/2Z_1 - \text{inv } \alpha_o \text{ for } \xi_o = 0. \quad 5.63$$

2° The line of action,

$$R_c(\phi_1) = [S\alpha - \theta_{ok}^* C\alpha, -(C\alpha + \theta_{ok}^* S\alpha), 1/i_{20} C\alpha]^T \quad 5.64$$

is found using equations (5.60) and (5.13). Here,

$$\theta_{ok}^* = \alpha - \phi_0 \mp \eta_0 \quad 5.65$$

$$\theta_{lk}^* = \alpha - \phi_0/i_{o1} \mp \eta_1 \quad 5.66$$

and  $\phi_0 = i_{o1} \cdot \phi_1 \quad 5.67$

3° The duration of contact and the limits of  $\phi_1$ ;  $\phi_{1\max}$  and  $\phi_{1\min}$ ; can be found using the contents of Chapter 4.

4° The contact point on a pinion is found considering [Section 5.3.1]

$$z_0 = \lambda \quad 5.68$$

$$r_0 = (R_{cx}^2 + R_{cy}^2)^{1/2} = (1 + \theta_{ok}^*)^{1/2} \quad 5.69$$

5° The principal curvatures of pinion and cutter are given by the following equations [equation (2.63)].

$$\chi_{I0} = 0, \chi_{II0} = -1/\theta_{ok}^* \quad 5.70$$

and  $\chi_{I1} = 0, \chi_{II1} = -1/\theta_{lk}^* \quad 5.71$

6° The principal curvatures at the contact point of the gear tooth are found using the following expressions.

$$\chi_{III} = [ \chi_{I0} + \chi_{II0} + S(\chi_{I0} - \chi_{II0} + G)/C2\sigma ] / 2 \quad 5.72$$

$$\chi_{IV} = 1/2 [ \chi_{I0} + \chi_{II0} + S(\chi_{I0} - \chi_{II0} + G)/C2\sigma ] / 2$$

$$\tan(2\sigma) = -2\lambda S\alpha / (S\alpha + a) \quad 5.73$$

$$D^* = i_{20}^2 [\theta_{ok}^* (S\alpha - \theta_{ok}^* C\alpha + a) C\alpha + \lambda^2 S^2\alpha]$$

$$G = i_{20}^2 (\theta_{ok}^{*2} C\alpha - \lambda^2 S^2\alpha) / \theta_{ok}^{*2} D^* \quad 5.74$$

$$S = i_{20}^2 (\theta_{ok}^* C\alpha + \lambda^2 S^2\alpha) / \theta_{ok}^{*2} D^* \quad 5.75$$

It may be noted that these curvatures are non-dimensionalized with respect to the base radius,  $r_{ob} = mZ_o C\alpha_o / 2$ , of the cutter.

7° The values of A and B are found using equation (5.49).

Here,

$$x_{s1} = (x_{I1} + x_{III}) / r_{ob} \quad 5.76$$

$$x_{s2} = (x_{III} + x_{IV}) / r_{ob}$$

$$x_1 = (x_{I1} - x_{III}) / r_{ob}$$

and

$$x_2 = (x_{III} - x_{IV}) / r_{ob} \quad 5.77$$

8° The maximum stress, major and minor axes of contact spot and the displacement  $\delta$  are found using equations (5.57), (5.53), (5.54) and (5.55).

9° The angle between the major axis of contact spot and the direction of  $x_I$  is evaluated from

$$\tan(2\sigma_1) = g_2 S2\sigma / (g_1 - g_2 C2\sigma) \quad 5.47$$

10° The position of the contact spot on the pinion tooth can be varied by giving different values to  $\phi_1$  in the range  $[\phi_{1\min}, \phi_{1\max}]$ . The area swept by the spot on tooth surface is the contact zone.

Figure 11 shows typical contact zone on pinion tooth.

### 5.5 Surface durability ratings of spur face gears with localized contacts

Spur face gear with point contacts are considered here. In gears with point contacts, comparatively small loads develop high compressive stress. The beam strength of the gear teeth is seldom a limiting factor in designing these gears [6]. This may not be true for a gear having very small tooth width or for a case hardened gear. Mostly, the surface wear is the deciding factor in rating these gears. The wear is largely dependent on the maximum pressure between the two surfaces [14].

Analysis of the limiting wear loads of the gears is approximate to a certain extent. Point contact is assumed but plastic deformation and wear may develop a definite width of contact [6]. This, in turn, will reduce the predicted value of the contact stress. Therefore, the theoretically predicted values must be checked against service data and experience.

#### 5.5.1 Design point in the contact cycle

The contact stress is used as a measure of the surface wear in gears [6]. The contact stress is constantly changing during

contact cycle. Therefore, some critical point on gear tooth profile has to be selected for the basis of computation of limiting load.

The pitch point may be considered as the critical point or design point [6] [29]. One of the reasons for this choice is the fact that in many cases wear on gear teeth first becomes prominent at or near the pitch point. Possibly, one of the contributing factors for this effect is the fact that one pair of teeth is usually carrying the entire load when contact exists on this part of the tooth. For contacts near the top or the bottom of the active profile, two pairs of the mating teeth are usually sharing the load.

Here it is assumed that the worst condition will be in that part of contact cycle when only one pair of teeth is in contact. The design point is a point where a minimum load, in this range (when one pair of teeth is in contact), will induce the given allowable stress.

The range,  $\phi'_{1min}$  to  $\phi'_{1max}$ , of angle of rotation, when one pair of teeth is in contact is found considering the following expressions.

$$\phi'_{1min} = \phi_{1min} + 2\pi/Z_1$$

and

$$\phi'_{1max} = \phi_{1max} - 2\pi/Z_1$$

5.78

### 5.5.2 Rating formula

The problem of how to find the induced stress for a given load was discussed in Section 5.4. In the present section, a reversed problem is considered. The problem here is to find the permissible load

$C_H$  - hardness ratio factor

$C_T$  - temperature factor

$C_R$  - factor of reliability.

The tangential load at the contact point is found from

$$P_t = P_n \cos \psi \quad 5.82$$

where  $\psi$  is the pressure angle at the contact point. In case of a spur face gear,  $\psi$  is given by

$$\cos \psi = \frac{1}{[1 + 0^*]^{1/2}} \quad 5.83$$

And the rated tangential load at contact point is given by

$$P_{rt} = \left[ \frac{2}{3} \pi k^2 a^* b^* S_{ac} \frac{C_L C_H}{C_T C_R} \right]^3 \frac{\cos \psi C_V}{C_s C_f C_o}$$

where<sup>1</sup>,

$C_V$  - dynamic load factor

$C_f$  - surface condition factor

$C_o$  - over load factor

$C_s$  - size factor.

The Horse Power can be calculated considering

$$hp = \frac{2 \pi N P_{rt} r}{4.5 \times 10^6} \quad 5.84$$

where,  $N$  - speed of pinion in rpm,

$r$  - radius of point of contact in mm.

---

<sup>1</sup> See reference [14] .

It has been shown in Section 5.4.3 that in case of spur face gears,  $r$  is found from (use the equation (5.69) for pinion)

$$r = (1 + \theta_{1k}^*)^{1/2} r_{1b} \quad 5.85$$

$$\text{where, } r_{1b} = \frac{m Z_1}{2} \cos \alpha_o .$$

The rated capacity is found using the following expression

$$hp_{\text{rated}} = \min_{\phi_1 \in [\phi'_{1\min}, \phi'_{1\max}]} \left[ \frac{2\pi n}{4.5 \times 10^6} \left( \frac{2}{3} \pi k^* a^* b^* S_{ac} \frac{C_L C_H}{C_T C_R} \right)^3 \frac{r \cos \psi C_V}{C_s C_f C_o} \right] \quad 5.86$$

$k^*$ ,  $a^*$  and  $b^*$  are found using equations (5.52), (5.49), (5.50), (5.51) and Table 4. For the case of face gears they are found using the material given in Section (5.4.3). The minimisation involved in expression (5.86) is performed through iteration by assigning different values to  $\phi_1$  in the specified range.

For Tables 5 and 6 following values of the factors are taken.

$$C_L = C_H = C_T = C_R = C_f = C_o = C_s = 1 \quad 5.87$$

$$\text{and } C_V = 50 / [50 + \sqrt{V_T}] , V_T \text{ is the pitch line velocity in fpm.} \quad 5.88$$

This corresponds to the dynamic load factor for hobbled or shaped involute gears [14] . The value of  $S_{ac}$  is taken to be  $95 \text{ kg/mm}^2$  for commercially used steel gears having the minimum hardness as 300 BHN. [14] . In designs for non-standard conditions the tabulated values may be modified according to the following equation

TABLE 5 SURFACE DURABILITY RATINGS OF SPUR FACE GEAR

I	I	I	I	I	I	RATINGS IN PS				I	
I 112 I	D1 I	M I	Z1 I	ASTAR I	I	AT DIFFERANT RPM				I	
I	I	I	I	I	I					I	
I	MM I	MM I	I	I	I	100	720	1750	3600	I	
1.5	25.0	1.25	20.0	0.00	0.017	0.105	0.227	0.413			
				0.10	0.019	0.121	0.262	0.477			
				0.20	0.022	0.134	0.292	0.531			
				0.30	0.024	0.148	0.321	0.584			
				0.40	0.026	0.159	0.346	0.629			
				0.50	0.027	0.168	0.365	0.664			
				33.0	1.5	0.00	0.041	0.252	0.540	0.972	
						0.10	0.048	0.293	0.629	1.132	
						0.20	0.054	0.329	0.706	1.271	
						0.30	0.059	0.361	0.774	1.393	
						0.40	0.064	0.391	0.838	1.509	
				48.0	2.0	0.50	0.068	0.417	0.894	1.610	
						0.00	0.133	0.791	1.666	2.953	
						0.10	0.155	0.927	1.954	3.463	
						0.20	0.175	1.042	2.197	3.893	
						0.30	0.192	1.143	2.410	4.270	
				75.0	2.5	0.40	0.208	1.238	2.608	4.622	
						0.50	0.222	1.326	2.794	4.952	
						0.00	0.580	3.337	6.879	11.951	
						0.10	0.682	3.925	8.091	14.057	
0.20	0.771	4.436	9.142			15.884					
108.0	3.0	0.30	0.855	4.916	10.133	17.604					
		0.40	0.931	5.353	11.033	19.169					
		0.50	1.002	5.764	11.881	20.641					
		0.00	1.842	10.253	20.731	35.432					
		0.10	2.221	12.363	24.996	42.722					
144.0	4.0	0.20	2.529	14.077	28.463	48.647					
		0.30	2.832	15.766	31.878	54.484					
		0.40	3.084	17.170	34.716	59.335					
		0.50	3.325	18.509	37.425	63.964					
		0.00	4.251	23.016	45.816	77.304					
		0.10	5.126	27.752	55.243	93.211					
		0.20	5.836	31.601	62.905	106.138					
		0.30	6.537	35.392	70.453	118.874					
		0.40	7.119	38.543	76.725	129.457					
		0.50	7.674	41.550	82.711	139.557					

FOR THIS TABLE DZ0=1

TABLE 5 SURFACE DURABILITY RATINGS OF SPUR FACE GEAR

I12	I	I	I	I	I	RATINGS IN PS				I
	D1	I	M	I	Z1	ASTAR	AT DIFFERANT RPM			
	I	I	I	I	I	I				
	MM	I	MM	I	I	I	100	720	1750	3600
2.0	25.0	1.25	20.0	0.00	0.022	0.140	0.303	0.552		
				0.10	0.026	0.159	0.345	0.629		
				0.20	0.028	0.176	0.382	0.695		
				0.30	0.031	0.191	0.414	0.755		
				0.40	0.033	0.204	0.444	0.808		
				0.50	0.035	0.217	0.470	0.856		
				0.00	0.056	0.341	0.730	1.315		
				0.10	0.064	0.390	0.837	1.507		
				0.20	0.071	0.433	0.929	1.673		
				0.30	0.077	0.471	1.010	1.818		
33.0	1.5	22.0	0.00	0.082	0.505	1.082	1.949			
			0.10	0.088	0.536	1.149	2.070			
			0.20	0.181	1.079	2.273	4.028			
			0.30	0.208	1.241	2.615	4.633			
			0.40	0.231	1.379	2.906	5.150			
			0.50	0.252	1.501	3.163	5.605			
			0.00	0.270	1.612	3.397	6.020			
			0.10	0.288	1.715	3.614	6.404			
			0.20	0.792	4.556	9.391	16.315			
			0.30	0.917	5.276	10.874	18.893			
48.0	2.0	24.0	0.00	1.025	5.899	12.158	21.123			
			0.10	1.123	6.459	13.314	23.131			
			0.20	1.210	6.960	14.345	24.922			
			0.30	1.292	7.431	15.316	26.609			
			0.40	2.549	14.190	28.691	49.036			
			0.50	2.972	16.544	33.451	57.173			
			0.00	3.342	18.607	37.622	64.301			
			0.10	3.680	20.486	41.422	70.796			
			0.20	3.987	22.199	44.884	76.713			
			0.30	4.289	23.875	48.274	82.507			
75.0	2.5	30.0	0.00	5.883	31.853	63.408	106.987			
			0.10	6.859	37.139	73.930	124.739			
			0.20	7.714	41.769	83.147	140.292			
			0.30	8.494	45.988	91.545	154.461			
			0.40	9.204	49.832	99.197	167.373			
			0.50	9.899	53.596	106.689	180.014			
108.0	3.0	36.0	0.00							
			0.10							
			0.20							
			0.30							
			0.40							
			0.50							
			0.00							
			0.10							
			0.20							
			0.30							
144.0	4.0	36.0	0.00							
			0.10							
			0.20							
			0.30							
			0.40							
			0.50							
			0.00							
			0.10							
			0.20							
			0.30							



$$hp_{\text{service}} = hp_{\text{rated}} \left[ \frac{C_L C_H S_{ac}}{C_T C_R 95} \right]^3 \frac{C_V}{50/(50 + \sqrt{V_T}) \cdot C_s C_f C_o} \quad 5.89$$

where,

$hp_{\text{service}}$  - service capacity of the gear.

In Table 5, the maximum permissible face width is assumed. The face widths taken in Table 6 are from Table 13 - 9 of [14] . This has been done to facilitate the comparison of the results.

TABLE 6 SURFACE DURABILITY RATINGS OF ON-CENTER FACE GEARS

DZO=1									
I	I12	D1 INCH	D2 INCH	Z1	FACE INCH	ASTAR	I	HORSE POWER AT 100 720 1750 3600	I
I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
1.5	1.0	1.5	20.0	0.085	0.000	0.018	0.109	0.237	0.432
	1.4	2.1	22.0	0.125	0.000	0.053	0.320	0.684	1.227
	2.0	3.0	24.0	0.172	0.000	0.161	0.954	2.005	3.544
	3.0	4.5	30.0	0.250	0.000	0.632	3.628	7.471	12.970
	4.0	6.0	36.0	0.359	0.000	1.698	9.506	19.284	33.050
	6.0	9.0	36.0	0.547	0.000	5.547	29.861	59.259	99.734
2.0	1.0	2.0	20.0	0.141	0.000	0.022	0.135	0.293	0.533
	1.4	2.8	22.0	0.203	0.000	0.065	0.398	0.850	1.526
	2.0	4.0	24.0	0.297	0.000	0.202	1.198	2.519	4.452
	3.0	6.0	30.0	0.438	0.000	0.804	4.616	9.508	16.506
	4.0	8.0	36.0	0.578	0.000	2.128	11.917	24.174	41.431
	6.0	12.0	36.0	0.859	0.000	6.888	37.085	73.593	123.859
4.0	1.0	4.0	20.0	0.375	0.000	0.045	0.281	0.609	1.109
	1.4	5.6	22.0	0.516	0.000	0.134	0.818	1.748	3.138
	2.0	8.0	24.0	0.719	0.000	0.416	2.467	5.185	9.165
	3.0	12.0	30.0	1.031	0.000	1.656	9.510	19.585	34.002
	4.0	16.0	36.0	1.250	0.000	4.271	23.915	48.512	83.142
	6.0	24.0	36.0	1.500	0.000	12.248	65.941	130.857	220.235

## CHAPTER VI

### RESULTS, DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

In this chapter, some illustrative example problems are solved and their results are discussed. At the end of the chapter, general discussions on tapered and face gears are presented. Based on these discussions, conclusions are drawn.

The computer programs for executing the necessary computation have been developed. The results of the computation are presented in this chapter. The description of these programs and more numerical results obtained are given in the report [22] .

#### 6.1 Intersection curves for face gears

The intersection of the two surfaces, U and L with the tip plane of the face gear gives two intersection curves. The study of these curves presents a clear picture of the pointing phenomenon, as will be clear from the following example.

To find the intersection curves for a face gear, given the following :

$$\Sigma = \pi/2, \beta = 0, \psi^* = 0, Z_1 = 20, i_{21} = 0.2, \alpha_0 = 20^\circ, \xi_0 = 0, \\ f_0 = 1 \text{ and } c_0 = 0.25.$$

The results obtained are given in Table 7. For any  $\lambda$ , the first and second rows give the co-ordinates of intersection curves for surfaces U and L respectively. In this table, co-ordinates are

TABLE 7 CO-ORDINATES OF INTERSECTION CURVES

I	*	I	I	I	I	I	I
I	LAMDA	I	X-COOR	I	Y-COOR	I	Z-COOR
I		I		I		I	
	5.2500	0.0450	-0.9000		4.9406	U	
		-0.0450	-0.9000		4.9406	L	
	5.3500	0.0406	-0.9000		5.0348		
		-0.0406	-0.9000		5.0348		
	5.4500	0.0361	-0.9000		5.1292		
		-0.0361	-0.9000		5.1292		
	5.5500	0.0314	-0.9000		5.2236		
		-0.0314	-0.9000		5.2236		
	5.6500	0.0265	-0.9000		5.3181		
		-0.0265	-0.9000		5.3181		
	5.7500	0.0214	-0.9000		5.4125		
		-0.0214	-0.9000		5.4125		
	5.8500	0.0161	-0.9000		5.5070		
		-0.0161	-0.9000		5.5070		
	5.9500	0.0107	-0.9000		5.6014		
		-0.0107	-0.9000		5.6014		
	6.0500	0.0051	-0.9000		5.6958		
		-0.0051	-0.9000		5.6958		
	6.1500	-0.0007	-0.9000		5.7901		
		0.0007	-0.9000		5.7901		
	6.2500	-0.0066	-0.9000		5.8845		
		0.0066	-0.9000		5.8845		
	6.3500	-0.0127	-0.9000		5.9788		
		0.0127	-0.9000		5.9788		
	6.4500	-0.0189	-0.9000		6.0731		
		0.0189	-0.9000		6.0731		
	6.5500	-0.0252	-0.9000		6.1674		
		0.0252	-0.9000		6.1674		
	6.6500	-0.0316	-0.9000		6.2616		
		0.0316	-0.9000		6.2616		

non-dimensionalized with respect to the nominal pitch radius of the pinion. The intersection curves are shown in figure 6. Pointing of the tooth starts at the point P.

## 6.2 Optimum helix angle

It is observed from Figure 14 that the face width of the spiral face gear changes with helix angle of the pinion. It is seen that for a given eccentricity the face width attains a maximum value for a particular helix angle, which may be termed as optimal helix angle. The following example illustrates this.

### Example 2

To study the face width variation in spur face gear having the following parameters :

$$\Sigma = \pi/2, \beta = 0-20^\circ, \Delta^* = 0, 0.2 \text{ and } 0.4, Z_1 = 20, i_{21} = 25, \alpha_{on} = 20^\circ, \\ \xi_{on} = 0, f_{on} = 1 \text{ and } c_{on} = 0.25.$$

The maximum and minimum radii are found using the material of sections 5.13 and 5.14. The difference of these two radii are given in Table 8. The values of optimal helix angle obtained are given in Table 9. The radii in Tables 8 and 9 are non-dimensionalized with respect to the radius of the base cylinder of the pinion.

## 6.3 Contact ratio in Tapered gears

The contact ratio is found using the method described in section 4.1. The set,  $\tilde{R}$ , is defined by following expressions. The expressions are on-center spur tapered and face gears ( $a = 0$ ) and



TABLE 9 OPTIMUM HELIX ANGLES FOR FACE GEARS

I	I	I	I	R2P/R2U/WIDTH/BETA			I	I		
I	I	I	I				I	I		
I	Z1	ASTAR	I	121			I	I		
I	I	I	I				I	I		
I	I	I	I	1	I	1/4	I	1/7	I	I
	17.0	0.00	1.263	4.969	8.689					
			1.099	4.071	7.048					R2P
			0.164	0.898	1.641					R2U
			0.0	0.0	0.0					WIDTH
		0.05	1.261	4.961	8.676					BETA
			1.098	4.066	7.039					
			0.162	0.887	1.622					
			5.1	5.7	5.8					
		0.10	1.256	4.938	8.634					
			1.094	4.051	7.013					
			0.162	0.887	1.622					
		0.15	1.248	4.899	8.565					
			1.088	4.026	6.967					
			0.160	0.874	1.598					
			7.7	8.6	8.7					
		0.20	1.236	4.842	8.464					
			1.079	3.989	6.901					
			0.157	0.854	1.563					
			10.4	11.7	11.8					
		0.25	1.221	4.765	8.328					
			1.068	3.939	6.812					
			0.153	0.827	1.517					
			13.2	14.8	15.0					




FIG.14 VARIATION OF FACE WIDTH WITH HELIX ANGLE.

(FACE GEAR:  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $Z_1 = 20$ ,  $i_{21} = .25$  AND  $\alpha_0 = 20^\circ$ )

off-set spur face gears ( $a \neq 0$ ) [Figure 5] .

$$g_1 = [\cot \Sigma \{z_2 + r_f / \Sigma - r_{2F} \cot \Sigma\} - r_{2F}]^2 - x_2^2 - y_2^2 \leq 0 \quad 6.1$$

$$g_2 = x_2^2 + y_2^2 - [\cot \Sigma \{z_2 + r_f / \Sigma - r_{2B} \cot \Sigma\} - r_{2B}]^2 \leq 0 \quad 6.2$$

$$g_3 = r_f / \Sigma + z_2 - (x_2^2 + y_2^2)^{1/2} \cot \Sigma \leq 0$$

where,

$$[x_2, y_2, z_2]^T = [R_{cx} + a, R_{cy} \cos \Sigma - R_{cz} \sin \Sigma, R_{cy} \sin \Sigma + R_{cz} \cos \Sigma]^T$$

$$\text{and } R_c = [R_{cx}, R_{cy}, R_{cz}]^T$$

$$g_4 = R_{cx}^2 + R_{cy}^2 - r_{lc}^2 \leq 0 \quad 6.4$$

$$g_5 = \lambda_F - R_{cz} \leq 0 \quad 6.5$$

$$g_6 = R_{cz} - \lambda_B \leq 0 \quad 6.6$$

$g_1$ ,  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  correspond to the front cone, the back cone and the face cone of the gear blank. The expressions  $g_4$ ,  $g_5$  and  $g_6$  are related to the pinion blank. [Figure 5] .

Sets  $I_0$  and  $I_\phi$  may be defined by the following expressions.

$$I_0 \equiv [0_k \mid 0 \leq 0_k \leq \pm 35^\circ]^1, \quad k = U, L \quad 6.7$$

$$I_\phi = [\phi_1 \mid -30^\circ \leq \phi_1 \leq 30^\circ] \quad 6.8$$

The set  $\tilde{A}$  may be defined as

---

<sup>1</sup> Upper and lower signs are for surfaces U and L respectively.

$$\tilde{A} \equiv [\phi_k \mid \pm \phi_k \geq 0], k = U, L$$

6.9

where  $\phi_k = \phi_1 + \phi_k$

The method is illustrated by the following example problem.

### Example 3

To find the contact ratio of the face gear set given the following parameters.

$$\Sigma = \pi/2, \beta = 0, A^* = 0, i_{21} = 0.25, Z_1 = 18, \alpha_o = 20^\circ, \xi_o = 0, f_o = 1, \\ c_o = 0.25, \lambda_i = 4.1, \lambda_e = 4.4 \text{ [Figure 3]}, r_{2i} = 4.1 \text{ and } r_{2e} = 4.4.$$

The results of the computation are given in Table 10.

### 6.4 Normal deviation of tooth surface in contact

The method to find the normal deviation of surfaces in contact is described in section 5.4.1. The following example is solved using this method.

### Example 4

For  $\Sigma = \pi/2, \beta = 0, A^* = 0, i_{21} = 0.25, Z = 18, Z_o = 19, m = 5\text{mm},$   
 $\alpha_o = 20^\circ, \xi_o = 0, f_o = 1, c_o = .25, \frac{1}{2} p^2 = 0.5$  and  $d = 20$ , find the polar graph of the normal deviation of surfaces in contact at a point where  $\phi_1 = 0^\circ$ .

The results are given in Table 11. The polar graph is shown in Figure 13.

TABLE 10 CONTACT RATIO IN TAPERED GEARS

ITER.	FAI1	THT.	FAIK	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
				I	G(1)	I	G(2)	I	G(3)	I	G(4)	I	G(5)	I	G(6)	I
				I		I		I		I		I		I		I
1	-20.0	28.7	12.8		-0.087	-2.463	-0.140	-0.148	-0.002	-0.298						
2	-22.5	31.2	12.8		-0.114	-2.436	-0.150	-0.102	-0.002	-0.298						
3	-23.7	32.6	13.0		-0.153	-2.397	-0.157	-0.074	-0.005	-0.295						
4	-24.4	33.0	12.7		-0.125	-2.425	-0.156	-0.067	-0.001	-0.299						
5	-24.7	33.3	12.8		-0.135	-2.415	-0.158	-0.060	-0.002	-0.298						
6	-24.8	33.7	13.0		-0.163	-2.387	-0.161	-0.052	-0.005	-0.295						
1	15.0	3.1	22.2		-1.73	-0.577	-0.000	-0.35	-0.221	-0.079						
2	15.0	3.1	22.2		-1.73	-0.577	-0.000	-0.35	-0.221	-0.079						
3	15.0	3.1	22.2		-1.73	-0.577	-0.000	-0.35	-0.221	-0.079						
4	15.0	3.1	22.2		-1.73	-0.577	-0.000	-0.35	-0.221	-0.079						
5	15.0	3.1	22.2		-1.73	-0.577	-0.000	-0.35	-0.221	-0.079						
6	15.2	4.2	23.5		-2.318	-0.232	-0.000	-0.393	-0.261	-0.039						

START OF CONTACT -24.8 DEG  
 END OF CONTACT 15.2 DEG  
 CONTACT RATIO 2.000

START OF CONTACT -24.8 DEG  
 END OF CONTACT 15.2 DEG  
 CONTACT RATIO 2.0

TABLE 11 NORMAL DEVIATION OF TEETH SURFACES OF  
ON-CENTER SPUR FACE GEAR SET

I	I	I	I	I
I	NU	I	L1	I
I	DEG	I	I	I
			L2	I
				L1-L2
				I
	.00	21.680	21.560	.120
	10.000	26.910	24.810	2.100
	20.000	35.050	27.240	7.810
	30.000	45.130	28.580	16.550
	40.000	55.930	28.660	27.270
	50.000	66.140	27.470	38.670
	60.000	74.550	25.150	49.400
	70.000	80.120	21.990	58.130
	80.000	82.200	18.360	63.840
	90.000	80.520	14.700	65.820
	100.000	75.300	11.460	63.840
	110.000	67.150	9.020	58.130
	120.000	57.080	7.680	49.400
	130.000	46.280	7.600	38.680
	140.000	36.060	8.790	27.270
	150.000	27.660	11.110	16.550
	160.000	22.080	14.280	7.800
	170.000	20.010	17.910	2.100
	180.000	21.680	21.560	.120
	190.000	26.910	24.810	2.100
	200.000	35.050	27.240	7.810
	210.000	45.130	28.580	16.550
	220.000	55.930	28.660	27.270
	230.000	66.140	27.470	38.670
	240.000	74.550	25.150	49.400
	250.000	80.120	21.990	58.130
	260.000	82.200	18.360	63.840
	270.000	80.520	14.700	65.820
	280.000	75.300	11.460	63.840
	290.000	67.150	9.020	58.130
	300.000	57.080	7.680	49.400
	310.000	46.280	7.600	38.680
	320.000	36.060	8.790	27.270
	330.000	27.660	11.110	16.550
	340.000	22.080	14.280	7.800
	350.000	20.010	17.910	2.100
	360.000	21.680	21.560	.120

## 6.5 Variation of contact stress

Variation of contact stress for one cycle of contact is studied for a case given in example 5.

### Example 5

To find the variation of contact stress as the contact point moves, for the following case :

$\lambda = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\Delta^* = 0$ ,  $i_{21} = 0.25$ ,  $Z_1 = 18$ ,  $Z_0 = 19$ ,  $m = 5\text{mm}$ ,  $\alpha_0 = 20^\circ$ ,  $\xi_0 = 0$ ,  $f_0 = 1$ ,  $c_0 = 0.25$  and  $P = 100\text{ kg}$ . Contact is localized at the mid-point of the tooth width.

The method used is described in Section 5.4.2. The stress constants, used in equations (5.5.3) and (5.5.4), are given in Table 4. The results are given in Table 12. The variation is shown in Figure 15. The curves in Figure 15 are drawn assuming the contact of one pair of teeth. Actually, a portion of these curves is used when one pair of teeth are in contact. This portion is shown by continuous lines. Beyond these portions, shown by dashed lines in Figure 15, two pairs will be in contact. As in these portions load is being shared, actual contact stress on any particular pair of teeth will be substantially less than that shown in Figure 15.

## 6.6 Effect of number of teeth in cutter on contact stress and on contact zone

The difference between numbers of teeth in cutter and pinion has an effect on contact stress. This effect is illustrated in the following example.

TABLE 12A VARIATION OF CONTACT STRESS WITH THE ANGLE OF ROTATION OF PINION

NO OF TEETH ON CUTTER	19.0	
NO OF TEETH ON PINION	18.0	
TRANSMISSION RATIO BETWEEN CUTTER AND GEAR	0.2500	
PRESSURE ANGLE OF CUTTER	20.0	DEG
MODULE OF CUTTER AND GEAR SET	5.0	MM
INNER RADIUS OF FACE GEAR SET	183.005	MM
OUTER RADIUS OF FACE GEAR SET	214.250	MM

## CORRECTION PARAMETERS

CUTTER SHIFT FACTOR	0.000	
PINION SHIFT FACTOR	-0.026	
CUTTER TOOTH SPACE ANGLE	3.9	DEG
PINION TOOTH SPACE ANGLE	4.2	DEG
PRESSURE ANGLE OF GEAR SET	26.0	DEG

## GEOMETRIC DISTANCES

B	2.614	MM
C	44.886	MM
RE1	49.886	MM
RT	42.500	MM

## CURVETURES

TABLE 12B

FAI1	I	KAI1	I	KAI2	I	SIG	I	KAI3	I	KAI4	I	BCAP	I	ACAP	I	SIG1	I
	I		I		I		I		I		I		I		I		I
-16.0	0.00000	-0.03586	-41.8	C.01261	-0.00865	0.00006	0.01985	-16.1									
-14.0	0.00000	-0.03787	-41.8	C.01238	-0.00876	0.00006	0.02069	-15.3									
-12.0	0.00000	-0.04011	-41.8	C.01216	-0.00888	0.00006	0.02164	-14.5									
-10.0	0.00000	-0.04263	-41.8	C.01194	-0.00900	0.00006	0.02273	-13.7									
-8.0	0.00000	-0.04549	-41.8	C.01174	-0.00912	0.00006	0.02400	-12.8									
-6.0	0.00000	-0.04877	-41.8	C.01154	-0.00924	0.00006	0.02547	-12.0									
-4.0	0.00000	-0.05255	-41.8	C.01134	-0.00937	0.00006	0.02721	-11.1									
-2.0	0.00000	-0.05697	-41.8	C.01116	-0.00950	0.00006	0.02926	-10.3									
-0.0	0.00000	-0.06220	-41.8	C.01098	-0.00964	0.00006	0.03171	-9.4									
2.0	0.00000	-0.06849	-41.8	C.01080	-0.00977	0.00006	0.03470	-8.6									
4.0	0.00000	-0.07619	-41.8	C.01063	-0.00992	0.00006	0.03839	-7.7									
6.0	0.00000	-0.08585	-41.8	C.01047	-0.01007	0.00006	0.04307	-6.9									
8.0	0.00000	-0.09831	-41.8	C.01031	-0.01022	0.00006	0.04914	-6.0									
10.0	0.00000	-0.11499	-41.8	C.01015	-0.01037	0.00006	0.05733	-5.1									
12.0	0.00000	-0.13850	-41.8	C.01000	-0.01053	0.00006	0.06893	-4.3									
14.0	0.00000	-0.17410	-41.8	C.00986	-0.01070	0.00006	0.08657	-3.4									
16.0	0.00000	-0.23431	-41.8	C.00972	-0.01087	0.00006	0.11652	-2.5									

TABLE 12C

## DIMENSION OF CONTACT SPOT AND STRESS

FAIL	I	ABS	I	TAO	I	DEL	I	ASMAL	I	BSMAL	I	AREA	I	S	I
	I		I		I		I		I		I		I		I
-16.0	0.039822	0.9943	0.00312	6.65565	0.17719	3.70499	40.49								
-14.0	0.041484	0.9945	0.00314	6.64479	0.17377	3.62748	41.35								
-12.0	0.043389	0.9947	0.00315	6.64860	0.16984	3.54749	42.28								
-10.0	0.045581	0.9949	0.00317	6.64957	0.16564	3.46016	43.35								
-8.0	0.048116	0.9952	0.00318	6.63885	0.16106	3.35911	44.65								
-6.0	0.051066	0.9954	0.00320	6.63444	0.15638	3.25939	46.02								
-4.0	0.054528	0.9957	0.00322	6.64337	0.15137	3.15928	47.48								
-2.0	0.058630	0.9960	0.00325	6.65077	0.14606	3.05185	49.15								
-0.0	0.063545	0.9963	0.00327	6.66555	0.14016	2.93503	51.11								
2.0	0.069519	0.9966	0.00329	6.67385	0.13385	2.80637	53.45								
4.0	0.076910	0.9969	0.00331	6.69131	0.12696	2.66893	56.20								
6.0	0.086254	0.9972	0.00333	6.72267	0.11954	2.52463	59.41								
8.0	0.098400	0.9975	0.00337	6.75075	0.11166	2.36811	63.34								
10.0	0.114776	0.9979	0.00339	6.77934	0.10341	2.20245	68.11								
12.0	0.137975	0.9982	0.00343	6.82911	0.09377	2.01182	74.56								
14.0	0.173256	0.9986	0.00347	6.86951	0.08351	1.80232	83.23								
16.0	0.233156	0.9990	0.00355	6.96916	0.07131	1.56133	96.07								




FIG.15. VARIATION OF CONTACT STRESS WITH NUMBER OF TEETH IN CUTTER.

(ON CENTER FACE GEAR:  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $Z_1 = 18$ ,  $i_{21} = -25$ ,  $m = 5$  mm,  $P = 100$  kg. AND  $\alpha_0 = 20^\circ$ ).

### Example 6

To study the effect of number of teeth in cutter on contact stress and on contact zone. Following parameters are given.

$\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\Delta^* = 0$ ,  $i_{21} = 0.25$ ,  $Z_1 = 18$ ,  $DZO = Z_0 - Z_1 = 1, 2, 3$   
 $m = 5\text{mm}$ ,  $\alpha_0 = 20^\circ$ ,  $\xi_0 = 0$ ,  $f_0 = 1$ ,  $c_0 = 0.25$  and  $P = 100\text{ kg}$ . Contact is localized at the mid-point of the tooth width. The method used is described in Section 5.4.2. The results obtained are listed in Table 13. The effects are shown in Figures 15 and 16.

### 6.7 Effect of number of teeth in cutter on surface durability rating

It is seen in the previous example that the number of teeth in cutter affects the contact stress. Consequently, the surface durability rating is also affected. This effect can be observed in the following example.

### Example 7

To find the effect of number of teeth of the cutter on the surface durability rating of face gears. Following parameters are specified.

$\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\Delta^* = 0$ ,  $Z_1 = 20, 25, 30$  and  $35$ ,  $i_{21} = 0.25$ ,  
 $m = 2.5\text{ mm}$ ,  $DZO = 1$  to  $5$ ,  $S_{ac} = 95\text{ kg/mm}^2$  and  $C_V = 50/(50 + \sqrt{V_T})$ .-

Where  $V_T$  is in fpm. Standard cutters are assumed.

The inner and outer radii of the face gear are found from the considerations of undercutting and pointing of teeth. The method to find the surface durability rating is given in Section 5.5. The results

TABLE 13 EFFECT OF THE NUMBER OF TEETH IN CUTTER ON CONTACT STRESS

I	* DZO	I	I	I	I	I	I
I	*	I	1	I	2	I	3
I	*	I	I	I	I	I	I
I	*	I	I	I	I	I	I
I	*	I	STRESS	I	AREA	I	STRESS
I	*	I	2	I	2	I	2
I	FAIL	I	KG/MM	I	MM	I	KG/MM
I		I	MM	I	MM	I	MM
-16	41.8	3.587	46.8	3.207	49.6	3.023	
-14	42.6	3.520	47.7	3.147	50.7	2.960	
-12	43.5	3.455	48.7	3.078	51.7	2.899	
-10	44.6	3.360	49.8	3.009	52.9	2.838	
- 8	45.8	3.274	51.1	2.932	54.3	2.761	
- 6	47.1	3.186	52.6	2.854	55.9	2.684	
- 4	48.5	3.094	54.3	2.761	57.7	2.599	
- 2	50.1	2.9 3	56.0	2.67	59.6	2.517	
0	52.1	2.880	57.7	2.599	61.9	2.423	
2	54.3	2.760	60.8	2.467	64.6	2.323	
4	57.1	2.627	63.7	2.353	67.9	2.214	
6	60.2	2.491	67.4	2.225	71.5	2.098	
8	64.0	2.342	71.7	2.091	76.1	1.971	
10	68.8	2.179	77.0	1.947	81.8	1.833	
12	75.2	1.995	89.2	1.780	89.5	1.677	
14	83.7	1.791	94.0	1.595	99.8	1.503	
16	96.6	1.553	108.2	1.386	115.4	1.300	




FIG.16 EFFECT OF NUMBER OF TEETH IN CUTTER ON CONTACT ZONE ON PINION TOOTH.

(ON-CENTER FACE GEAR:  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $Z_1 = 18$ ,  $i_{21} = 25$ ,  
 $m = 5$  mm,  $P = 100$  Kg AND  $\alpha_0 = 20^\circ$ ).

TABLE 14 EFFECT OF THE NUMBER OF TEETH IN CUTTER ON SURFACE DURABILITY RATINGS

I12	Z1/DZC	M	ASTAR	HP AT 100 RPM	CONTACT RATIO	ALFA DEG
4.0	20/1	2.5	0.00	.363	1.73	20.8
	2			.272		
	3			.239		
	4			.222		
	5			.214		
4.0	25/1	2.5	0.00	.858	1.74	21.1
	2			.632		
	3			.544		
	4			.496		
	5			.474		
4.0	30/1	2.5	0.00	1.687	1.76	21.2
	2			1.224		
	3			1.049		
	4			.952		
	5			.891		
4.0	35/1	2.5	0.00	2.978	1.78	21.2
	2			2.138		
	3			1.805		
	4			1.620		
	5			1.502		

obtained are given in Table 14. The variation of surface durability rating with DZO is shown in Figure 17.

#### 6.8 Variation of surface durability rating with other independent design parameters.

The surface durability ratings of spur face gears with localized contacts also depend upon the following

1. eccentricity,  $a$
2. pressure angle,  $\alpha$
3. number of teeth in the pinion,  $Z_1$
4. module,  $m$ .

The variations of surface durability ratings with these parameters are given in Tables 15, 16, 17 and 18. The variations are shown in Figures 18, 19, 20 and 21. Unless specified, the inner and outer radii are taken to be limiting radii and contact is localized at mean radius of the blanks.

#### 6.9 Discussions and Conclusions

The discussions and conclusions are based on the results given in this dissertation. Detailed results are published in reference [22]. The ranges of parameters covered in the report [22] are given in Appendix IV.

An examination of Tables 1 and 2 reveals that the face width of a gear tooth is sensitive to angle  $\Sigma$  between the shaft axes, the transmission ratio  $i_{21}$ , the non-dimensionalized eccentricity  $A^*$  and helix angle  $\beta$ . For the same number of teeth in pinion, and for

TABLE 15 VARIATION OF SURFACE DURABILITY RATING WITH ECCENTRICITY

I12	Z1	M	ASTAR	HP AT 100 RPM	CONTACT RATIO	ALFA DEG
1.5	30	2.5	0.00	.580	1.64	23.8
			0.10	.682	1.59	24.9
			0.20	.771	1.55	25.7
			0.30	.855	1.52	26.4
			0.40	.931	1.54	26.9
			0.50	1.002	1.48	27.1
2.0	30	2.5	0.00	.792	1.69	22.7
			0.10	.917	1.64	23.9
			0.20	1.025	1.59	24.8
			0.30	1.123	1.56	25.6
			0.40	1.210	1.53	26.1
			0.50	1.292	1.51	26.6
4.0	30	2.5	0.00	1.687	1.76	21.2
			0.10	1.855	1.72	22.2
			0.20	2.006	1.68	22.9
			0.30	2.141	1.65	23.5
			0.40	2.267	1.63	24.1
			0.50	2.300	1.60	24.6




FIG. 17 VARIATION OF SURFACE DURABILITY RATING WITH NUMBER OF TEETH IN CUTTER.

(ON CENTER FACE GEAR:  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0^\circ$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $Z_1 = 30$ ,  
 $i_{21} = .25$ ,  $m = 2.5 \text{ mm}$ ,  $\alpha_0 = 20^\circ$  AND  $\text{rpm} = 100$ ).

TABLE 16 VARIATION OF SURFACE DURABILITY RATING WITH PRESSURE ANGLE

I12	Z1	M	ASTAR	HP AT 100 RPM	CONTACT RATIO	ALFA DEG
4.0	30	2.5	0.00	1.610	1.82	20.0
				1.748	1.72	22.0
				1.890	1.63	24.0
				2.018	1.54	26.0
4.0	30	2.5	0.10	1.734	1.82	20.0
				1.846	1.72	22.0
				1.984	1.63	24.0
				2.100	1.54	26.0
4.0	30	2.5	0.20	1.856	1.82	20.0
				1.960	1.72	22.0
				2.078	1.63	24.0
				2.181	1.54	26.0
4.0	30	2.5	0.30	1.990	1.82	20.0
				2.072	1.72	22.0
				2.171	1.63	24.0
				2.269	1.54	26.0
4.0	30	2.5	0.40	2.127	1.82	20.0
				2.185	1.72	22.0
				2.262	1.63	24.0
				2.356	1.54	26.0
4.0	30	2.5	0.50	2.264	1.82	20.0
				2.296	1.72	22.0
				2.353	1.63	24.0
				2.444	1.54	26.0

TABLE 17 VARIATION OF SURFACE DURABILITY RATING WITH THE NUMBER OF TEETH IN PINION

I12	Z1	M	ASTAR	HP AT 100 RPM	CONTACT RATIO	ALFA DEG
4.0	20	2.5	0.00	.363	1.73	20.8
	22			.526	1.74	20.9
	24			.734	1.74	21.1
	30			1.687	1.76	21.2
	36			3.262	1.79	20.9
4.0	20	2.5	0.10	.397	1.69	21.8
	22			.575	1.69	21.9
	24			.804	1.70	22.0
	30			1.855	1.72	22.1
	36			3.602	1.75	21.8
4.0	20	2.5	0.20	.426	1.65	22.7
	22			.618	1.66	22.8
	24			.868	1.66	22.8
	30			2.006	1.68	22.9
	36			3.899	1.72	22.5
4.0	20	2.5	0.30	.451	1.62	23.4
	22			.658	1.62	23.5
	24			.924	1.63	23.6
	30			2.141	1.65	23.5
	36			4.171	1.69	23.1
4.0	20	2.5	0.40	.474	1.59	24.1
	22			.695	1.60	24.1
	24			.974	1.60	24.1
	30			2.267	1.63	24.1
	36			4.423	1.66	23.7
4.0	20	2.5	0.50	.497	1.57	24.7
	22			.728	1.57	24.7
	24			1.022	1.58	24.7
	30			2.383	1.60	24.6
	36			4.655	1.63	24.2

TABLE 18 VARIATION OF SURFACE DURABILITY RATING WITH MODULE

I12	Z1	M	ASTAR	HP AT 100 RPM	CONTACT RATIO	ALFA DEG
4.0	20	1.25	0.00	.047	1.73	20.8
		1.5		.081		
		2.0		.188		
		2.5		.363		
		3.0		.620		
		4.0		1.438		
4.0	20	1.25	0.10	.052	1.69	21.8
		1.5		.088		
		2.0		.206		
		2.5		.397		
		3.0		.678		
		4.0		1.573		
4.0	20	1.25	0.20	.055	1.65	22.7
		1.5		.095		
		2.0		.221		
		2.5		.426		
		3.0		.727		
		4.0		1.688		
4.0	20	1.25	0.30	.059	1.62	23.4
		1.5		.100		
		2.0		.234		
		2.5		.451		
		3.0		.770		
		4.0		1.789		
4.0	20	1.25	0.40	.062	1.59	24.1
		1.5		.105		
		2.0		.246		
		2.5		.474		
		3.0		.810		
		4.0		1.881		
4.0	20	1.25	0.50	.065	1.57	24.7
		1.5		.111		
		2.0		.258		
		2.5		.477		
		3.0		.849		
		4.0		1.971		




FIG.18 VARIATION OF SURFACE DURABILITY RATING, CONTACT RATIO AND PRESSURE ANGLE WITH ECCENTRICITY.

(OFF-SET FACE GEAR:  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0^\circ$ ,  $Z_1 = 30$ ,  $i_{21} = .25$ ,  $DZ0=1$ ,  $m = 2.5 \text{ mm}$ ,  $\alpha_0 = 20^\circ$  AND  $\text{rpm} = 100$ ).




FIG. 19. VARIATION OF SURFACE DURABILITY RATING WITH PRESSURE ANGLE.

(OFF-SET FACE GEAR:  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0^\circ$ ,  $Z_1 = 30$ ,  $i_{21} = .2$ )




FIG.20 VARIATION OF SURFACE DURABILITY RATING WITH NUMBER OF TEETH IN PINION

(ON-CENTER FACE GEAR:  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0^\circ$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $i_{21} = .25$ ,  $m = 2.5 \text{ mm}$ ,  $D_{20} = 1$ ,  $\alpha_0 = 20^\circ$  AND  $r_{pm} = 100$ ).




FIG. 21 VARIATION OF SURFACE DURABILITY RATING WITH MODULE.

(ON-CENTER FACE GEAR:  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0^\circ$ ,  $Z_1 = 20$ ,  $i_{21} = .25$ ,  $DZ_0 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_0 = 20^\circ$  AND rpm = 100).

the same transmission ratio and module, the face width is minimum when  $\Sigma = 90$  degrees, that is, for face gears. The face width increases when  $\Sigma$  deviates from 90 degrees. It becomes infinite at values  $\Sigma = 180$  degrees or  $\Sigma = 0$  degree. These two cases correspond to the external and internal spur gears respectively. The face width decreases with an increase in the transmission ratio. This decrease is less prominent at higher transmission ratios. With an increase of the off-set, the face width decreases. An interesting result is that for a particular transmission ratio and module, the face width does not change appreciably when the number of teeth in a pinion are changed. For on-center face gears, the face width of gears, measured radially decreases with an increase of the helix angle.

In hypoid tapered gears, the blank shape for maximum utilization of surface of action is a curved surface. Face width and other characteristics depend upon the size and shape of the gear blank. In the present dissertation a method is given to find a suitable conical surface for a gear blank [Section 2.7] . In the absence of any accepted standard, choice is made of blank shape which is easy to manufacture and control. A need for further studies in this direction is felt to have a standardized practice for the selection of the shape of a gear blank.

The pressure angle on the pitch surface of tapered gears varies along the face. It is minimum at the small end of the blank cone and is maximum at the large end. In face gears, if the computed face width is large and has to be reduced, it is suggested that most

increase in the difference (DZO) the area of contact zone decreases. This results in lower surface durability rating with higher values of DZO [Figure 17]. The effect of DZO on gear tooth may be considered to be some sort of 'crowning' of the tooth. For an optimum load capacity, incorporating some crowning effect, DZO should be one. Similar result was also suggested by Francis and Silvagi [2].

In the present work, it is found that the pressure angle ( $\alpha$ ), the difference in numbers of teeth in cutter and pinion (DZO) are the two additional convenient parameters to specify a face gear. The knowledge of  $\alpha$  and DZO give the location of contact and the amount of correction to be applied while generating the pinion. Once these two are specified, the contact ratio, curvature and surface durability ratings can be computed. For the specification of spur face gears, the following information should be available

- i) Number of teeth of the involute pinion ( $Z_1$ )
- ii) Transmission ratio ( $i_{21}$ )
- iii) Off-set ( $a$ )
- iv) Pressure angle of the cutter ( $\alpha_o$ )
- v) Pressure angle ( $\alpha$ )
- vi) Difference in number of teeth of cutter and pinion (DZO).

The effect of contact localization on the contact ratio is shown in Figure 22. The contact ratio is determined by the blank sizes of pinion and gear and the line of action. These change with the contact localization. Consequently, the contact ratio is affected. It is observed that when contact is localized so as to have more




FIG. 22. VARIATION OF CONTACT RATIO WITH PRESSURE ANGLE.

(ON-CENTER FACE GEAR:  $\Sigma = \pi/2$ ,  $\beta = 0^\circ$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $Z_1 = 30$ ,  $Z_2 = 25$ ,  $D_{Z0} = 1$ ,  $m = 2.5$  mm AND  $\alpha_0 = 20^\circ$ ).

favourable contact conditions, the contact ratio decreases; but the net effect is an increase in the surface durability rating.

To find the surface durability ratings of the face gears, in most of the cases the conditions are considered to be critical on the pitch surface [6] [29] . This forms the basis for evaluation of surface durability ratings. The critical contact conditions are considered to correspond to the contact point where the contact stress for single pair contact is maximum. It is observed that this point generally lies near the pitch surface. For some contact localization, this critical point may also lie on the pitch surface.

The dynamic loads on face gears can, theoretically, be evaluated by solving the differential equation of motion, considering varying system stiffness and damping factors. It is an involved task. In the present dissertation, the dynamic load factors for a face gear set is taken to be equal to that of hobbed or shaped spur gears [14] . Table 6 has been prepared based on the above assumption and presented in the similar form as Table 13-9 in reference [14] . It is seen from these two tables that the surface durability ratings are not exactly the same. In Table 6, the dynamic load factor is taken as mentioned earlier,  $S_{ac} = 135000 \text{ lb/in}^2$  and all other factors in equation (5.86) are assumed to be unity. As the table in reference [14] does not specify all these factors, so, strictly speaking, these two tables can not be compared.

It is seen from Figure 18 that the amount of off-set affects the surface durability ratings. The same conclusion was drawn in

reference [30] from a different point of view. These results have been contradicted by Coleman [29] . He has reported that an increase in pinion off-set leads to a decrease in load carrying capacity. Coleman arrived at this conclusion considering the effect of off-set on scoring. In the present work, load capacity is found considering resistance of the surface to pitting.

## APPENDIX I

### TRANSFORMATION MATRICES

The vector<sup>1</sup>,  $R_\alpha$ , given in  $S_\alpha$  co-ordinate system is transformed to  $S_\beta$  co-ordinate system using the expression of the following type.

$$\begin{Bmatrix} R_\beta \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} = [Q_{\beta\alpha}] \begin{Bmatrix} R_\alpha \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} \quad \text{I.1}$$

where,  $\alpha, \beta$  are indices of co-ordinate systems and  $Q_{\beta\alpha}[4 \times 4]$  is the transformation matrix for the co-ordinate systems.

The following are the transformation matrices for the co-ordinate system used in this work [Figure 1] .

$$Q_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} C\phi_1 & -S\phi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ S\phi_1 & C\phi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{I.2}$$

$$Q_{11}^{-1} = Q_{11}^T \quad \text{I.3}$$

$$Q_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & C\Sigma & -S\Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & S\Sigma & C\Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{I.4}$$

---

<sup>1</sup> Here, the vectors are  $[3 \times 1]$  matrices.

$$Q_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} C \phi_2 & S \phi_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -S \phi_2 & C \phi_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

I.5

and

$$Q_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} (C\phi_1 C\phi_2 + C\Sigma S\phi_1 S\phi_2), (-S\phi_1 C\phi_2 + C\Sigma C\phi_1 S\phi_2), (-S\Sigma S\phi_2), (aC\phi_2) \\ (-C\phi_1 S\phi_2 + C\Sigma S\phi_1 C\phi_2), (S\phi_1 S\phi_2 + C\Sigma C\phi_1 C\phi_2), (-S\Sigma C\phi_2), (-aS\phi_2) \\ (\Sigma S\phi_1), (\Sigma C\phi_1), (C\Sigma), 0 \\ 0, 0, 0, 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## APPENDIX II

### CONDITION OF UNDERCUTTING OF GEAR TOOTH

Undercutting of the space mesh is due to the appearance of singular (cuspidal) points on the surface of tooth during its generation. The fact that the surface generated by the cutting edge at the tip of the cutter cuts off some working portion of the tooth surface  $\Sigma_2$  explains the undercutting of the space mesh. Undercutting leads to weaker teeth and the curvatures of the surfaces near the singular points have the values unfavorable from the point of view of contact stress. Therefore, the surfaces  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  should be limited so that the singular points are excluded.

The condition for undercutting of tooth generated by single parametric generation is given by Litvin [8] . The brief description of the development of condition for undercutting is given here for our direct reference.

It has been found in Litvin's work that for cuspidal points the following condition holds good.

$$\tilde{V}_{ri} = 0, i = 1, 2 \quad \text{II.1}$$

where,  $\tilde{V}_{ri}$  is the velocity of the contact point when it is moving on surface  $\Sigma_1$  . This condition was used to locate cuspidal points on the tooth surface. Direct use of the criterion  $\tilde{V}_{r2} = 0$

for eliminating the cuspidal points of contact of the surface  $\Sigma_2$  is not always advantageous because surface  $\Sigma_2$  is expressed in a complex form. The velocities of motion,  $\vec{v}_{r1}$  and  $\vec{v}_{r2}$  of the contact point along surfaces  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ , respectively, are related by the following

$$\vec{v}_{r2} = \vec{v}_{r1} + \vec{v}_1^{12} \quad \text{II.2}$$

where

$$\vec{v}_{r1} = \frac{\partial \vec{R}_1}{\partial \theta_k} \frac{d\theta_k}{dt} + \frac{\partial \vec{R}_1}{\partial \lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} \quad \text{II.3}$$

It is assumed that these vectors are expressed in the co-ordinate system  $S_1$ . Equation (II.2) gives three scalar equations:

$$\frac{v_{x1}^{12}}{v_{xr1}} = \frac{v_{y1}^{12}}{v_{yr1}} = \frac{v_{z1}^{12}}{v_{zr1}} = -1 \quad \text{II.4}$$

Out of these equations, only two are independent, as

$$\vec{v}_{r1} \cdot \vec{N}_1 = \vec{v}_1^{12} \cdot \vec{N}_1 = 0 \quad (\text{velocity vectors } \vec{v}_{r1} \text{ and } \vec{v}_1^{12} \text{ lie on the tangent plane})$$

The equation of contact,

$$f(\theta_k, \lambda, \phi_1) = 0, \quad \text{II.5}$$

is an identity for all values of  $\phi_1$ . By differentiating one gets,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_k} \cdot \frac{d\theta_k}{dt} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi_1} \frac{d\phi_1}{dt} = 0. \quad \text{II.6}$$

Equations (II.4) and (II.6) contain the following three independent equations.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_k} \frac{d\theta_k}{dt} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi_1} \frac{d\phi_1}{dt}$$

$$\frac{\partial R_{x1}}{\partial \theta_k} \frac{d\theta_k}{dt} + \frac{\partial R_{x1}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = - V_{x1}^{12} \quad \text{II.7}$$

$$\frac{\partial R_{z1}}{\partial \theta_k} \frac{d\theta_k}{dt} + \frac{\partial R_{z1}}{\partial \lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = - V_{z1}^{12}$$

As the system of equations is consistent the following condition must hold good.

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_k} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi_1} \cdot \frac{d\phi_1}{dt} \\ \frac{\partial R_{x1}}{\partial \theta_k} & \frac{\partial R_{x1}}{\partial \lambda} & V_{x1}^{12} \\ \frac{\partial R_{z1}}{\partial \theta_k} & \frac{\partial R_{z1}}{\partial \lambda} & V_{z1}^{12} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

The above equation is called the condition of undercutting. It can also be written as

$$V(\theta_k, \lambda, \phi_1) = 0 \quad \text{II.9}$$

Equations (II.5) and (II.9) define the relation between the parameters of the surface,  $\theta_k$  and  $\lambda$ , at the cuspidal points of contact on the surface  $\Sigma_2$ .

### APPENDIX III

#### PRINCIPAL CURVATURES AND DIRECTION ON THE GENERATED SURFACE

Spatial gearing with intersecting and offset axes are considered in this appendix. It is assumed that the first derivative of the velocity ratio (transmission ratio) is equal to zero, in the contact point locality. The equations, connecting principal curvatures and principal directions of the teeth surfaces are obtained. These equations have been derived earlier by Litvin [17] .

Consider two surfaces  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  in contact at a point. Let  $\bar{i}_I$  and  $\bar{i}_{III}$  be the unit vectors along principal directions and  $\chi_I$  and  $\chi_{III}$  be the principal curvatures of the surface  $\Sigma_1$  at the contact point. The  $\bar{i}_{III}$  and  $\bar{i}_{IV}$  are the unit vectors along the principal directions and  $\chi_{III}$  and  $\chi_{IV}$  are the principal curvatures of the surface  $\Sigma_2$ .  $\sigma$  is the angle between the vectors  $\bar{i}_I$  and  $\bar{i}_{III}$  [Figure 12b] .

It can be proved that the following equations hold good at the contact point [17] .

$$\bar{v}_1 = \bar{v}_2, \bar{e}_1 = \bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_i \cdot \bar{v}_1^{12} = 0; i = 1, 2 \quad \text{III.1}$$

$$\dot{\bar{v}}_1 = \dot{\bar{v}}_2, \dot{\bar{e}}_1 = \dot{\bar{e}}_2, \frac{d}{dt} (\bar{e}_i \cdot \bar{v}_1^{12}) = 0 \quad \text{III.2}$$

$$\bar{v}_r^2 = \bar{v}_r^1 + \bar{v}^{12} \quad \text{III.3}$$

$$\dot{\bar{e}}_r^2 = \dot{\bar{e}}_r^1 + \Omega_{12} \times \bar{e}_1 \quad \text{III.4}$$

and

$$\dot{\bar{e}}_r^1 \cdot \bar{V}_1^{12} + (\Omega_1, \bar{e}_1, \bar{V}_1^{12}) + (\bar{e}_1, \Omega_{12}, \dot{\bar{R}}_1) = 0 \quad \text{III.5}$$

Here, all vectors are assumed to be in fixed co-ordinate system  $\bar{S}_1$ .

The vectors in equations (III.3) and (III.4), when resolved along the directions  $\bar{i}_I$  and  $\bar{i}_{II}$ , give rise to following expressions [Figure 12b] .

$$\bar{V}_{rI}^2 = \bar{V}_{rIII}^2 C_\sigma - \bar{V}_{rIV}^2 S_\sigma$$

$$\bar{V}_{rII}^2 = \bar{V}_{rIII}^2 S_\sigma + \bar{V}_{rIV}^2 C_\sigma$$

$$\dot{\bar{e}}_{rI}^2 = \dot{\bar{e}}_{rIII}^2 C_\sigma - \dot{\bar{e}}_{rIV}^2 S_\sigma$$

$$\dot{\bar{e}}_{rII}^2 = \dot{\bar{e}}_{rIV}^2 S_\sigma + \dot{\bar{e}}_{rIV}^2 C_\sigma$$

Along the principal directions the following equations hold good  
(Rodrigue's formula) [24] .

$$\dot{\bar{e}}_{ri}^m = -\chi_i \bar{V}_{ri}^m \quad m = 1 (i = I, II), m = 2 (i = III, IV) \quad \text{III.6}$$

After transformation and simplification, a system of linear equations of the following type is obtained.

$$a_{11} \bar{V}_{rI}^1 + a_{12} \bar{V}_{rII}^1 = b_1$$

$$a_{11} \bar{V}_{rI}^1 + a_{12} \bar{V}_{rII}^1 = b_2 \quad \text{III.7}$$

$$a_{31} \bar{V}_{rII}^1 + a_{32} \bar{V}_{rII}^1 = b_3$$

where

$$a_{11} = -x_I + \frac{1}{2} [x_{III} + x_{IV} + (x_{III} - x_{IV}) \cos 2\sigma]$$

$$a_{12} = a_{21} = \frac{1}{2} (x_{III} - x_{IV}) \sin 2\sigma$$

$$a_{22} = -x_{II} + \frac{1}{2} [x_{III} + x_{IV} - (x_{III} - x_{IV}) \cos 2\sigma]$$

$$a_{31} = (\bar{e}_1, \bar{\Omega}_{12}, \bar{i}_{II}) - x_I \bar{V}_I^{12}$$

$$a_{32} = (\bar{e}_1, \bar{\Omega}_{12}, \bar{i}_{II}) - x_{II} \bar{V}_{II}^{12}$$

$$b_1 = (\bar{e}_1, \bar{\Omega}_{12}, \bar{i}_I) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{V}_I^{12} \{x_{III} + x_{IV} + (x_{III} - x_{IV}) \cos 2\sigma\} \\ - \frac{1}{2} \bar{V}_{II}^{12} (x_{III} - x_{IV}) \sin 2\sigma$$

$$b_2 = (\bar{e}_1, \bar{\Omega}_{12}, \bar{i}_{II}) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{V}_I^{12} \{(x_{III} - x_{IV}) \sin 2\sigma\} - \\ - \frac{1}{2} \bar{V}_{II}^{12} \{(x_{III} + x_{IV}) - (x_{III} - x_{IV}) \cos 2\sigma\}$$

$$b_3 = \bar{e}_1 \cdot (\bar{\Omega}_2 \times \bar{V}_e^1 - \bar{\Omega}_1 \times \bar{V}_e^2)$$

$$\bar{V}_e^1 = \bar{\Omega}_1 \times \bar{R}_1 \text{ and } \bar{V}_e^2 = \bar{\Omega}_2 \times \bar{R}_1 + \bar{A} \times \bar{\Omega}_2.$$

When the contact is in a line, the system of linear equations must hold good for the different directions of  $\bar{V}_r^1$ . The system must be consistent but the values of  $\bar{V}_{rI}^1$  and  $\bar{V}_{rII}^1$  are not unique. This is possible if the rank of the matrix,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & b_2 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

is equal to 1.

It follows, therefore, that

$$\frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}} = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} = \frac{b_1}{b_2} \quad \text{III.8}$$

$$\frac{a_{21}}{a_{31}} = \frac{a_{22}}{a_{32}} = \frac{b_2}{b_3} \quad \text{III.9}$$

Since  $a_{12} = a_{12}$ , for above equations

$$\frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}} = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} = \frac{a_{31}}{a_{32}} = \frac{b_1}{b_2} \quad \text{III.10}$$

$$\frac{a_{21}}{a_{31}} = \frac{b_2}{b_3} \quad \text{III.11}$$

From equations (III.10) one can get only two independent equations, because

$$b_1 = a_{31} - \bar{V}_I^{12} a_{11} - \bar{V}_{II}^{12} a_{12} \quad \text{III.12}$$

$$b_2 = a_{32} - \bar{V}_I^{12} a_{12} - \bar{V}_{II}^{12} a_{22} \quad \text{III.13}$$

Using equation (III.11) and the relation

$$\frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}} = \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} = \frac{a_{31}}{a_{32}},$$

one gets three equations for the determination of  $\chi_{III}$ ,  $\chi_{IV}$  and  $\sigma$  of the following form

$$\tan 2\sigma = \frac{2F}{\chi_I - \chi_{II} + G} \quad \text{III.14}$$

$$\chi_{III} + \chi_{IV} = \chi_I + \chi_{II} + S \quad \text{III.15}$$

$$X_{III} - X_{IV} = \frac{X - X_{II} + G}{\cos 2\sigma}$$

III.16

where,

$$F = \frac{a_{31} a_{32}}{b_3 + \bar{v}_I^{12} a_{31} + \bar{v}_{II}^{12} a_{32}}$$

$$G = \frac{a_{31}^2 - a_{32}^2}{b_3 + \bar{v}_I^{12} a_{31} + \bar{v}_{II}^{12} a_{32}}$$

$$S = \frac{a_{31}^2 + a_{32}^2}{b_3 + \bar{v}_I^{12} a_{31} + \bar{v}_{II}^{12} a_{32}}$$

# Appendix IV

## RANGES OF PARAMETERS

In this appendix, the ranges of parameters for which results have been obtained in the report [22] are given. In that report following parameters are assumed constant,

pressure angle of the cutter,  $\alpha_o - 20^\circ$ ,

addendum factor ,  $f_o - 1$  ,

radial clearance factor ,  $c_o - 0.25$ ,

correction factor of cutter ,  $\xi_o - 0$ .

The following table shows the ranges of the parameter.

Type of gear	Pheno- mena	Ranges														
		$\Sigma$			$\beta$			$i_{12}$			$A^*$			$Z_1$		
		min	max	step	min	max	step	min	max	step	min	max	step	min	max	step
Tapered	under- cutting	105°	165°	15°	0°	25°	5°	1	10	1	0	.50	.05	17	30	1
	Point- ing	105°	135°	15°	0°	25°	5°	1	10	1	0	.50	.05	17	30	1
Face	under- cutting	90°	90°	-	0	25	5°	1	10	1	0	.5	.05	17	30	1
	Point- ing	90°	90°	-	0	25	5°	1	10	1	0	.5	.05	17	30	1

The report also covers the intermediate results of calculations of surface durability rating, contact stress contact zone and effect of independent design parameters on surface durability ratings.

spelling ?

## REFERENCES

1. G. Sanborn and B. Bloomfield "Effect of axis angle on tapered gear design" Gear design and application, edited by N.P. Chironis, McGraw Hill, 1967. P- 83
2. Victor Francis and Joseph Silvagi "Face gear design factors" Gear design and application edited by N.P. Chironis, McGraw Hill, 1967. P- 88
3. B. Bloomfield "Designing face gears" Machine design, Vol. 29, No. 4, p. 127, April 1947.
4. B. Bloomfield "Alignment charts for face gears" Product Engineering, p. 189, July 1952.
5. B.S. Bhadoria "Design parameters of orthogonal offset and on-center face gears" M. Tech. Thesis, Dept. of Mechanical Engg., I.I.T. Kanpur, 1970.
6. E. Buckingham "Analytic mechanics of gears" Dover Publications, Inc. N.Y. 1949.
7. B. Bloomfield "Designing tapered gears" Machine design, Vol. 20, No. 3, p. 125, March 1948.
8. F.L. Litvin "Theory of toothed gearing" Science Publishers, Moscow, 1968 (in Russian).
9. K.I. Gochman "Improved general theory of meshing" Odessa, 1886 (In Russian).
10. N.I. Kolchin "Analiticheski rachhot ploskikh i proctranstvennikh zatseplenii" Mashgiz, Moscow, 1949 (In Russian).
11. J. Chakraborty and B.S. Bhadoria "Design parameters of face gears" Jnl. Mechanisms, Vol. 6, p. 435 - 445, 1971.
12. J. Chakraborty and B.S. Bhadoria "Some studies on hypoid face gears" Paper 1M7/ASME/AGMA/IFTOMM, International Symposium on Gearing and transmission, Oct. 11 - 13, 1972, San Francisco.
13. J. Chakraborty and D. Palit "Contact ratio of worm gears" ASME Publication 70 Mech - 49, 6th Conference of Mechanism.
14. E.J. Wellanes "Load rating of gears" Gear hand book, edited by D.W. Dudley, McGraw Hill, N.Y. 1962.

15. L.V. Krostev "Curvatures mutually enveloping surfaces in space gearing" Collections of transmission in machines, Mashgig, Moscow 1963.
16. A.M. Pavlov "Determination of curvatures of mutually enveloping surfaces for space gears" Trudi LPI, Leningrad, No. 211, 1960.
17. F.L. Litvin "The relation between the curvatures of tooth surface in space meshing" ZAMM, Vol. 49, No. 11, p. 685-690, 1969.
18. A. Dyson "A general theory of kinematics and geometry of gears in 3-D", Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1969.
19. M.L. Baxter "Basic theory of gear tooth action and generation" Gear hand book, edited by D.W. Dudley, McGraw Hill, N.Y. 1962.
20. O. Sarry "Mathematical background of Speriod gearing" Industrial mathematics, No. 7, 1956.
21. D. Palit "Investigation of some kinematic characteristics of cylindrical worm gear" M. Tech. Thesis, Dept. of Mech. Engg., I.I.T. Kanpur, 1969.
22. B.S. Bhadoria and J. Chakraborty "Design parameters of tapered gears in mesh with skew helical pinions" Technical report, Dept. of Mechanical Engg., I.I.T. Kanpur, 1973.
23. P.M. Dean "Gear tooth proportions" Gear hand book, edited by D.W. Dudley, McGraw Hill, N.Y. p. 545, 1962.
24. P.K. Rayabaskiya "Differential geometry" Gonte, 1956, (In German).
25. B.F. Bregi "Gear finishing by shaving, honing and lapping" Gear hand book, edited by D.W. Dudley, McGraw Hill, N.Y. 1962.
26. S. Timoshenko and J.N. Goodier "Theory of elasticity" McGraw Hill. N.Y. 1951.
27. T.A. Harris "Rolling bearing analysis" John Willey and Sons, Inc., 1966.
28. Echnron, Hasbargen and Weigand "Ball and roller bearings" K.G. Heyden & Co., London, 1958.
29. W. Coleman "Gear design considerations" Inter disciplinary approach to the lubrication of concentrated contacts, edited by PMKU, Proceedings of NASA Symposium, Troy, N.Y. July 15-17, 1969.
30. R.W. Snidle and J.F. Archard "Lubrication of elliptical contacts" Inst. of Mech. Engrs., Tribology Convention, Gothenburg, Sweden, May 1969.